Long-term foliar persistence and efficacy of spinosad against beet armyworm under greenhouse conditions

Erika L Sántis, Luis A Hernández, Ana M Martínez, Jesús Campos, José I Figueroa, Philippe Lobit, Juan M Chavarrieta, Elisa Viñuela, Guy Smagghe and Samuel Pineda

Abstract

BACKGROUND: The immediate lethality caused by spinosad has been widely studied on Spodoptera exigua (Hübner). However, long-term effects can also provide valuable information on insecticide toxic action. Here, the persistence of spinosad on Capsicum annuum L. foliage and the lethal and sublethal effects of greenhouse-aged foliar residues of this insecticide on third instars of S. exigua are reported.

RESULTS: Foliage was collected at 0, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 days after application, and spinosad residues were measured. Residues decreased over time according to first-order kinetics. The average rate constant and half-life of disappearance were $4.44 \times 10^{-3}$ and 156 days and $5.80 \times 10^{-3}$ and 120 days for 60 and 120 mg L$^{-1}$ respectively. Larval mortality gradually decreased, corresponding to the residues, but was still appreciable (35 and 65% for 60 and 120 mg L$^{-1}$ respectively) when the larvae were fed with foliage collected 50 days after treatment. Subsequently, pupal development was reduced and varied between 20 and 60% and between 21 and 41% for 60 and 120 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively, in all ages of leaf residues that were bioassayed. At all time points, the consumption rate by the larvae was reduced between 62 and 84% for both concentrations that were bioassayed.

CONCLUSION: It is concluded that, under the present greenhouse conditions, the degradation of spinosad was slower than that reported by other authors in the field, and, because of that, its residues could cause lethal and sublethal effects to S. exigua larvae.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The beet armyworm, Spodoptera exigua (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is one of the most destructive insect pests of sweet pepper, tomato, aubergine, courgette, melon and watermelon crops in greenhouses around the world.$^{1-3}$ Although it is originally from south-eastern Asia, this insect is now a cosmopolitan pest that is particularly abundant in North and Central America, Africa, Australia, southern Asia and Europe.$^{4,5}$ In commercial greenhouses, growers attempt to control S. exigua infestations by applying broad-spectrum insecticides singly or in cocktails at weekly intervals,$^{3}$ but the control achieved is not completely successful because of the insect's high capacity to develop resistance towards the majority of conventional compounds.$^{2,6-8}$ To date, all documented cases of spinosad resistance in this pest are in the field, which could be expected given its small-scale use in greenhouses compared with open-field crops.$^{7,8}$ Therefore, scientists and growers are seeking alternative tools that are effective against this pest, safe to humans, environmental friendly and compatible with integrated pest management (IPM) practices. One promising control tactic against S. exigua larvae in greenhouse and field conditions is the use of biorational control agents, such as those based on naturally derived products (i.e. spinosad).$^{9}$

Spinosad is a bioinsecticide that is based on the fermentation product of the soil bacterium Saccharopolyspora spinosa Mertz and Yao.$^{10}$ This compound has two unique modes of action: it acts primarily on the insect's nervous system at the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, and it also exhibits activity at the GABA
2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Insects and rearing

Insects used in these tests came from a colony of *S. exigua* maintained for 21 generations in the Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias y Forestales, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo (IIAF-UMSNH) (Tarimbaro, Michoacán, Mexico), and the colony had no history of insecticide exposure. The larvae were reared on a semi-synthetic diet (Cotton Bollworm diet; Southland Products Inc., Lake Village, AR) in a controlled environmental chamber at 25 ± 2 °C with 75 ± 5% RH and a 16:8 h light:dark photoperiod until the prepupal stage. Adults were released into 50 × 20 cm brown paper bags for mating and egg laying and supplied with a 15% (w/v) honey solution made with distilled water. The paper bags were replaced every 2 days.

2.2 Insecticide application and sampling

Pepper seeds, *Capsicum annuum* Cannon (Zeraim Gedera Seed Company, Gedera, Israel), were allowed to germinate in a humus-rich soil in individual 3 cm pots for 2 weeks. Fourteen days after sowing, the plants were transplanted individually to black plastic bags (20 cm diameter × 25 cm high) containing a mixture of coconut fibre, humus-rich soil and volcanic iron oxide gravel known as tezontle (1 : 1 : 2). All plants were watered as necessary and fertilised once a week (approximately 0.1 g per plant, NPK 14-20-7 with micronutrients).

Pepper plants of ~45 cm height with 20 or 23 fully expanded true leaves were treated with 60 or 120 mg L⁻¹ of Tracer™ (480 g L⁻¹ of spinosad, suspension concentrate; Dow AgroSciences, Zamora, Michoacán, Mexico) with the use of a handheld sprayer until run-off. These concentrations correspond to one-half of the maximum field recommended concentration (MFRC) and the full MFRC respectively. To enhance the wetting of the leaves, the surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate was used at 0.01% (w/v). Control plants were sprayed with distilled water plus surfactant at 0.01% only. Twenty-three plants were used for each concentration and control.

After treatment, plants were maintained in the central part of a ventilated greenhouse (120 m² in area) located on the campus of IIAF-UMSNH. Global radiation and EAS-weighted UV radiation were measured, outside and inside the greenhouse, using a Davis Vantage Pro weather station. The average visible and UV radiation inside the greenhouse during the time of the experiment (12 April 2011 to 25 May 2011) were 18 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹ (305 W m⁻²) and 5.2 kJ m⁻² day⁻¹ (0.06 W m⁻²). The plastic cover was responsible for a 30% and 75% reduction in visible and UV radiation respectively. Temperature and humidity within the greenhouse fluctuated little during this period. On average, the daily minimum and maximum temperatures were 41 and 13 °C respectively, while maximum and minimum relative humidity were 72 and 14% respectively.

Leaves were collected from each insecticide treatment group at 5 h (considered as 0 days after treatment) and 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 days after application. At each time point, one leaf per plant on 15 plants chosen at random from the 23 plants of each treatment was picked from the middle section to ensure it had been treated. This sample was then divided evenly for use in residue analysis and toxicity bioassays using third instars of the beet armyworm, *S. exigua*. The age of these leaves was estimated between 20 and 50 days according to the average leaf emission rate. Leaves from the control treatment plants were harvested at the same time intervals as the insecticide-treated leaves and were used to determine control mortality in the spinosad toxicity bioassays. The collected leaves were transported immediately to the laboratory after sampling, and those to be used in residue extraction studies were frozen at −20 °C.

2.3 Residue extraction and analysis

Spinosad residue extraction in samples was carried out in triplicate as previously described. For extraction, the method of Sharma *et al.* was used, with an extraction efficacy of 83%. Frozen leaves (3.6 g) were chopped and then extracted with 25 mL of an extraction solution that consisted of acetonitrile + methanol + distilled water (5.3 + 3.3 + 1.3 by volume) and incubated for 25 min with shaking. Next, the 25 mL extraction solutions were recovered in 50 mL Falcon tubes. The solvents were evaporated using a water bath at 55 °C for 10 h. The remaining extracts were frozen at −80 °C and dried by lyophilisation (FreeZone Benchtop Shell Freezers, Kansas City, MO) for 7 h. Samples were redissolved in 2 mL of extraction solution (defined above) and filtered using polyvinylidene fluoride 0.2 μm filters (Millipore™, Billerica, MA).

Spinosad residue samples (25 μL) were analysed by using a C18 reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) column (Microsorb-MV 100-5; Varian Inc., Santa Clara, CA) in an HPLC system (ProStar 240; Varian Inc., Santa Clara, CA) equipped with a photodiode array detector (PDA 355; Varian Inc.) operating at 200–600 nm. Spinosad residue quantification was performed by determination of the peak area that corresponded to the retention time (16.3 min) for the analytical spinosad (purity 98%), Spinosyn A and Spinosyn D; Chemservice, West Chester, PA) at 230 nm, using a calibration plot from 0.25–2 mg mL⁻¹ of analytical compound. Fractions were eluted with a methanol + acetonitrile + water solvent mix, starting with a calibration ratio of 2:2:96. Next,
the following steps were performed: step 1, a linear gradient of 10:10:80 with a flow of 1 mL min⁻¹ for 10 min; step 2, a linear gradient of 25:25:50 with a flow of 1 mL min⁻¹ for 2 min; step 3, a linear gradient of 20:60:20 with a flow of 1 mL min⁻¹ for 6 min; step 4, an equilibrium phase of 2:2:96 with a flow of 1 mL min⁻¹ for 5 min. According to the calibration curve, this quantification procedure resulted in a correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.99$. Spirosnas residues were analysed in triplicate after 0, 10, 30, 40 and 50 days for 60 mg L⁻¹ and after 0, 20, 40 and 50 days for 120 mg L⁻¹. The spinosad residues are expressed on a fresh weight basis (μg g⁻¹).

2.4 Residual activity

The activity of spinosad residues was determined on newly moulted (-6 h old) third instars of S. exigua, which were fed pepper leaves that were collected at the same times as mentioned above (0, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 days after application). After feeding with artificial diet, third instars were starved for 5 h before the bioassay to induce a higher feeding rate. A previous study showed that shifting from artificial diet to leaves did not induce any mortality in Spodoptera littoralis (Boisdagu).24

For each time and concentration, a single leaf was placed into ventilated plastic petri dishes (9 cm diameter × 3 cm high), and ten larvae were placed on the leaf. To delay leaf dehydration, the petiole of each leaf was enveloped with a piece of moist cotton. Moreover, one layer of wetted tissue paper was placed on the bottom of the petri dish, and a few drops of distilled water were added daily to maintain moisture. Each leaf was considered a replicate. Four replicates per treatment were done, except for the control with water and surfactant only, where nine replicates were done.

Leaves were removed 48 h later, and then surviving larvae were individually placed into 2 cm² cylindrical wells of 24-well Castor tissue culture plates containing approximately 8 g of artificial diet. Larval mortality was scored daily for 6 days (144 h); if no movement was observed, larvae were considered dead. Percentage pupation was assessed for surviving individuals from both concentrations that were bioassayed. Bioassays were conducted under the same environmental conditions as those detailed in Section 2.1.

2.5 Consumption rate

The consumption rate of third instars of S. exigua was determined on each leaf from the residual activity bioassay. Images of these leaves were obtained with an HP Scanjet 3770 scanner and segmented using the free software GIMP v.2.6.6 (GNU Image Manipulation Program, http://www.gimp.org) to distinguish between intact and consumed areas. The resulting images were analysed using the program UTHSCSA Image Tool v.3.0.25 The consumption rate was expressed as the average percentage of leaf area consumed per surviving larva, taking into account the number of larvae alive at 48 h, as in Wanner et al.25

2.6 Data analysis

A simple first-order model (exponential decrease) was fitted to degradation kinetics for each spinosad concentration.27 The model equation was $M = M_0 \exp(-kt)$, where $M$ is the remaining concentration at time $t$, $M_0$ is the initial concentration and $k$ is the relative degradation rate.

The model fitting and estimation of confidence intervals for model parameters were performed with R software28 using the non-linear least squares method. To obtain a more accurate estimation of the parameters, the same model was applied by pooling the data from both concentrations, assuming that only $M_0$ changed with application dose while $k$ remained constant. The equations were $M = M_0 \exp(-kt)$ and $M = M_1 \exp(-kt)$ for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ of spinosad respectively, with parameters $M_0$, $M_1$, $k$ and $t$. The degradation half-life was calculated as $DT_{50} = \ln(2)/k$.

Data on consumption rate and percentage pupation were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The analyses were performed using the general linear models procedure, with the least significant difference (LSD) multiple range test ($P < 0.05$) to separate means using SAS.29

Larval mortality was analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by LSD mean separation using the Statgraphics graphic software system (STSC Inc., Rockville, MD). In cases where the assumptions of ANOVA were violated, even after transformation to arcsin $\sqrt{x}$, a non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test was applied.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Residue analysis

The initial concentrations of spinosad in leaf fresh matter were 50 and 100 μg g⁻¹ sample, corresponding to a recovery rate of 80 and 86% after applications of 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ of spinosad respectively. The degradation concentrations recovered after 50 days decreased to 39 and 72 μg g⁻¹ per sample, corresponding to a loss of 22 and 28% for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ respectively (Fig. 1).

When the exponential model was fitted for the kinetics of each concentration of spinosad separately, the estimated value and confidence interval ($P < 0.05$) for its parameters were as

![Figure 1. Decline of spinosad residues over time following application to pepper foliage. Data points represent the average residue concentration at different sampling times, and error bars represent the standard deviation. The solid line represents the degradation kinetics, fitted by the simple first-order (SFO) model.](image-url)
follows: for 60 mg L\(^{-1}\) of spinosad, \(M_0 = 46.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\) sample (confidence interval: 36.4 < \(M_0\) < 57.3) and \(k = 4.44 \times 10^{-3}\) (confidence interval: \(-3.78 \times 10^{-3}\) < \(k\) < \(1.32 \times 10^{-2}\)); for 120 mg L\(^{-1}\) of spinosad, \(M_0 = 103.3 \text{ mg g}^{-1}\) sample (confidence interval: 79.6 < \(M_0\) < 127.7) and \(k = 5.80 \times 10^{-3}\) (confidence interval: \(-1.20 \times 10^{-3}\) < \(k\) < \(1.23 \times 10^{-2}\)). In neither case was the degradation rate \(k\) significantly different from zero \((P < 0.05)\).

When pooling the experiments, \(M_{60} = 47.7 \text{ mg g}^{-1}\) sample (confidence interval: 38.3 < \(M_{60}\) < 57.6), \(M_{120} = 102.7 \text{ mg g}^{-1}\) sample (confidence interval: 87.0 < \(M_{120}\) < 118.9) and \(k = 5.58 \times 10^{-3}\) (confidence interval: \(1.18 \times 10^{-3}\) < \(k\) < \(9.92 \times 10^{-3}\)). In this case, \(k\) was significantly different from zero \((P < 0.05)\). The wide confidence interval around \(k\) did not allow estimation of the half-life (estimated value 124 days) with a reasonable precision. However, the lower limit for the confidence interval around half-life was 70 days \((P < 0.05)\).

### 3.2 Bioassays of residual activity

Third instars of *S. exigua* were highly susceptible to spinosad residues. In most of the samples that were bioassayed, an increase was observed in the mortality of third instars of *S. exigua* up to 72 or 96 h after the bioassay was started (Fig. 2). Mortality that was caused by 60 and 120 mg L\(^{-1}\) of spinosad was significantly different \((P < 0.008\) for all cases) from that caused by the controls \((\leq 2.5\%\) in all age residues. However, there were no significant
Table 1. Percentage of pupae (mean ± SE) resulting from surviving third instars of S. exigua feeding on untreated pepper foliage and foliage that was treated with spinosad.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of residue in leaves</th>
<th>Concentration (mg L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>96 ± 8 aA (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>90 ± 9 aA (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>87 ± 0 aA (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>95 ± 9 aA (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>87 ± 9 aA (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>87 ± 9 aA (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 days</td>
<td>100 ± 9 aA (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 days</td>
<td>100 ± 9 aA (40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within columns (upper-case letters) and within rows (lower-case letters) followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.01; LSD mean separation). Numbers in parentheses are the surviving larvae that eclosed in normal pupae.

Table 2. Percentage of leaf consumed per surviving larvae (mean ± SE) by S. exigua third instars feeding on untreated pepper foliage and foliage that was treated with spinosad.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of residue in leaves</th>
<th>Concentration (mg L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1 aAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1 aAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1 aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2 aAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 days</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 days</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1 aB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within columns (upper-case letters) and within rows (lower-case letters) followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.01; LSD mean separation). Data are the means ± SE of a minimum of four and a maximum of nine replicates, each consisting of one pepper leaf per residue and concentration. Numbers in parentheses are the percentages of the corresponding consumption rate decrease.

Differences between the two concentrations in 0, 3, 20 and 30 day residues (P > 0.05 for all cases), with the exception of 24 h in the three-day-old residue (F = 26.13; df = 2, 9; P < 0.001) (Fig. 2). The mortality for all of these residues was between 25 and 60% for the two concentrations. In the ten-day-old residue, significant differences (P ≤ 0.007) were observed between mortality caused by the two concentrations of spinosad in the 144 h during which mortality was scored (Fig. 2). In this case, mortality was between 35 and 50% and between 55 and 80% for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ respectively.

Finally, a decrease in the biactivity of spinosad was observed. The mortality of S. exigua larvae was between 10 and 35% and between 20 and 65% for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹, respectively, in residues both 40 and 50 days old (Fig. 2). In each residue, significant differences (P ≤ 0.01 for both cases) were observed in mortality between the two concentrations that were bioassayed during the 144 h in which mortality was scored, with the exception of 72 h for the residue that was 50 days old.

Pupal formation of S. exigua larvae that survived the spinosad treatment was negatively affected (Table 1). This effect was significant for the age of residue (F = 2.26; df = 7, 79; P = 0.03) and treatment (F = 131; df = 2, 79; P < 0.001), but not for the interaction between the age of residue and treatment (F = 0.56; df = 14, 79; P = 0.88). Pupal formation was between 20 and 60% and between 21 and 41% for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ of spinosad, respectively, in all ages of leaf residues that were bioassayed. No significant differences were observed between the two concentrations, but they were all significantly lower than the controls, where the pupal formation was between 82 and 100% in all ages of leaf residues. Also, no significant differences were observed in pupal formation among most ages of leaf residues for each concentration bioassayed, the only exception being the residue that was 50 days old in a concentration of 60 mg L⁻¹.

3.3 Consumption rate

The effect of spinosad on the consumption rate of third instars of S. exigua exposed for 48 h to spinosad residues of different ages that were present in pepper foliage is presented in Table 2. The effect of the treatment was highly significant (F = 149.83; df = 2, 76; P < 0.001). No significant effect of the age of residue (F = 1.70; df = 7, 76; P = 0.13) was observed, nor of the interaction between the age of residue and treatment (F = 1.56; df = 14, 76; P = 0.11). In all ages of residue (0, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 days old) and in both concentrations that were bioassayed (60 and 120 mg L⁻¹), the consumption rate per larva was <1%, while the control larvae consumed between 1.2 and 1.9% of treated foliage.

4 DISCUSSION

It is well known that leaf age and environmental conditions influence the persistence, and consequently the efficacy, of any chemical insecticide. In particular, photolysis is the main responsible factor of a rapid breakdown of spinosad in the environment. In the dark, spinosad residues can persist for long periods of time. In wheat grains, degradation was only 37%, 8% 22% and 44% after 6, 7.5 and 9 months storage respectively. In contrast, in studies done under field conditions, where high levels of UV and visible radiation are expected from direct exposure to sunlight, a much faster degradation is reported. According to Saunders and Brett, the half-life of spinosad in conditions of leaf surface photolysis is 1.6–16 days. This value is in agreement, for example, with decreases in spinosad residues of 92.3% (in kiwi fruit leaves) and up to 97% (in cabbage, cauliflower and okra leaves) that were observed 55 days and 10 days after treatment respectively. Here, it was found that less than 30% of spinosad initially present on leaves was degraded after 50 days, which corresponded to a half-life longer than 2 months. This is in contrast to the results of a greenhouse study done by Al-Mohaimed who found 48 and 85% spinosad degradation on green peppers and tomatoes, respectively, at only 7 days after application. However, these results are not directly comparable with the present findings, because neither temperatures nor the amount of UV light inside the greenhouse were recorded, and they were probably very different. In the present study, the greenhouse intercepted about 75% of UV radiation, and consequently a much smaller reduction in degradation was found.

In the present study, the mortality of third instars of S. exigua decreased from 80 to 40% and from 85 to 62% for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ of spinosad, respectively, in all ages of leaf residues.
that were bioassayed. These results are similar to those that were obtained by Liu et al., who reported that the mortality decreased from 100 to 23% and from 100 to 85% in second and third instars of *Trichoplusia ni* (Hubner), respectively, when these were exposed to cabbage leaves (*Brassica oleracea* L.) with a field-aged leaf residue of 12 days after application. In another study, the mortality caused by spinosad residues that were present in eggplant leaves (*Solanum melongena* L.) also appeared to be age dependent for adults of *Epitrix fuscula* Crotch. This decrease in activity could be explained, as it was in this study, by the degradation of spinosad over time. On the other hand, spinosad residues that were 1.2 and 12 months old in cabbage leaves kept under laboratory conditions or in stored wheat (*Triticum* sp.) caused 100% mortality in third instars of *T. ni* and in adults of both the lesser grain borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.), and the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). This high mortality, caused by residues even after long storage times, was a result of the high persistence of the compound (up to 70%) because these cabbage leaves and wheat grains were not exposed to sunlight.

The extended chemical persistence and activity of spinosad on pepper foliage could have a significant impact on several aspects of integrated pest management of this crop. Based on a single greenhouse study, spinosad residues may provide up to 80% control of lab-reared, insecticide-susceptible *S. exigua* under greenhouse conditions. Additional sublethal effects, including reductions in fecundity and fertility similar to those reported for *S. littoralis*, *Plutella xylostella* (L.), and *Heliothis virescens* Fabricius, may continue to affect larvae and adults for long periods and may impact upon populations in following generations of beet armyworm.

Previous studies demonstrated that spinosad might cause several sublethal effects in subsequent instars of the treated insects. In this study, pupal formation of *S. exigua* larvae that survived the spinosad treatment was reduced by 40–80% and by 59–79% for 60 and 120 mg L⁻¹ of spinosad, respectively, in all ages of leaf residues that were bioassayed. Similarly, a reduction of 31 and 53% in pupal formation was observed in *P. xylostella* and cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner), when third and second instars were treated with 0.28 and 0.35 mg L⁻¹ of spinosad respectively. It is clear that the effect of this compound reported here was due to its long persistence on pepper leaves and slow degradation through time. Therefore, the spinosad amounts ingested by *S. exigua* larvae were sufficient to be accumulated and to persist within the insect body during development up to the pupal stage and cause the very long-term effect observed. On the other hand, although adult emergence size from both concentrations bioassayed was not measured, this developmental stage was visually smaller than the control. This finding could have practical implications because these individuals could oviposit fewer eggs than untreated individuals, which would consequently affect the population density of the next generation, as reported for *P. xylostella* and *H. armigera*.

In addition, in the present study, surviving larvae from both spinosad concentrations (60 and 120 mg L⁻¹) were smaller (early fourth instars) compared with control larvae (early fifth instars), which resulted in lower final pupal weights. Here, the authors observed a 10–18% weight gain suppression in pupae derived from *S. exigua* larvae that were fed with all residues and both concentrations mentioned (Pineda S, unpublished data). This result is similar to the reduction in weight (11–13%) that was observed in *H. armigera* pupae derived from second and fourth instars treated with spinosad. The reason for this reduction is not immediately apparent, but it can be speculated that it is directly related to the mode of action of spinosad. Neurotoxic insecticides cause paralysis of insects and, consequently, a cessation of feeding. In the present study, a decrease in the consumption rate has been previously reported in *S. exigua*, *S. littoralis* and the obliquebanded leafroller, *Chorisotoma rosaceana* (Harris), after treatment with compounds that belong to the spynosyn family.

In addition to mortality, the two concentrations of spinosad that were bioassayed decreased the consumption rate of third-instar *S. exigua* larvae in a similar manner (between 60 and 84%) in every residue age; the sole exception was the ten-day-old residues, which led to a reduction of 54% in the concentration of 120 mg L⁻¹. Similarly, spinosad caused a reduction of up to 98% in the consumption rate of third and fifth instars of this same species and second instars of gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar* L., that were fed leaf discs of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) and red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) respectively. In another study, 66-day-old residues of spinetoram, a compound of the same family of spynosyns as spinosad, reduced the consumption rate of neonates of *C. rosaceana* by 40 and 77% when it was applied to apple trees at half the MFRC and MFRC respectively. This effect is very important from a practical point of view because larval feeding damage to crops would be lesser, as reported by McLeod et al. with *E. fuscula* adults in eggplants that were treated with spinosad.

It is important to point out that it is difficult to extrapolate the results found in this study on the effects of spinosad residues on *S. exigua* larvae to real-world situations. The study was done with a laboratory population mass reared for many generations without contact with pesticides under controlled environmental conditions. In the field, however, changes at the microhabitat level and fluctuations in the environmental conditions or in the level of pesticide susceptibility in the population may have an influence on its mobility, feeding behaviour and susceptibility towards pesticides.

In conclusion, the results obtained in this study have demonstrated that the degradation of spinosad is slow under actual greenhouse conditions, and that its residues cause lethal and sublethal effects on *S. exigua* larvae. This indicates that the combination of both effects could have important implications for the population dynamics of the beet armyworm, contributing to its control. On the other hand, it is clear that the persistence of spinosad makes resistance management an important component of its use. Long-term, low-level selection by persistent materials is particularly conducive to resistance development, and care must be exercised to monitor tolerance levels in the field. Resistance monitoring is always an important component of integrated pest management in vegetable crops, and it may be even more important in this context, where a persistent material exerts selective pressure over an extended period of time. Therefore, if spinosad use is combined with other methods, such as mating disruption pheromones and biological and cultural control practices, it is possible to avoid resistance development in this pest; consequently, growers could use this compound for several years in an integrated management programme. Finally, the present findings suggest the need for further research to determine the factors that can accelerate the rate of disappearance after the 50 day period.
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