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Abstract
The application of methodologies for building ontologies can improve ontology quality. However, such quality is not guaranteed because of the difficulties involved in ontology modelling. These difficulties are related to the inclusion of anomalies or bad practices within the ontology development. In this context, our aim is to describe OOPS! (OntOlogy Pitfall Scanner), a tool for detecting pitfalls in ontologies.

Getting started
http://www.oege-upm.net/oops

Architecture

Pitfall catalogue
P1. Creating polysemous elements
P2. Creating synonyms as classes
P3. Creating the relationship “is” instead of using “rdfs:subClassOf”, “rdf:type” or “owl:sameAs”
P4. Creating unconnected ontology elements
P5. Defining wrong inverse relationships
P6. Including cycles in the hierarchy
P7. Merging different concepts in the same class
P8. Missing annotations
P9. Missing basic information
P10. Missing disjointness
P11. Missing domain or range in properties
P12. Missing equivalent properties
P13. Missing inverse relationships
P14. Misusing “owl:allValuesFrom”
P15. Misusing “not some” and “some not”
P16. Misusing primitive and defined classes
P17. Specializing too much a hierarchy
P18. Specifying too much the domain or the range
P19. Swapping intersection and union
P20. Missing ontology annotations
P21. Using a miscellaneous class
P22. Using different naming criteria in the ontology
P23. Using incorrectly ontology elements
P24. Using recursive definition
P25. Defining a relationship inverse to itself
P26. Defining inverse relationships for a symmetric one
P27. Defining wrong equivalent relationships
P28. Defining wrong symmetric relationships

Scanning ontologies

Conclusions
- OOPS! represents a step forward within ontology evaluation tools as:
  a) it enlarges the list of errors detected by most recent and available works
  b) it is fully independent of any ontology development environment
  c) it works with main web browsers (Firefox, Chrome, Safari and IE)
- It is being tested by OEG members and used by ontology developers who belong to different organizations (such as AtoS, Tecnalia, Departament Arquitectura, La Salle at Universitat Ramon Llull, etc.).
- It is freely available to users on the Web. Everyone can test it, provide feedback, suggest new pitfalls to be included in the catalogue and implemented into the tool.

Next steps
- To enlarge the pitfall catalogue by including:
  o new errors that might be detected during our research
  o pitfalls suggested by users
- To group and classify pitfalls by categories and allow the user to choose categories or particular pitfalls to check the ontology against
  o OOPS! more flexible and adaptable to specific user needs
- To increase OOPS! features with guidelines about how to solve each pitfall
  o To facilitate the task of repairing the ontology after the diagnosis
  o To associate priority levels to each pitfall according to their different types of consequences they can convey
  o To prioritize actions to be taken during the repairing task
- To make REST services available
  o To allow other developments to use and integrate the pitfall scanner functionalities within their applications
- To allow users to define pitfalls following a formal language, according to their particular quality criteria
  o To allow users to execute OOPS! in a customized way
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