A contribution to the deterministic modelling of circadian rhythms in cell proliferation activity
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This paper presents a deterministic continuous model of proliferative cell activity. The classical series of connected compartments is revisited along with a simple mathematical treatment of two hypotheses: constant transit times and harmonic $T_s$. Several examples are presented to support these ideas, both taken from previous literature and recent experiences with the fish *Carassius auratus*, developed at the Junta de Energia Nuclear, Madrid, Spain.
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Circadian rhythms may be considered as those biological rhythms which, under constant and permissive conditions, continue to exhibit oscillations with a period of about *circa one day* (*diem*). The circadian rhythm, is synchronized with, or entrained to, the period of the earth’s rotation by means of periodic factors in the environment, called Zeitgebers. The day–night changes in illumination and temperature are the most important of these. The light–dark (LD) cycle does not cause the rhythms, they are innate and endogenous. Under different permissive environmental conditions (light intensity, temperature), the period is conserved within relatively narrow limits.

The induction of a circadian oscillation by an entraining LD cycle exerts control over both the period and the phase of the endogenous rhythm. In the dark–dark (DD) cycle (constant darkness) the rhythm will persist, be free running, and expressing its innate period.

Chronobiology has become particularly important as a result of the synchronization of experimental animals to an artificial light–dark cycle in order to design and interpret the experimental results. Living organisms are not uniform or stable in their normal body states; instead, an organism is different at different circadian stages and, therefore, reacts differently to an identical stimulus during the day. For instance, an identical dose of drugs has dramatically different effects at various times of the day.

There are many circumstances in which it is interesting to relate circadian rhythms to the effects of a drug dose in a biological process. In this paper, the authors concentrate on the way in which cells increase their number in the intestine of goldfish, although other cases previously treated in the literature are also commented upon.

It is generally accepted that cells reproduce through a cycle with four stages called $G_1$, $S$, $G_2$ and $M$. $M$ stands for mitosis, i.e. the phase in which the chromosomes become visible and cell division occurs, $S$ for deoxiribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis, and $G_1$ and $G_2$ are gaps during which no DNA synthesis occurs, although RNA and protein synthesis occurs throughout both gaps and the S phase. The cell spends different times $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$ and $T_4$ in each phase (though the shortest one is mitosis), and the cycle duration is $T_c = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4$, at the end of which the cell has duplicated.
Table 1  Average cell fluxes over 24h in partially synchronized renewing cell systems at different cell cycle phases: experimental results (percentage of cells per hour)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integral average/ transist time</th>
<th>Nj/T1</th>
<th>Nj/T2</th>
<th>Nj/T1</th>
<th>Nj/T2</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brown and Berry18</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>* From Hopper and Brokwell28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izquierdo and Gibbs17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.08/9.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izquierdo and Gibbs16</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.38/9.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hegazy and Fowler15</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carassius auratus at 25°C</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clausen et al.19</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Number of cells per fold section in DNA synthesis, N2, and in M phase, N4 of goldfish intestine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of day (h)</th>
<th>N2 ± ES</th>
<th>N4 ± ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.00</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.00</td>
<td>13.72</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.00</td>
<td>13.72</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.00</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.00</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.00</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.00</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.00</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>15.94</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1  Goldfish intestine: shading indicates period of darkness

Figure 2  Circadian variation of mitotic durations: thick horizontal lines indicate periods of darkness
### Table 3
Mitotic and labelling indices in hamster cheek pouch epithelium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of day (h)</th>
<th>$N_a$</th>
<th>$N_b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02.00</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.00</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.00</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.00</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4
Variables of epidermal basal cells in hairless mouse epidermis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of day (h)</th>
<th>Cells with S-phase DNA content (%)</th>
<th>Cells with G2-phase DNA content (%)</th>
<th>$N_a$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.00</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.00</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.00</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.00</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>23.67</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 3** Hamster pouch: shading indicates periods of darkness

**Figure 4** Mouse epidermal basal cells: shading indicates period of darkness
When cells in proliferation are briefly exposed to ³H-Tdr, deoxyribonucleoside thymine, the radiative precursor of DNA, incorporation follows and affected cells are classified as labelled cells in autoradiography. The other phases may be separated according to their DNA content. The DNA content of G2 cells, corresponding to cells in which DNA replication has been completed, is double that of G1 cells in which DNA replication has not yet begun.

It is assumed that cells are involved in the continuous cycle of replication and cell division. This is true for most cases in tissue cultures, but in most body tissues only a fraction of the total cell population is involved in this cycle and the remainder are involved in other functions. The fraction of the population involved in the cell cycle is defined as the growth fraction. The analysis of the problem was initially approached by assuming that the number of cells in each phase was constant throughout the process; i.e. a rectangular distribution was assumed.

But not all of the renewing cellular systems can be described by a rectangular distribution implying the existence of steady state growth kinetics with a constant frequency distribution of cells throughout the mitotic cycle. Many results, however, have clearly shown that these systems exhibit a circadian rhythm in mitotic and DNA synthesis activity.

Izquierdo and Gibbs, in order to avoid the influence of circadian variations on the proliferation rate, introduced the numerical integration of the circadian mitotic curve. The proliferation rate (PR) was defined as the fraction of the cell population that has completed mitosis in a time unit. Under circadian fluctuation, this time unit should be 24 h as equations based on total asynchrony cannot be used.

The reciprocal of the proliferation rate:

\[ TT = \frac{1}{PR} \]  

is called turnover time \( TT \).

As a definition of PR, Izquierdo and Gibbs used the expression:

\[ PR = \int_0^{T_d} N(t) dt \]  

where \( N(t) \) is the number of cells in the mitotic phase at time \( t \), although the correct definition should be:

\[ PR = \frac{1}{T_d} \int_0^{T_d} N(t) dt \]  

The use of repeated injections of ³H-Tdr allowed them to measure an approximate turnover time of nine days, in good agreement with the value given by equation (1). 8.4 days for the Syrian hamster. Similar agreement is obtained with the Chinese hamster, 3.8 and 4.0 days, respectively.

The coincidence between the measured turnover time and that obtained from expression (2), is due to the fact that \( T_d \) is almost equal to one. For instance, \( T_d \) measured by Izquierdo and Gibbs in the Syrian hamster cheek pouch epithelium was 0.95 ± 0.16 h and they found a \( T_d \) value of 0.98 ± 0.16 h for the Chinese hamster.

The usefulness of definition (3) lies in the experimental fact that, if all of the cells that go through the S phase complete mitosis, the numerical integration of the number of cells in synthesis \( N_s \) divided by \( T_s \) gives the same flux PR, i.e.:

\[ PR = \int_0^{T_s} N_s(t) dt / T_s \]  

The proliferation rates computed from expression (3) and (4) are shown in Table I, for different cell renewing systems.

The circadian variations of \( T_d \) have been measured by Burns and Scheving in the mouse corneal epithelium twice a day at 09.00 and 21.00 h. The measured \( T_d \) were 12.2 and 5.4 h, respectively. In the cheek pouch epithelium of a Syrian hamster, Moller et al., found a \( T_d \) of 8.0–13.5 h. This is comparable with the circadian variation of \( T_d \) in mouse epidermis of 5.2–12.5 h found by Tbaemmy. Izquierdo and Gibbs, working at two times of the day, 08.00 a.m. and 08.00 p.m. obtained approximately 9.0 h for the cheek pouch epithelium in the Syrian hamster. The same authors

![Figure 5 Goldfish intestine: shading indicates period of darkness](image-url)
obtained a T2 of 8.0 h in the Chinese hamster. Hopper and Brockwell\textsuperscript{2} have developed a stochastic model and computed the expected values for T2 in the Syrian hamster cheek pouch epithelium; T2 varies between 7.8 and 11.7 h, with an average of 9.9 h. The same authors\textsuperscript{24} computer a T4 of 1.20 h, and suggest that one should measure three or more fraction labelled mitosis curves in order to obtain a better value of T2. Sternfeld\textsuperscript{20} found a T2 of 14.3 and 14.8 h for the intestinal epithelium of the common goldfish \textit{carassius auratus}, acclimated at 25°C, twice a day, for maximum and minimum values of the number of cells in the S phase per fold section. Unpublished data gives a T2 of 16.52 h for the same conditions specified.

In the presence of circadian rhythms, the distribution of cells in mitosis or synthesis depends on the time of day, and also the time taken by a cell to pass through any phase; as a consequence of this, the cell flux is also time-dependent.

The proliferation rate for a steady state growth with asynchronous proliferation activity is:

\[
\frac{N_4}{T_4} = \frac{N_2}{T_2}
\] (5)

The influence of partial synchronization of the parameters can be avoided using the integral average, on 24 h:

\[
\left(\frac{N_2}{T_2}\right) = \left(\frac{N_4}{T_4}\right)
\] (6)

Geometrically the integral average is equivalent to a rectangle with a base equal to the period, 24 h, and height equal to the average value of the parameter during 24 h.

Table 1 shows the values calculated for different renewing cells systems. As a consequence, the mean phase durations can be directly calculated by converting the proportions of cells in each phase to the corresponding percentage of Tj., assuming a steady state of growth.

The aim of this paper is the fitting of the circadian proliferation curves in renewing cells systems that are partially synchronized using a deterministic mathematical model. In the fitting of the curves two conditions are specified, firstly that the transit times, T3 and T4, are constant during the day and, secondly, that T4 varies with time during the day.

**Mathematical model**

The mathematical modelling of the processes described has reached a considerable degree of sophistication.\textsuperscript{29} In general, good agreement can be obtained through refined probabilistic continuous models. Nevertheless, the point is that it seems worthwhile to reattempt a continuous deterministic approach based on mean values or to change the techniques used for classifying the input data following modern, statistical trends.

This paper will develop the first topic. The above-mentioned facts suggest the use of a series of deposits of cells, the first of which is entered by a stream Q(t) that can be seen as originating from recycling cells.

The first idea is to assume constant transit times and that the flux variation is directly proportional to the numbers of cells and inversely proportional to the transit time. If Nj is the number of cells (absolute or relative) in each phase, the equations of the continuous model can be written as:

\[
\dot{N}_j = Q - \frac{N_j}{T_1}
\]

\[
\dot{N}_j = \frac{N_{j-1}}{T_{j-1}} = \frac{N_j}{T_j} \quad (j = 2, 3, 4)
\] (7)

where the correspondence among indexes and phases is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{gap 1} & \quad T_1 \\
\text{DNA synthesis} & \quad T_2 \\
\text{gap 2} & \quad T_3 \\
\text{mitosis} & \quad T_4
\end{align*}
\] (8)

and Ti are the transit times for each phase.

The properties of the system are:

(1) If a period T exists, integration of equation (1) yields:

\[
\int_0^T Q dt = \int_0^T \frac{N_1 dt}{T_1}
\]

\[
\int_0^T \frac{N_{j-1} dt}{T_{j-1}} = \int_0^T \frac{N_j dt}{T_j} \quad j = 2, 3, 4
\] (9)

If transit times are constant:

\[
\int_0^T Q dt = \frac{1}{T_1} \int_0^T N_1 dt = \frac{1}{T_2} \int_0^T N_2 dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{T_4} \int_0^T N_4 dt
\] (10)

(2) Dividing by the common period, equation (10) can be written as:

\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q dt = \frac{1}{T_1} \left( \int_0^T \frac{N_1 dt}{T} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{T_2} \left( \int_0^T \frac{N_2 dt}{T} \right) = \frac{1}{T_3} \left( \int_0^T \frac{N_3 dt}{T} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{T_4} \left( \int_0^T \frac{N_4 dt}{T} \right)
\]

(3) The extreme left-hand side of equation (11) is the mean value of \(\dot{Q}(t)\) and equals the mean values at each phase multiplied by the reciprocal of the appropriate transit time.

(4) Adding numerators and denominators in equation (11):

\[
\dot{Q} = \frac{1}{T_C} \left( \int_0^T \frac{N_{\text{ROX}} dt}{T} \right)
\]

\[
\bar{Q} = \frac{N_{\text{ROX}}}{T_C}
\] (12)
where $N_{TOT}$ is the total number of cells; $T_C$ the cycle time; $\bar{N}$ the mean value of $N_{TOT}$; and $\bar{Q}$ the mean value of $Q$. In other words, the mean value of $Q(t)$ is equal to the mean value of the total number of cells divided by the cycle time.

The solution of the model is immediate and can be separated into stationary and harmonic responses. The first is obtained when:

$$Q(t) = Q_0(t) = \text{constant}$$

(13)

Evidently:

$$N_j = N_2 = N_3 = N_4 = 0$$

(14)

$$Q_0 = \frac{N_1}{T_1} = \frac{N_2}{T_2} = \frac{N_3}{T_3} = \frac{N_4}{T_4}$$

(15)

Equation (15) is a particular case of equation (11) and produces the first approach to the solution:

$$N_j = T_j Q_0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$N_{TOT} = T_C Q_0$$

(16)

The harmonic response is obtained when:

$$Q = Q_0 \exp(i \omega t)$$

and putting:

$$N_j = A_j \exp(i \omega t)$$

(17)

in the first of equations (1):

$$A_j/T_1 = Q_0/(1 + \text{i} \omega T_1)$$

(18)

Similarly:

$$A_j/T_2 = Q_0/[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_1 + T_2)]$$

$$A_j/T_3 = Q_0/[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_1 + T_2) + \text{i} \omega (T_3 + T_2) - \text{i} \omega T_3]$$

$$A_j/T_4 = Q_0/[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_1 + T_2) + \text{i} \omega (T_3 + T_2) + \text{i} \omega T_3]$$

(19)

That is, the transit through a phase $j$ is characterized by a transfer function:

$$H(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \text{i} \omega T_j}$$

(20)

It is possible, of course, to treat equation (20) by separating modules and phases:

$$N_j/T_j = Q_0 \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left[1 + 4 \pi^2 (T_i/T_j)^2 \right] \right\} \exp\left\{ \left[ (2\pi/T_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \tan^{-1}(2\pi T_i/T_0) \right] \right\}$$

(21)

where:

$$\omega_j = (2\pi/T_0)$$

Due to the linear properties of the systems it is possible to analyse the case:

$$Q = Q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} Q_n \exp(i \omega nt)$$

(22)

by superimposing the previous solutions:

$$N_j/T_j = Q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N}$$

$$\left\{ Q_n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_i + T_j) \right] \right\} \exp(i \omega nt) \right\}$$

(23)

The solution of the model is immediate and can be separated into stationary and harmonic responses. The first is obtained when:

$$Q(t) = Q_0(t) = \text{constant}$$

(13)

Evidently:

$$N_j = N_2 = N_3 = N_4 = 0$$

(14)

$$Q_0 = \frac{N_1}{T_1} = \frac{N_2}{T_2} = \frac{N_3}{T_3} = \frac{N_4}{T_4}$$

(15)

Equation (15) is a particular case of equation (11) and produces the first approach to the solution:

$$N_j = T_j Q_0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$N_{TOT} = T_C Q_0$$

(16)

The harmonic response is obtained when:

$$Q = Q_0 \exp(i \omega t)$$

and putting:

$$N_j = A_j \exp(i \omega t)$$

(17)

in the first of equations (1):

$$A_j/T_1 = Q_0/(1 + \text{i} \omega T_1)$$

(18)

Similarly:

$$A_j/T_2 = Q_0/[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_1 + T_2)]$$

$$A_j/T_3 = Q_0/[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_1 + T_2) + \text{i} \omega (T_3 + T_2) - \text{i} \omega T_3]$$

$$A_j/T_4 = Q_0/[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_1 + T_2) + \text{i} \omega (T_3 + T_2) + \text{i} \omega T_3]$$

(19)

That is, the transit through a phase $j$ is characterized by a transfer function:

$$H(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \text{i} \omega T_j}$$

(20)

It is possible, of course, to treat equation (20) by separating modules and phases:

$$N_j/T_j = Q_0 \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left[1 + 4 \pi^2 (T_i/T_j)^2 \right] \right\} \exp\left\{ \left[ (2\pi/T_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \tan^{-1}(2\pi T_i/T_0) \right] \right\}$$

(21)

where:

$$\omega_j = (2\pi/T_0)$$

Due to the linear properties of the systems it is possible to analyse the case:

$$Q = Q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} Q_n \exp(i \omega nt)$$

(22)

by superimposing the previous solutions:

$$N_j/T_j = Q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N}$$

$$\left\{ Q_n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left[1 + \text{i} \omega (T_i + T_j) \right] \right\} \exp(i \omega nt) \right\}$$

(23)
model described is only valid for the first three numbers, \( N_1, N_2 \), and \( N_3 \), while the fourth must be calculated following another technique.

Due to the reduced dimensions of the problem, the use of a step-by-step method based on very small time steps does not represent a handicap of the total computational time. This allows the use of a simple Euler method to substitute the derivative according to the simplest formula:

\[
\Delta y(t) = y(t + \Delta t) - y(t) \tag{27}
\]

where \( \Delta t \) is adjusted in order to guarantee the stability and convergence of the procedure.

Set (7) can be written as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
N_1 \\
N_2 \\
N_3 \\
N_4
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
-1/T_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1/T_1 & -1/T_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1/T_2 & -1/T_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1/T_3 & -1/T_4
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
N_1 \\
N_2 \\
N_3 \\
N_4
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
Q_1 \\
Q_2 \\
Q_3 \\
Q_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

or symbolically:

\[
\hat{N}(t) = A(t)N(t) + Q(t) \tag{28}
\]

where \( A \) is the transfer matrix between successive time steps. The method can be applied to a general problem in which all the transit times suffer harmonic variations throughout the 24 h.

In order to respect relation (11) the phase of the time \( T_4 \) follows that of \( N_4 \) and can be estimated from an analysis of the filtering system, while the amplitude can only be adjusted by direct measurement of transit times or \( N_4 \) response.

**Examples**

In order to illustrate the possibilities of the method, several examples were run. The first one corresponds to the data proposed by Izquierdo and Gibbs,\(^{16}\) the classical reference. Table 3 presents the data necessary to perform the experiments.

Figure 3(a) represents the S evolution as measured and which has been taken as the origin of the computations. \( G_2 \), a curve predicted according to the constant transit time model. There are no data to compare those predictions. M, however, is the mitotic phase curve whose prediction can be compared to the actual measured data.

Figure 3(b) shows a magnified view of those curves where it is seen that the agreement is promising. Finally, Figure 3(c) has been obtained by the step-by-step method when \( T_4 \) varies according to Figure 2. There is now good agreement, except for the peaks at 10.12, 14 and 16 hours which correspond to the sudden changes in \( T_4 \). Taking into account the simplicity of the model, the accuracy obtained is striking.

The second example, Table 4, was the treatment of the data proposed by Clausen et al.\(^{11}\) Its interest hinges on the possibility of comparing data for \( G_2 \) and M curves in addition to the S curve that is taken as the basis. The mean times are:

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{T}_1 &= 40.39 \text{ h} \\
\bar{T}_2 &= 9.02 \text{ h} \\
\bar{T}_3 &= 3.21 \text{ h} \\
\bar{T}_4 &= 0.98 \text{ h}
\end{array}
\]

Figure 4(a) presents the results obtained while taking:

\[
\bar{T}_4 = 0.98 + \frac{1}{4} \sin \frac{2\omega}{24} (t + 12)
\]

and maintaining the other transient times as constant. The agreement is again very good for the M curve, except for points around 18 hours that, following the hint given in the previous example, can be attributed to sudden changes in \( T_4 \).

The agreement in \( G_2 \) can be improved by again assuming a variation of the type:

\[
\bar{T}_4 = 3.21 + 0.10 \left( \sin \frac{2\omega}{24} (t + 12) \right)
\]

As Figure 4(b) shows, this has almost no effect on M, and \( G_2 \) is slightly displaced to the right but the general trend is the same. Similar results can be obtained by experimenting with other phases and amplitudes. Figure 4(c) shows that the results agree very well with those expected from such a model.

Finally, the third example shows the agreement of the model with the authors' own data from the fish Cassius auratus. The results obtained from the constant time model are shown in Figure 1. It was then decided to use the step-by-step method to model the same case. As shown in Figure 5(a), the results are the same as those of Figure 1(c) in spite of the different data treatment.

It was decided to define \( T \) as:

\[
T = 0.77 + A \sin \frac{2\omega}{24} t
\]

where the phase shift was chosen according to the general trends of the response and \( A \) varied in order to get the best possible agreement with the data. As can be seen in Figure 5(b), a value of \( A = 0.5 \) produces a very good agreement in phase but not in amplitude.

In contrast, when \( A = 1 \) the compatibility between the prediction and the constant plus fundamental terms of the Fourier development of measured values is almost total, except for the negative values not allowed in the step-by-step procedure. Figure 5(c) presents the same solution when \( A = 0.98 \).

In this example, it seems that for some instants near 16.0 hours the transit time is almost zero, producing an infinite flux that momentarily reduces the number of cells in mitosis. The amplitude of \( T_4 \) can then be predicted by looking at the minimum values of the M phase. Once again, the discrepancy around 5.0 hours can be explained by sudden changes in \( T_4 \).

**Conclusions**

The measurements collected in reference 19 and the comparative examples described in references 29 and 30 show that a deterministic continuous model is, still, a useful approach for interpreting cell cycle evolution.

The numerical results suggest a circadian behaviour, not only for the number of cells but also for the transit time in the mitotic phase.
The simplest approach to reduce the discrepancies is to assume a harmonic evolution of the mitotic transit time, whose amplitude can be adjusted through the minimum of computed values, while the phase is induced thanks to a harmonic analysis or the general trend of the curve.

In all cases there are times at which the transit time suffers severe changes that are immediately reflected in the number of stored cells. In addition, for the case of the fish, *carassius auratus*, there are times with almost zero transit time that are reflected in an emptiness of the M compartment.

All results were obtained on an HP-9836 microcomputer.
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