Pressure measurements of the detachment bubble on the Bolund Island
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Pressure measurements on the surface of a 1:230 scale model of Bolund Island are presented. The model is smooth and no boundary layer generation has been considered since the experiment is designed as the simplest possible reference case. Measurement have been taken for a range of Reynolds numbers based on the average undisturbed wind speed $U_\infty$ and the maximum height of the island, $h \left[1.7 \times 10^4, 8.5 \times 10^4\right]$, and for a range of wind directions. Four minutes time series of pressure in more than 400 points have been acquired and analysed to obtain the spatial distribution of both the time average and the variance of the pressure signal. The horizontal extension of the detachment bubble for the different Reynolds numbers and wind directions is identified by isobars and curves of constant value of pressure variance. The applicability of this technique for evaluating the horizontal topology of high turbulence regions associated to detachment bubbles after escarpments in potential wind farm sites is analysed. The results obtained shows that the behaviour of the mean pressure coefficient, $C_p$, the std. pressure coefficient, $C_{\sigma p}$, and the skewness of the pressure, $S_p$ can be used to study the bubble over the island to a certain extent. This experiment is part of the set of different analysis on the Bolund test case that is being undertaken within WAUDIT project by the different scientific groups.

1 \hspace{1em} INTRODUCTION

Recently, two new test cases have been proposed for benchmarking of numerical and physical modelling of complex terrain flows, these are the Alaiz test case (see Conan et al., 2011) and the Bolund experiment (see Bechmann et al., 2009). The Bolund experiment was initiated by Risø DTU as a blind comparison of different numerical models (including linear, RANS and LES simplifications of Navier-Stokes equations). More detailed description of the blind comparison can be found in Bechmann et al (2009). The main conclusions from the initial analysis are: \textit{a}) a great scatter of the numerical results exist (mainly in the vicinity of the island escarpment, \textit{b}) the mean velocities are better predicted than turbulent kinetic energy (TKE), and \textit{c}) the best models predicting both, mean wind speed and TKE are the RANS models with two closure equations.

One of the main geometric characteristics of the Bolund island is the escarpment facing approximately the wind directions 200° to 295° (see figure 3). It can be idealised as a combination of a 50° ramp extending from the sea level to 0.5\textit{h}, plus an almost vertical step from 0.5\textit{h} to \textit{h}, being \textit{h} the total height of the escarpment. The escarpment height varies slightly in the interval 200°-295° being roughly the maximum height of the island (11.73 m). This geometry guarantees that flow detachment at the edge (with a sufficiently large Reynolds number) while the flat top ensures reattachment of the flow on the island. This flow pattern on Bolund island by smoke visualizations in wind tunnel in Bechmann et al., 2009, and quantified by direct measurement of very high values of TKE on the real field in the met masts close to the escarpment for heights below 2 m (met masts M2 and M6 for 239° and 270° wind directions respectively).
The detached-reattaching flow structures, in Bradshaw’s wordings, can provoke a *weak*, *strong* or an *overwhelming* perturbation in the approaching flow (see Bradshaw & Wong, 1972) depending on the relation $\delta/h > 1$, $O(1)$, $<<1$, respectively) being $\delta$ the size of the incoming shear layer, producing from a slight change to a complete mutation of the original flow structure. The preliminary studies of the incoming boundary layer at Bolund island (for $239^\circ$ and $270^\circ$ wind directions) indicate a minimum value for the ratio of the boundary layer thickness, $\delta$, to the escarpment height, $\delta/h \approx 1.5$, and therefore $\delta/h = O(1)$.

The most relevant references for understanding the detached-reattaching zone on the Bolund island have been considered the existing studies on blunt flat plates with right-angled corners (BFP, see, for instance, Kiya & Sasaki, 1983 or Nakamura & Ozono, 1987) and forward-facing steps with right-angled corners (FFS, see for instance Tachie et al. 2001 or Largeau & Moriniere, 2007). The geometry of BFP and FFS are defined by the BFP thickness, $a=2h$, or the FFS height, $h$; and for both geometries, their width, $d$, and length, $l$. The studies on FFS and BFP have been focused on three main aspects, $a)$ the flow topology at the detached-reattaching zone, $b)$ the relaxation process of the new boundary layer after reattachment and $c)$ the surface pressure topology beneath the bubble region and upstream-downstream it and its relation with the flow field in its vicinity, both inside and outside of the detachment bubble. The study of the surface pressure topology just under and close to the detachment bubble on simple geometries (such as the mentioned FFS and BFP) has been an issue of direct concern in the understanding of wind loads on bluff bodies like buildings (Li & Melbourne, 1995).

Additionally, the study of the pressure field topology on the top surface of BFP and FFS has been established as an adequate diagnostic means for the determination of the size and the intensity on the detached-reattaching zone (Hillier & Cherry, 1981, Castro & Dianat, 1983, Kiya & Sasaki, 1983, Saathoff & Melbourne, 1989, Li & Melbourne, 1995, Li & Melbourne, 1999, Largeau & Moriniere, 2007, Sherry et al., 2010) as well as a methodology to determine the influence of scale parameters such as $Re_h$, $\delta/h$ or $L'_u/h$ or pure inflow parameters such as $L_u$ on the bubble characteristics.

Therefore the methodology could be quite appropriate to determine the fidelity of wind tunnel tests. Taking this into account, the authors have considered interesting to analyse the pressure behaviour on the surface of a model of the Bolund Island in order to gain insight in the bubble topology likely formed on the flat part to the island. The paper is organised in two main parts: the first covering background study, a description of the bubble phenomenon and the non-dimensional numbers describing it and the second covering instrumentation and wind tunnel setup followed by results obtained and finally conclusions.

2 MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DETACHED-REATTACHING BUBBLE

Let us consider as a reference flow configuration for our test a rectangular body of dimensions $b \times d \times h$, located on the surface on a channel flow and immersed in a neutrally stratified boundary layer with thickness $\delta$, free mean wind speed, $U_\infty$, square root of the free wind speed variance, $\sigma_{u_\infty}$ and the longitudinal integral length scale $L'_u$. The dimensional analysis describes the ensemble averaged flow topology by non-dimensional parameters $lh$, $dl/h$, $Re_h$, $\deltalh$, $L'_u/h$ and finally $I_u = \sigma_{u_\infty}/U_\infty$. Of course any ensemble mean parameter will also depends on the non-dimensional coordinates $x/lh$, $y/lh$ and $z/lh$ but not on time, since the flow field is stationary. The aspect ratios $lh$ and $dl/h$ affect considerably to the flow topology in front of the body and downstream the edge (see Sherry et al., 2010). $ld$ must be large enough to assure that the forward-step is truly isolated (Sherry’s wording) meaning that the flow field at the front is not affected by the downstream wake of the body. Regarding the span-wise
aspect ratio $d/h$, works like Hillier & Cherry (1981) or Kiya & Sasaki (1983) establish values $d/h > 10$ to assure 2D mean conditions at the central part of the body (see Largeau & Moriniere, 2007). Obviously the Bolund topography is not fulfilling the geometric requirements to be considered as a 2D configuration with a FFS truly isolated but the analysis of such an idealised configuration can provide certain insight on the topology of the detachment. In the figure 1 an schematic on the ensemble mean velocity field on a 2D ($d/h>>1$), truly isolated ($l/d>>1$) FFS is shown
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Figure 1: Schematic of the ensemble mean flow velocity field around a 2D-truly isolated FFS (adapted from Sherry et al., 2010 and Brashaw & Wong, 1972). $d$: mean position of the detachment point in front of the FFS, $r$: mean position of the reattachment point on the vertical wall, $D$: position of the detachment point on top of the FSS (edge), $R$: mean position of the reattachment on top of the FFS. $l_f$ is the mean length of the front separation bubble and $l_g$ is the mean length of the separation bubble on top of the FFS. The shear layer originated at D is schematised with grey dots.

It is quite well established that when the flow approaches the FFS, the blockage provoked by the vertical wall generates a severe adverse pressure gradient. As a consequence a first detachment bubble (see figure 1) is initiated at a mean position $[x/h, z/h] \approx [(-0.8, -1.5),0]$, so $l_f/h \approx (-0.8, -1.5)$. The flow reattaches to the vertical wall at a mean position $[x/h, z/h] \approx [0,(0.6,0.65)]$, (see Sherry et al., 2010 and Huiyin & Yanhua., 2011). The dynamics of this first detachment bubble is complex even for a 2D truly isolated FFS in both laminar and turbulent conditions (see results and analysis for $Re_h \in [940,8400]$ in Stuer et al., 1999 and the analysis in Largeau & Moriniere, 2007). This first detachment bubble acts a fluid ramp and its width seems to depend on $\delta/h$.

On the top surface of the FFS, just at the edge, a second separation of the flow is produced (therefore at $[x/h, z/h] = [0,1]$). A shear layer with high TKE evolves from the edge adjusting the velocity from the reverse flow region inside the bubble to the free conditions. The ratio $\delta/h$ influences notably the length of the bubble, $l_g/h$, when $\delta/h>1$, since, depending on the specific value of $\delta/h$, the FFS can interact with the viscous layer, with the log layer or the outer layer of the incident boundary layer (see Tachie et al., 2001 and Sherry et al., 2010). The Reynolds number, $Re_h$, is another important parameter. There is a growing dependence of the non-dimensional length of the bubble, $l_g/h$, with $Re_h$. In Sherry et al. (2010) a mechanism of detachment at the FFS edge is proposed as responsible for the high sensitivity of $l_g/h$ with $Re_h$ for $Re_h<8500$. For very low $Re_h$, laminar separation occurs at the edge of the FFS followed by laminar to turbulent transition and finally a turbulent reattachment at a certain distance on top of the FFS. The transition distance which can be used to describe the topology of the bubble decreases to zero as $Re_h$ increase till $Re_h \approx 8500$ where the detachment is fully turbulent. For $Re_h > 8500$ there is not any change in the characteristics of the detachment (this is fully turbulent) so that the sensitivity of $l_g/h$ on $Re_h$, although still positive, is much smaller in opinion of Sherry et al. However the data from Largeau & Moriniere indicate that the sensitivity is still important. Both data sets where obtained for
similar $I_u$ (0.015) but for different value of $\delta/h$, much smaller in the case of Largeau & Morinieri (what is in accordance with a larger value of $l_g/h$). In the case of Camussi et al., the remarkably lower value of $l_g/h$ could be explained both by a higher value of $\delta/h$ and $I_u$. The ratio $L^*_g/h$ is not indicated in any case, being this a source of uncertainty.

The formation of the bubble on the top of the FFS and BFP can be briefly described by the accumulation of vorticity giving growth to the bubble (see Sherry et al., 2010 and Kiya & Sasaki, 1983). After a certain time, a large scale vortex is ejected, and the bubble size decreases, the process of vortices accumulation repeating again. This dynamic process is responsible of the instantaneous change of the reattachment line (so that $l_g/h$ as a defined line can be only determined as a mean characteristic) and it is known as “flapping” (Camussi et al., 2008). Finally, several authors (see Kiya & Sasaki, 1983, Largeau & Morinieri, 2007, Camussi et al., 2008) have identified that the mean convection velocity of vortex structures on the FFS in the bubble ranges from 0.3$U_\infty$ close to the front, up to 0.7$U_\infty$ well after the reattachment zone, being in the order of 0.5 $U_\infty$ at the reattachment. All these studies (Hillier & Cherry, 1981, Nakamura & Ozono, 1986, Saathoff & Melbourne, 1989, Li & Melbourne, 1995, 1999) agree in a length of the bubble $l_g/(2h) \approx (3.5,5)$ for the reference smooth case. A general agreement exists on the influence of $I_u$ on the longitudinal size of the bubble $l_g/h$. Larger values of longitudinal turbulence intensity lead to smaller bubbles, so $l_g/h$ decreases as $I_u$ increases. At the same time the bubble is more intense, for instance, the minimum mean pressure coefficient $C_p$ under the detached zone is smaller (more negative), or the maximum standard deviation pressure coefficient, $C_{op}$, is larger as $I_u$ grows.

3 STATISTICS DERIVED FROM SURFACE PRESSURE AS DIAGNOSTIC PARAMETERS OF THE BUBBLE EXTENSION

It has been mentioned that a largely negative mean pressure coefficients surface pressure beneath the bubble are associated to the accumulation of vortices originated at the edge in the bubble (Kiya & Sasaki, 1983, Largeau & Morinieri, 2007, Camussi et al., 2008). So the existence/intensity of the bubble can be diagnosed from the exploration of the $C_p$. Additionally, different authors have identified the reattachment region with that part on the FFS where the variation of mean $C_p$ with $x/h$ is largest (Hillier & Cherry, 1981, Li & Melbourne, 1999) being this region roughly coincident with the location where the standard deviation of the pressure coefficient, $C_{op}$, is maximum. Most of the referred studies have analysed the influence of parameters such as $I_u$, or $L^*_g/h$ on the functions $C_p(x/h)$ or $C_{op}(x/h)$ (Nakamura & Ozono, 1986 and Li & Melbourne, 1995, 1999 are representative examples) inferring characteristics of the bubble such as its length $l_g/h$ from the location of max$[dC_p/d(x/h)]$ or max$C_{op}$.

Kiya & Sasaki (1983b) and Saathoff & Melbourne (1989) argue that the entrainment of outer energetic fluid into the bubble at the reattachment zone provokes a larger probability of positive peaks of the pressure fluctuation originating an asymmetry around the mean of the PDF of the pressure fluctuation which is quantified by large positive values of the pressure skewness, $S_p$. Camussi et al. (2008) also agree with this reasoning. The authors identified, by means of PIV, a bubble length $l_g/h \approx 2.1$ for Re$_h = 2.63 \times 10^3$, and calculate the PDF of the pressure fluctuation at $x/h = 0.45$, 1.95 and 2.7, finding that the PDF is skewed negatively at $x/h = 0.45$ and positively at $x/h = 1.95$ and mainly at $x/h = 2.7$. In Camussi et al. (2008) are also determined largely positive values of $S_p$ upstream of the front of the FFS also in agreement with the findings of Steinwolf & Rizzi (2006). In figure 6, and schematic of the process for the determination of the bubble length $l_g/h$ based on the behaviour of the mean.
pressure coefficient, $C_p$, the std. pressure coefficient, $C_{ap}$, and the skewness of the pressure, $S_p$ is shown.

![Figure 2: Schematic on the diagnostic process on the bubble length $l/b$ based on the behaviour of the mean pressure coefficient, $C_p$, the std. pressure coefficient, $C_{ap}$, and the skewness of the pressure, $S_p$.](image)

4 EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP AND DATABASE

4.1 Wind tunnel description

The test was conducted in the A9 wind tunnel in IDR/UPM which is an open circuit suction type Eiffel tunnel with a closed test chamber. The convergent section of the wind tunnel is bi-dimensional with a length of 5.25m and an input section of 4.8m wide and 1.8m high. The test chamber has the following dimensions: Length: 3m × Width: 1.5 × Height: 1.8m. The wind tunnel is driven by nine eight-bladed variable speed fans with nominal output of 10kW capable of producing winds of 5-35m/s. The mounting of the model is made using a turntable installed in the sidewall of the test section of the wind tunnel. See figure 3.

4.2 Instrumentation and sampling rate

A total of 475 pressure tabs were installed on the model of the island and an additional 12 pressure tabs were installed as a reference in a straight line on the ramp forward of the Bolund model. The pressure tabs on the island are distributed at a distance of 0.02m (≈0.4$h$) while the 12 reference tabs were installed 0.04m (≈0.8$h$) apart along a straight line in front of the model. Tabs 15-18 were installed along the 239º line. The distribution of the pressure tabs can be seen in figure 3. Tabs 2-5 were installed with a spacing of 0.01m (0.2$h$) along the 270º line with tab 4 being located on the edge of the Bolund island. Each pressure tab consists of a brass tube, flushed on the model surface, connected to the data acquisition system by a plastic tube with both having 0.001m inner diameter. The plastic tubes are connected to two 64-ports pressure scanners from Scanivalve Corp. (ZOC33).

Measurements were taken at a rate of 100Hz over 180s for each pressure tab. The measurements for all the pressure tabs were done in 2 block measurements of 256 pressure tabs with the 12 reference pressure tabs always present in each block. A pitot was installed upstream of the model to measure the instantaneous static pressure, $\tilde{p}_s$ and the instantaneous total pressure, $\tilde{p}_T$. For each block of 256 pressure tabs, a first set of 128 pressure tabs is measured simultaneously during a first interval of 180s, and a second set of 128 pressure tabs is measured in a second-consecutive interval of 180s. Both the acquisition time of 180s and the sampling frequency of 100Hz are chosen taking into account the limitation of the equipment (maximum buffer size) and technical issues. The acquisition time is selected to assure a good convergence of the statistics. The sampling frequency, which gives rise to associated non-dimensional acquisition frequencies $St = fh/U_\infty = 1.02$ (5 ms$^{-1}$), 0.34 (15 ms$^{-1}$), 0.204. (25 ms$^{-1}$) was selected anticipating the occurrence of energetic pressure
fluctuations for $St = 0.01$ and $St = 0.2$ (see point 2) at the detachment. Obviously non-dimensional sampling frequencies $St = 0.34$ and 0.204 do not fulfil the Shannon theorem for characterising pressure fluctuations with $St = 0.2$.

4.3 Calculations

The instantaneous pressure coefficient is calculated from $c_p = \frac{\bar{p} - \bar{p}_\infty}{q_\infty}$ where $\bar{p}$ is the static pressure measure locally by the pressure tabs and $\bar{q}_\infty$ is the dynamic pressure at the pitot. Since the installation is normally oriented to determine mean pressure coefficients, $C_p$, and the scanivalve is a differential scanner, the difference $\bar{r} = \bar{p} - \bar{p}_T$ is recorded, and the instantaneous pressure coefficient is then calculated $c_p = 1 - \bar{r}/\bar{q}_\infty$, where $\bar{r}_\infty = \bar{p}_\infty - \bar{p}_T$.

The mean pressure coefficient, $C_p$, the standard deviation pressure coefficient, $C_{\sigma p}$, and the skewness of the pressure, $S_p$ are calculated respectively

$$C_p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{p,n},$$ (0.1)

$$C_{\sigma p} = Q_\infty^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^2\right)^{1/2} \approx Q_\infty^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n^2\right)^{1/2},$$ (0.2)

$$S_p = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^3\right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^2\right)^{3/2} \approx \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n^3\right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n^2\right)^{3/2}.$$ (0.3)

For the previous calculation of second and third moments of the pressure fluctuation, $p$, from the readings, $r$, it is considered that typically the fluctuation of the total pressure at the pitot is typically lesser than the fluctuation of the static pressure on the model, mainly at the detachment.

The Bolund model was manufactured with a scale of 1:230 giving a maximum height for the model of 0.0512m. 3D data for the Bolund Island was obtained from the data provided by Bechmann et al. (2009). The scaled model was manufactured using Necuron400 material in an automated 3D milling machine.
4.5 Measurement Campaign

A total of four angles of wind direction were chosen for the inflow direction. Three angles, 270°, 239°, and 255°, correspond to the test cases specified in Bechmann et al. (2009). While the final angle 180° was to be an extra case. The three wind velocities are 5m/s, 15m/s, and 25m/s giving a Reynolds number range of $1.7 \times 10^4$ – $8.5 \times 10^4$ with $h=0.0512$m. The wind tunnel has a boundary layer thickness, $\delta \approx 0.04m$ and longitudinal turbulence intensity at the reference position $I_u \approx 2.5%$.

5 RESULTS

The evolutions for the mean pressure coefficient, $C_p$, the standard deviation of the pressure coefficient, $C_{op}$, and the skewness of the pressure, $S_p$, along the line B (270°) are presented in figure 5. Results for two Reynolds numbers, $Re_h=5.1 \times 10^4$ and $Re_h=8.5 \times 10^4$ are shown. It can be observed that, as expected, a large overpressure occurs just in front of the escarpment due to the blockage produced. Just on the top of the escarpment $(x/h=0)$ a high suction pressure occurs, probably indicating the presence of separation. The suction pressure reaches maximum values $-C_p \approx 2$ for the higher Reynolds case and $-C_p \approx 1.75$ for the lower Reynolds one. Except for the variation on the maximum value of $-C_p$, the Reynolds number seem not to affect the distribution of mean pressure coefficient, what is in agreement with the conclusions presented in Nakamura & Ozono (1987) for a BFP for $Re(2h) > 1.4 \times 10^4$. The values of $-C_p$ at the edge are larger than the ones found for right-angled corners-BFP for similar values of $Re(2h)$ (see for instance Li & Melbourne, 1999, where maximum values $-C_p \approx 1.1$ are declared), probably due to the specific geometry of the Bolund escarpment at 270° (with an initial ramp and with a slightly rounded edge).

The evolution of the standard deviation of the pressure coefficient, $C_{op}$, grows dramatically at the top of the escarpment, reaching a maximum for both $Re_h$ cases slightly downstream of the edge, at $x/h \approx 0.8$. This is an evidence of the presence of separation. It has been established that location of max($C_{op}$) roughly coincides with the mean position of the reattachment, therefore in this case it can be established that $l_R/h \approx 0.8 \pm 0.1$. For similar Reynolds number and $\delta/h$, but lower $I_u$, Largeau & Moriniere (2007) determined values $l_R/h \approx 3$. Skewness of the pressure shows large positive values up-stream of the escarpment (what is in agreement with the conclusions for FFS shown by Camussi et al., 2008 and Steinwolf & Rizzi, 2006). After the edge, there is a region $0.1<x/h<1.1$ with $S_p<0$, recovering positive values roughly at $x/h \approx 1.1 \pm 0.1$. This change of sign of $S_p$ (from negative to positive values) has been
proposed above as an indication of the reattachment region, so the location of such reattachment based on \( \max C_{p}\) and the sign change of \( S_{p} \) roughly coincide around \( x/h \approx 1 \).

\[ \text{Figure 5: Distribution of mean pressure coefficient, } C_{p}, \text{ expression (0.1), standard deviation pressure coefficient, } C_{\sigma p}, \text{ expression (0.2), Skewness of pressure coefficient, } S_{Cp}, \text{ and skewness of the pressure, } S_{p}, \text{ expression (0.3) along line B (270º), for two Reynolds numbers, } Re_{h}, \text{ indicated in the figure. The profile of the island for the mentioned direction is shown in the figure in continuous line.} \]

In figure 6 the surface distributions of \( C_{p}, C_{\sigma p}, \) and \( S_{p} \) (left-right columns) for line 270º and the two Reynolds numbers (top,bottom). The white color for the two figures in the first column, indicate values \( -C_{p} \geq 1 \), therefore the mean topology of the detached bubble. It is evidenced the 3D-like character of such topology and the existence of local patterns that can be easily identified with the geometry of the escarment. For instance the detached region seems to be more intense at the center of the escarpment where the vertical portion of it is larger and faces perpendicular to the 270º wind. The results shown in figures at the second column \( (C_{\sigma p}) \) corroborates the 3D character of the separated region. The analysis of \( S_{p} \) (third column) reveals a similar conclusion. The white dotted line in the figure marks the loci on the surface where \( S_{p} = 0 \). It must be remarked that \( S_{p} \) values are trustable only when \( C_{\sigma p} \) is high (as it was argumented above) so the values of \( S_{p} \) for \( x/h > 3 \) must not be used to extract any conclusion without a further analysis.

\[ \text{Figure 6: Surface distribution of mean pressure coefficient, } C_{p} \text{ (first column), standard deviation pressure coefficient, } C_{\sigma p} \text{ (second column), and skewness of the pressure, } S_{p} \text{ (third column) along line B (270º), for two Reynolds numbers (top row, } Re_{h} = 5.1 \times 10^4 \text{, bottom row, } 8.5 \times 10^4 \text{). The dark lines indicate isolevel curves, and the white lines indicates the loci where } S_{p} = 0 \text{ on the surface.} \]
The same analysis is showed in figure 7 for the 239º case. It is obvious that the region of detachment now appears at regions perpendicular to the 239º wind. It is remarked the appearance of a region of high values of $-C_p$ and $\sigma_{C_p}$ at the upper region of the topography probably indicating a backward-facing step-like detached region.

Figure 7: Surface distribution of mean pressure coefficient, $C_p$ (left), standard deviation pressure coefficient, $\sigma_{C_p}$ (middle), and skewness of the pressure, $S_p$ (right) along line A (239º), for a Reynolds number $Re_h = 5.1\times10^4$. The dark lines indicate isolevel curves, and the white lines indicates the loci where $S_p = 0$ on the surface.

In order to illustrate the non-steadyness of the separation process, in figure 8, a sequence of 21 shots of the instantaneous pressure coefficient, $-\tilde{C}_p$, are shown for the case 270º and $Re_h = 8.5\times10^4$. The time interval between shots is $\Delta t=0.01s$ corresponding to a nondimensional time interval $\Delta T=4.8$ ($T = tU_\infty/h$). It is reminded that flapping process for a FFS takes part (in a mean sense) every $\Delta T_F \approx 100$. It can be realised that the region where is instantaneously $-\tilde{C}_p > 1$ changes its shape remarkably.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The study has shown that surface pressure distribution can provide a description of the detaching-reattaching flow topology over the Bolund Island. By studying the statistics of the mean ensemble averages of the pressure distribution, an estimation of the horizontal extension of the bubble size can be obtained. Although this is limited to simple or simple-to-moderately complex topology such as the Bolund island, this method, coupled with other measuring technique can provide a good description of the flow topology providing quick
and important validation results for other modellers. Evidently, the 3D effects of the terrain clearly expose the weakness of using 2D geometry study as a validation as can be seen from the skewness plot of $x/h > 3$. This shows a need for more benchmark studies to be carried out in order to study more complex terrain.
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