Investigation on the structural and magnetic properties of sputtered TbFe₂/Fe₃Ga heterostructures

R. Ranchal^{a)} and V. González-Martín

Dpto. Física de Materiales, Fac. CC. Físicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, Madrid 28040, Spain

(Received 27 April 2011; accepted 17 July 2011; published online 1 September 2011)

We have analyzed the structural and magnetic properties of as-grown and annealed [TbFe₂/Fe₃Ga]_n heterostructures grown by sputtering. Evidence of the bcc structure in the Fe₃Ga layers has been found. The diffraction peak related to this structure shifts to high angles with the annealing temperature. Also, we have observed a change in the microstructure of the Tb-Fe layers when the thickness layer is reduced in the as-grown heterostructures. Moreover, the Tb content is lower than 33% of the TbFe₂ Laves phase and it depends on the layer thickness. The thermal treatments promote the increase of the Tb content, but only in the heterostructures with thick layers. The strong lattice mismatch between the Tb-Fe and Fe-Ga layers seems to prevent a complete Tb diffusion upon the annealing process. Thus, the crystallization of the TbFe₂ Laves phase is inhibited in the heterostructures with thin layers, although our experimental results indicate the presence of potential magnetostrictive TbFeGa alloys. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3626070]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past years, there has been an increasing interest in magnetostrictive materials because of their great potential in a variety of applications such as magnetic actuators or sensors. The discovery of the magnetostriction increase of Fe upon its doping with Ga (Ref. 1) boosted the investigations of Fe_{1-x}Ga_x alloys. Advanced characterization techniques have been used to analyze the strong correlation between the microstructure and the magnetoelastic properties in this material system.²⁻⁷ These studies have proved that it is essential to precisely control the structural ordering in order to achieve a magnetostriction constant (λ) of around 400 ppm in crystalline and 280 ppm in polycrystalline bulk Galfenol samples.^{8,9} These high magnetostriction constants are achieved in quenched samples with Ga contents of 19% and 28%. However, the λ of the Fe-Ga alloys is one order of magnitude lower than that of crystalline TbFe₂, the material with the highest magnetostriction at room temperature, 2500 ppm.¹⁰ The high coercivity of its crystalline phase, ~4 kOe, prevents its use in industrial applications.¹¹ However, Galfenol has a much lower coercivity than TbFe₂. Therefore, heterostructures consisting of a combination of both Fe-Ga alloys and TbFe₂ appear to be promising candidates for the development of a new era of magnetic sensors. Moreover, few works have been devoted to the investigation of Fe_{1-x}Ga_x thin films.¹²⁻¹⁶ In a previous work, we studied the characteristics of Tb_xFe_{1-x} thin films.¹⁷ In this work, we analyze and correlate the structural and magnetic properties of as-grown and annealed [TbFe₂/Fe₃Ga]_n heterostructures.

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

Samples were grown by a magnetron sputtering system on glass substrates. In all of the heterostructures, the thickness of the TbFe₂ and Fe₃Ga layers is the same, ranging from 12.5 nm to 200 nm. It has been necessary to adjust the number of TbFe₂/Fe₃Ga bilayers (*n*) in order to achieve the same total thickness (400 nm) in all of the heterostructures. The Ar pressure was 2×10^{-3} mbar during the growth of the magnetostrictive layers, with a power of 120 W for the deposition of the TbFe₂ and 100 W for the Fe₃Ga layers. Mo buffer and capping layers 20 nm thick were used to protect the heterostructures against oxidation. They were grown with a dc power of 90 W and at an Ar pressure of 2×10^{-3} mbar. The structural properties were determined by means of x-ray diffractometry (XRD) using a Philips MPD system. The magnetic measurements were carried out in a vibrating sample magnetometer at room temperature. The temperature dependence of the magnetization has been characterized by means of SQUID magnetometry. Prior to measuring the temperature dependence of the magnetization, the sample was first cooled from room temperature to 5 K either under a saturation field of 5 kOe (field-cooled [FC]) or at zero field (zero-field-cooled [ZFC]). Then, FC and ZFC curves were recorded with an applied magnetic field of 100 Oe during the warming-up. Thermal treatments were carried out in Ar atmosphere over 1 h in a temperature range between 300 °C and 400 °C. After the annealing, samples were cooled down at a rate of 20 °C/min.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

XRD measurements reveal the presence of diffraction peaks of both cubic TbFe₂ and body centered cubic (bcc) phases of Fe (α -Fe) (Fig. 1). The crystalline cubic Laves phase is related to the large magnetostriction constant of

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: + 34 91 394 45 47. Electronic mail: rociran@fis.ucm.es.

FIG. 1. (Color online) X-ray diffractometry scans of as-grown heterostructures and heterostructures annealed at different temperatures. (a) $[\text{TbFe}_2(200 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(200 \text{ nm})]$. (b) $[\text{TbFe}_2(12.5 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(12.5 \text{ nm})]_{16}$.

2500 ppm at room temperature of the TbFe system. The $\text{TbFe}_2(113)$ diffraction peak is observed in all of the heterostructures, regardless of the thickness and the annealing temperature. Moreover, the heterostructures with thick layers exhibit a diffraction peak that shows the presence of the α -Tb phase [Fig. 1(a)]. In a previous work about the crystallization of TbFe_2 ,¹⁷ we demonstrated that the formation of this phase is closely related to an optimum crystallization of the TbFe_2 Laves phase.

The presence of the α -Fe peak can be taken as evidence of the bcc structure related to either the disordered A2 or ordered D0_3 phases. The former (A2) is strongly correlated with the magnetostriction of around 400 ppm in the $\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Ga}_x$ alloys.^{3,18,19} Following the work of Cao *et al.*,³ we can investigate the presence of D0_3 precipitates by means of the (100) and (111) superlattice reflections, which are not allowed for the A2 structure. We have not found such reflections around $2\theta \sim 30.75^\circ$ or $2\theta \sim 64^\circ$ in any of our heterostructures [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. Nevertheless, it is important to mention a few aspects. First of all, the D0_3 precipitates can be so small that they cannot be detected by means of XRD characterization. Second, the studied heterostructures are rather polycrystalline, which complicates the detection of different phases. Finally, our samples are sputtered films that are as-grown and

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) α -Fe(110) and (b) $\text{TbFe}_2(113)$ diffraction angle as a function of the annealing temperature for heterostructures with different layer thicknesses: ■, 200 nm; ○, 100 nm; □, 50 nm; ●, 25 nm; ▲, 12.5 nm.

annealed at low temperatures, and so they might have a different microstructure than the bulk crystalline high-temperature annealed samples studied in previous works.^{2-7,18,19} Taking into account all of these aspects, we can indicate that our experimental results point to a cubic structure in the Fe_3Ga layers, being not observed evidences of the D0_3 phase.

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), we plot the evolution of the two most important diffraction peaks observed in the XRD scans, $\text{TbFe}_2(113)$ and α -Fe(110), as a function of the annealing temperature. From these plots, we cannot infer conclusive results about the TbFe_2 , whereas the tendency is clearer for the Fe_3Ga layers. There is a shift of the (110) α -Fe diffraction peak to high angles, promoted by the annealing temperature being more pronounced in the heterostructures with thin layers. This shift can be due to either the mismatch between the thermal expansion coefficient (α) of the different materials (layers, buffer, and/or substrate) or the decrease of the Fe_3Ga layer lattice parameter for another reason. TbFe_2 and Fe_3Ga have pretty similar α values — $12 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and $17 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$, respectively — and so they are not expected to produce any effect on each other. Both Mo ($\alpha = 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$) and glass ($\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$) have lower α values in comparison to Fe_3Ga , and so they could promote compressive stresses on the heterostructures. Nevertheless, the influence

of the annealing temperature on the lattice parameter suggests the necessity of taking into account more effects in order to fully explain the observed behavior.

We have performed FC-ZFC routines to further analyze the characteristics of the heterostructures. In our previous work about the microstructure of $\text{Tb}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}$ films,¹⁷ the presence of a cusp in the ZFC curves was an indication of the existence of nanoaggregates in the as-grown $\text{Tb}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}$ thin films. As an example, we present in this work the ZFC curve of a 25 nm thick TbFe_2 single layer deposited under the same growth conditions as the samples studied in this work [Fig. 3(a)].

FIG. 3. (a) ZFC curve of a TbFe_2 single layer with a thickness of 25 nm. FC and ZFC curves of as-grown heterostructures: (b) $[\text{TbFe}_2(200 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(200 \text{ nm})]$ and (c) $[\text{TbFe}_2(25 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(25 \text{ nm})]_8$.

ZFC-cusps are observed in the as-grown heterostructures having a layer thickness greater than 50 nm [Fig. 3(b)]. Samples with a layer thickness equal to or less than 50 nm do not exhibit these cusps, and so they have a completely different microstructure [Fig. 3(c)]. As the single TbFe_2 film with a low thickness does present a ZFC-cusp [Fig. 3(a)], the change of the structural properties in the heterostructures with thin layers seems to be correlated with the influence of the Fe_3Ga on the TbFe_2 layers. Hereafter, we will denote as thin layers those with a thickness equal to or less than 50 nm, and as thick layers those with a thickness greater than 50 nm. Finally, it is noteworthy that none of the annealed heterostructures show ZFC-cusps, and so nanoaggregates are not promoted by the thermal treatments.

In the Tb-Fe alloys, there is a so-called compensation temperature (T_{Comp}) due to the antiferromagnetic coupling between the Tb and Fe atoms.²⁰ The T_{Com} can be measured in the FC curves (Fig. 4), and at that temperature the total magnetization is at a minimum because the Fe and Tb magnetic moments are equal and have almost antiparallel directions because of the antiferromagnetic coupling. Tb-Fe alloys do not exhibit a zero magnetization at the T_{Com} , in contrast to other alloys of heavy rare-earths and magnetic transition metals with similar antiferromagnetic coupling, because there is not a complete antiparallel arrangement between Tb and Fe atoms. Mimura *et al.*²¹ studied the

FIG. 4. (Color online) FC curves of heterostructures with different layers thickness. ●, 50 nm; ○, 25 nm; ■, 12.5 nm. (a) As-grown. (b) Annealed.

FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) T_{Comp} as a function of the layer thickness for the as-grown (■) and annealed (●) heterostructures. (b) Tb content as a function of the layer thickness for the as-grown (■) and annealed (●) heterostructures. In these two graphs, the dashed lines are guides for the eye. The points that are surrounded with a circle represent the maximum values that we can experimentally determine. Thus, the actual values are equal to or higher than the points surrounded with a circle.

correlation between the composition and the T_{Comp} in amorphous Tb-Fe alloys. Therefore, we can use the work of Mimura *et al.* to analyze the composition and the influence of the thermal treatments on the Tb-Fe layers. However, it is necessary to take into account the fact that the microstructure of our Tb-Fe films is different from those of Mimura *et al.*, as our samples are not amorphous. The investigation of the microstructure by means of advanced characterization techniques such as x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy could shed light on this aspect. However, that study is beyond the scope of the present work, and we use the work of Mimura *et al.* as a reference to infer the composition of the TbFe layers.

In Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), we present the T_{Comp} and the Tb content, respectively, as a function of the layer thickness for as-grown and annealed heterostructures. In those figures, we have surrounded with a circle those samples having a T_{Comp} that is higher than 300 K [Fig. 5(a)], and it can be indicated only that the Tb content is equal to or higher than 28% [Fig. 5(b)]. We will first discuss the results related to the as-grown samples. The composition of the Tb-Fe layers in the heterostructures is different from that of the nominal TbFe₂ [Fig. 5(b)]. As they were deposited under growth conditions that produce the TbFe₂ composition, the decrease of the Tb

FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Position of the α -Fe(110) diffraction peak as a function of the layer thickness in the as-grown heterostructures. The (blue) dashed horizontal line indicates the position of the α -Fe(110) diffraction peak observed in the Fe₃Ga single layer used as reference. The dotted line is a guide for the eye. (b) Position of the TbFe₂(113) diffraction peak as a function of the layer thickness in the as-grown heterostructures. The (green) dashed horizontal line indicates the position of this diffraction peak in a TbFe₂ bulk sample. The dotted line is a guide for the eye.

content with the layer thickness seems to be related to the influence of the Fe₃Ga layers. Therefore, the low Tb content in the heterostructures with thin layers can explain the absence of ZFC-cusps, as it has been already reported that in Tb_xFe_{1-x} films the nanoaggregate volume decreases when the Tb content is reduced.¹⁷ The Tb atoms not present in the Tb-Fe layers must diffuse to the Fe₃Ga layers and/or the interfaces, and this process is more relevant in the as-grown heterostructures with thin layers. In Fig. 6 we show the position of the diffraction peaks related to the Fe₃Ga and Tb-Fe layers. The lower the diffraction angle, the higher the lattice parameter. The decrease (increase) of the Fe₃Ga (Tb-Fe) diffraction angle when the thickness is reduced points to the increase (decrease) of the Fe₃Ga (Tb-Fe) lattice parameter. These results are in agreement with the Tb diffusion observed in the magnetic characterization.

In the annealed heterostructures, it is observed that the T_{Comp} is shifted to higher temperatures, indicating an increase of the Tb content in the Tb-Fe layers upon the thermal treatments [Figs. 4(b) and 5]. The Tb diffusion from the Fe₃Ga layers and/or the interfaces promoted by the annealing process can explain this increase of the Tb content. Moreover, it can also account for the decrease of the lattice parameter of the Fe₃Ga layers with the annealing temperature [Fig. 2(a)].

The Tb enrichment is more evident in the samples with thick layers [Fig. 5(b)]. There is a high lattice mismatch of around 61% (Ref. 22) between the Tb_2Fe and the Fe-Ga alloys. The thinner the layer, the higher the strain promoted by the lattice mismatch. In heterostructures with thick layers, the strain can be gradually released along the layer thickness. In samples with thin layers, the strain must be released in different ways—for example, decreasing the Tb content in the Tb-Fe layers or increasing the lattice parameter of the Fe_3Ga layers by means of the introduction of Tb atoms into these layers and/or the interfaces. These two effects reduce the lattice mismatch across the heterostructure. Thus, it seems that the increase of the Tb content in the Tb-Fe layers of heterostructures with low thicknesses is inhibited in order to prevent a large lattice mismatch and, thus, to reduce the strain. This incomplete Tb diffusion in these samples with low thicknesses can also explain the low Tb content achieved in those annealed heterostructures.

We have also measured the hysteresis loops at room temperature of the as-grown and annealed heterostructures. The magnetic behavior depends on the annealing temperature and on the layer thickness. Heterostructures with high thicknesses show two distinct ferromagnetic characteristics [Fig. 7(a)]: a ferromagnetic ordering with a low coercivity related to the Fe_3Ga , and a phase with a much higher coer-

civity of 4 kOe. In those samples, the composition of the Tb-Fe layers is TbFe_2 , making it possible to crystallize the high coercivity Laves phase. This crystallization is in agreement with the structural and magnetic characterization already presented in this work. Heterostructures with thin layers show a completely different magnetic behavior. Regardless of the annealing temperature, we observe not the crystallized TbFe_2 but another low coercivity material that becomes more relevant as the thickness is reduced [Fig. 7(b)]. We can correlate this magnetic behavior to the phase formed because of the presence of the Tb atoms at the Fe_3Ga layers and/or the interfaces, and it should be a TbFeGa alloy. These alloys have been poorly studied, although it has been reported that they can exhibit a pretty high magnetostriction of around 1000 ppm.²³ Thus, in the heterostructures with thin layers, the TbFe_2 cannot be crystallized because of the low Tb content in the Tb-Fe layers. Nevertheless, our experimental results indicate the presence of another potential magnetostrictive phase. More studies are necessary in order to analyze the potential magnetoelastic properties of these novel heterostructures.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have analyzed the structural and magnetic properties of $[\text{TbFe}_2/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}]_n$ heterostructures. We have found evidence of a cubic structure in the Fe_3Ga layers. The magnetic characterization shows that the Tb content in the Tb-Fe layers depends on the layer thickness. The thermal treatments promote the Tb enrichment of the Tb-Fe layers in the heterostructures with thick layers, with precipitates not being formed. In the multilayers with thin layers, it appears that the strong lattice mismatch inhibits a complete Tb diffusion. Our experimental results indicate that there are potential magnetostrictive TbFeGa alloys in those samples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been financially supported by Comunidad de Madrid and Universidad Complutense de Madrid through the project CCG10-UCM/MAT-4621. We thank “C.A.I. de Difracción de Rayos X” of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid for XRD measurements.

FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Magnetic hysteresis loop at room temperature of the $[\text{TbFe}_2(200 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(200 \text{ nm})]$ heterostructure for different annealing temperatures: (■) as-grown, (▲) 300°C and (□) 350°C. (b) Magnetic hysteresis loop at room temperature of annealed heterostructures: (●) $[\text{TbFe}_2(50 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(50 \text{ nm})]_4$, (○) $[\text{TbFe}_2(25 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(25 \text{ nm})]_8$, and (■) $[\text{TbFe}_2(12.5 \text{ nm})/\text{Fe}_3\text{Ga}(12.5 \text{ nm})]_{16}$.

- ¹A. E. Clark, J. B. Restorff, M. Wun-Fogle, T. A. Lograsso, and D. L. Schlagel, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **36**, 3238 (2000).
- ²Q. Xing and T. A. Lograsso, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 182501 (2008).
- ³H. Cao, F. Bai, J. Li, D. D. Viehland, T. A. Lograsso, and P. M. Gehring, *J. Alloys Compd.* **465**, 244 (2008).
- ⁴H. Cao, P. M. Gehring, C. P. Devreugd, J. A. Rodríguez-Rivera, J. Li, and D. Viehland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 127201 (2009).
- ⁵Y. Du, M. Huang, S. Chang, D. L. Schlagel, T. A. Lograsso, and R. J. McQueeney, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 054432 (2010).
- ⁶M. Laver, C. Mudivarhi, J. R. Cullen, A. B. Flatau, W.-C. Chen, S. M. Watson, and M. Wuttig, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 027202 (2010).
- ⁷E. Arenholz, G. van der Laan, A. McClure, and Y. Idzerda, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 180405 (2010).
- ⁸A. E. Clark, M. Wun-Fogle, J. B. Restorff, T. A. Lograsso, and J. R. Cullen, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **37**, 2678 (2001).
- ⁹J. H. Yoo, J. B. Restorff, M. Wun-Fogle, and A. B. Flatau, *Smart Mater. Struct.* **18**, 104004 (2009).
- ¹⁰A. E. Clark and H. S. Belson, *Phys. Rev. B* **5**, 3642 (1972).
- ¹¹A. E. Clark, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **23**, 642 (1973).
- ¹²A. Javed, N. A. Morley, and M. R. J. Gibbs, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **321**, 2877 (2009).

- ¹³N. A. Morley, A. Javed, and M. R. J. Gibbs, *J. Appl. Phys.* **105**, 07A912 (2009).
- ¹⁴R. R. Basantkumar, B. J. Hills Stadler, W. P. Robbins, and E. M. Summers, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **42**, 3102 (2006).
- ¹⁵B. W. Wang, S. Y. Li, Y. Zhou, M. W. Huang, and S. Y. Cao, *J. Magn. Mater.* **320**, 769 (2008).
- ¹⁶R. A. Dunlap, N. C. Deschamps, R. E. Mar, and S. P. Farrell, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **18**, 4907 (2006).
- ¹⁷R. Ranchal, E. López, J. L. Prieto, and C. Aroca, *Acta Mater.* **59**, 2865 (2011).
- ¹⁸O. Ikeda, R. Kainuma, I. Ohnuma, K. Fukamichi, and K. Ishida, *J. Alloys Compd.* **347**, 198 (2002).
- ¹⁹Q. Xing, Y. Du, R. J. McQueeney, and T. A. Lograsso, *Acta Mater.* **56**, 4536 (2008).
- ²⁰R. E. Camley, *Phys. Rev B* **39**, 12316 (1989).
- ²¹Y. Mimura, N. Imamura, T. Kobayashi, A. Okada, and Y. Koshiro *J. Appl. Phys.* **49**, 1208 (1978).
- ²²TbFe₂: $a = b = c = 7.3410 \text{ \AA}$. Fe (bcc): $a = b = c = 2.8664 \text{ \AA}$.
- ²³Y. J. Tang, H. L. Luo, N. F. Gao, Y. Y. Liu, and S. M. Pan, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **66**, 388 (1995).