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Differential Evolution – Particle Swarm Optimization

Nuria Gómez Blas, Alberto Arteta, Luis F. de Mingo

Abstract: This paper shows the Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm with a Differential Evolution. Each candidate solution is sampled uniformly in \([-5,5]\)^d, where \(d\) denotes the search space dimension, and the evolution is performed with a classical PSO algorithm and a classical DE/x/1 algorithm according to a random threshold.
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Introduction

A variety of general search techniques can be employed to locate a solution in a feasible solution space. Most techniques fit into one of the three broad classes. The first major class involves calculus-based techniques. These techniques tend to work quite efficiently on solution spaces with “friendly” landscapes. The second major class involves enumerative techniques, which search (implicitly or explicitly) every point in the solution space. Due to their computational intensity, their usefulness is limited when solving large problems. The third major class of search techniques is the guided random search. Guided searches are similar to enumerative techniques, but they employ heuristics to enhance the search [14].

Evolutionary algorithms (EAs) are one of the most interesting types of guided random search techniques. EAs are a mathematical modeling paradigm inspired by Darwin’s theory of evolution. An EA adapts during the search process, using the information it discovers to break the curse of dimensionality that makes non-random and exhaustive search methods computationally intractable. In exchange for their efficiency, most EAs sacrifice the guarantee of locating the global optimum.

Differential Evolution (DE) and Particle Swarm Optimization are both stochastic optimization techniques. They produce good results on both real life problems and optimization problems. A simple mixture between those two algorithms, called Differential Evolution – Particle Swarm Optimization (DE-PSO), is explained in the following sections. The explanation will no longer use the sine function, but the more frequently used sphere function. Also note that the explanation for this algorithm will not use a single value, but arrays (vectors) to represent particles and velocities. Therefore it is compatible with more dimensions [2, 3, 4].

Differential Evolution

Differential Evolution (DE) is a simple evolutionary algorithm for numerical optimization whose most novel feature is that it mutates vectors by adding weighted, random vector differentials to them [1]. Differential Evolution is, like PSO, a stochastic and population-based optimization technique. It was first introduced in 1996 by Price and Storn
[13]. The algorithm is a modification of genetic annealing, which is beyond the scope of this paper and will not be discussed. Differential evolution is capable of handling non-differentiable, nonlinear and multimodal objective functions and is fairly fast in doing so. DE has participated in the First International IEEE Competition on Evolutionary Optimization (ICEO) and was proven to be one of the fastest evolutionary algorithms [10]. The DE algorithm also works with a population of potential solutions. The principle is the same as PSO: a particle can gain by using information from other particles as well as the results of their own search. However, in the case of differential evolution, that information is sampled randomly. The classical DE algorithm works as shown in the pseudo code of figure 1.

![Pseudo code for DE written in Netbeans.](image)

**Figure 1: Pseudo code for DE written in Netbeans.**

**Step 1: define a population**

For the purpose of this example, a simple 2-dimensional (having 2 variables x1 and x2) sphere function is suitable to search for a minimum. The function rule is as follows:

\[ \text{Rand}(0,1) \times (b_u - b_l) + b \]

By drawing the function on a graph it is easily perceived that the global minimum is at coordinates [0,0]. To prove this general truth, a population of 5 particles can be randomly initialized in a search space bounded by [-10,10]. Each particle is presented by an array, or formally speaking by a vector, of D values where D represents the dimension of the search space. Each component of the array can be randomly initialized using equation (a) to initialize a random particle between bounds where \(\text{rand}(0,1)\) indicates a random number between 0 and 1, \(b_u\) is
the value of the upper bound and bl is the lower bound. Because the problem requires the search for a global minimum, the particle with the smallest fitness value is closest to the optimum. The table below shows 5 particles and their fitness values upon initialization. The population size does not change during the process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Particle fitness value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[5, 9]</td>
<td>5^2 + (-9)^2 = 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[6, 1]</td>
<td>6^2 + 1^2 = 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[-3, 5]</td>
<td>-3^2 + 5^2 = 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[-7, 4]</td>
<td>-7^2 + 4^2 = 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[6, 7]</td>
<td>6^2 + 7^2 = 85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Each particle is an array of D values where D is the dimension.*

**Step 2: mutation**

The next step consists of making a mutated vector through differential mutation. It is a process whereby for each particle 3 different randomly chosen particles (r1, r2, r3) create a mutated particle mi (i=1, 2, ..., population size). This mutated vector is obtained by applying the formula below for each dimension:

\[ m_{ij} = r_{ij} + F \times (r_{2j} - r_{3j}) \]

With j being the current dimension and F being the positive differential weight value usually between 0 and 1. This value is initialized before the loop and thus the same for every particle in the population. The formula adds the weighted difference between 2 population members to a third member. The table below shows an example of a mutation with the differential weight F set to 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particles for mutation</th>
<th>Mutant vector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2, 4 and 5</td>
<td>[-7, -2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: Mutant vector for target particle 1 with F=1.*

**Step 3: crossover**

Crossover is a term used when parameters of the mutant are mixed with parameters of the target vector to form a trial vector z. Which parameters should be crossed is depending on probability. Figure 2 shows that 2 out of 5 parameters of the mutant vector were chosen to cross over.
In Differential Evolution there are 2 main forms of crossover: binomial and exponential. Both ways use a parameter called the Crossover Probability (CR) which is a value between 0 and 1. To understand DE, it is enough to only explain binomial crossover. Many publications explain other ways and should be looked into when more information is necessary.

By applying binomial crossover, one should assign a random value between 0 and 1 and compare it to the crossover probability CR. If CR is bigger, the parameter zi becomes the mutant parameter yi. If it is smaller, zi is equal to the parameter of the current target element, xi, and thus stays the same.

Table 5: Binomial crossover for a component of particle 1 with CR=0.5 and twice rand=0.9135 for convenience.
Step 4: selection

Once the new trial vector \( z \) is created, the algorithm has to decide whether or not it should become a member of the new generation (or otherwise known as iteration \( t+1 \)). This is done by comparing fitness values with the original element of the population. If the fitness of the trial vector is better (i.e. lower for a minimization), it should be the new member of the population. If it is not better, the current member should be kept unchanged. This process continues, for example, until a maximum number of iterations is exceeded.

Variants of DE

The above mentioned is only the basic variant of DE, but there are many others. In order to classify variants, the following notation is used: DE/x/y/z, with the following components:

- \( X \): specifies the vector to be mutated. This can be 'rand' for a randomly chosen population vector or 'best' for the vector with the best fitness value.
- \( Y \): The number of difference vectors used.
- \( Z \): specifies the crossover scheme. This can be 'bin' for a binomial scheme or 'exp' for an exponential crossover.

Based upon this notation, the version explained in this paper is written as DE/rand/1/bin. DE/best/2/bin is an example of a variant proposed by Price and is proven to be effective. 2 difference vectors are used and seem to improve the diversity of the population if the population size is high enough. For this method, formula (b) can be rewritten:

\[
(c) \ v_{ij} = x_{\text{best}} + F \cdot (r_1 + r_2 - r_3 - r_4)
\]

Hybrid DE- PSO

DE-PSO is basically a Differential Evolution algorithm mixed with ideas of Particle Swarm Optimization. It was proposed by Millie Pant, Radha Thangaraj, Crina Grosan and Ajith Abraham in their paper called “Hybrid Differential Evolution – Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Solving Global Optimization Problems”. This section explains how the algorithm works according to the very detailed pseudo code presented in figure4, written as a minimizer.
Step 1: defining population
This step is completed in the same way as PSO and DE. Please note that you should define your population and their velocities as two 2-D arrays when programming. The higher the dimension, the more values each particle will have. A particle in a 2-D search space can be defined as 2 points on a graph, namely x and y. When you have a particle in a 3-D space, it needs 3 points to be represented on x,y,z axes. To initialize the velocities, one can either choose random values between the predefined bounds, or zero.

Step 2: performing DE
First, 3 random particles (r1, r2 and r3) should be chosen in such a fashion that they are different from each other. The same principle is applied in DE mutation. The second task is to create a mutated value for each dimension j of the particle. This is done by utilizing equation (b). When the mutation is done for every dimension, one must evaluate the mutated particle with the fitness function, and compare it to the evaluation of the non-mutated,
current particle. If it is smaller, the mutated particle should replace the old one in the population. Though if it is bigger, the particle swarm optimizer should be triggered.

Step 3: performing PSO

If the DE-part of the algorithm did not find a better solution, the PSO is activated. A new particle should be created according to formulas (d) for the velocity and (e) for the new position. A basic velocity and position clamping should be performed here as well. It is enough to just check if the new velocity or position exceeds the bounds in which the algorithm is performed. If the newly created particle is proven to be better, it should be replaced by the old one for the next generation. Both personal best and global best particle vectors should be updated as well. This process is again repeated until the stopping condition is met.

\[
(d) \mathbf{v}_i(t+1) = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{v}_i(t) + c_1 r_1^* (\mathbf{p}_{Best} - \mathbf{x}_i) + c_2 r_2^* (\mathbf{g}_{Best} - \mathbf{x}_i)
\]

\[
(e) \mathbf{x}_i(t+1) = \mathbf{x}_i(t) + \mathbf{v}_i(t+1)
\]

Expansion

More hybrid versions between Particle Swarm Optimization and Differential Evolution have been proposed. One of those is the version proposed by José García, Enrique Alba and Javier Apolloni in their work: “Noiseless Functions Black-Box Optimization: Evaluation of a Hybrid Particle Swarm with Differential Operators”. Their model is also simple and is proven to obtain an accurate level of coverage range. The algorithm also contains 2 main parts. The first is the differential variation, where new velocities and positions are calculated according to:

\[
(f) \mathbf{v}_{ij} = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{v}_{ij} + \mu + \varphi ^* (\mathbf{g}_{best} - \mathbf{x}_{ij})
\]

\[
(g) \mathbf{x}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij} + \mathbf{v}_{ij}
\]

Where \( j \) is the dimension and \( i=1,2,... \), population size. \( \mu \) is a scaling factor (\( \mu = \text{UN}(0,1) \)) and \( \varphi \) is the social coefficient (\( \varphi = \text{UN}(0,1) \)). The second part is the mutation, which is calculated according to formula (a). It is basically a new particle position between the specified bounds.
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