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Abstract

This paper considers sub-bandgap photon absorption in an InAs/GaAs quantum dot matrix. Absorption coefficients are calculated for transitions from the extended states in the valence band to confined states in the conduction band. This completes a previous body of work in which transitions between bound states were calculated. The calculations are based on the empirical k • p Hamiltonian considering the quantum dots as parallelepipeds. The extended states may be only partially extended—in one or two dimensions—or extended in all three dimensions. It is found that extended-to-bound transitions are, in general, weaker than bound-to-bound transitions, and that the former are weaker when the initial state is extended in more coordinates. This study is of direct application to the research of intermediate band solar cells and other semiconductor devices based on light absorption in semiconductors nanostructured with quantum dots.

1. Introduction

The intermediate band (IB) solar cell [1-3] is intended to increase the photocurrent of ordinary solar cells by forming a permitted band for electrons in the forbidden band (or bandgap) of a semiconductor. The increase of current is produced by photons that do not have enough energy to pump electrons from the valence band (VB) the conduction band (CB) can use the IB as a stepping stone, thus producing an increase in current.

IBs and IB cells have been prepared by several methods [4-6]: this paper is concerned with that in which the IB is formed by the bound state levels of a quantum dot (QD), usually of InAs in a GaAs matrix [7-14]. Sub-bandgap photocurrent has been measured [15] and a model has been developed able to reproduce approximately the internal quantum efficiency [16,17].

This model proposes the so called empirical k • p Hamiltonian (EKPH) and is a four band k • p model [18] in which the spin orbit and strain induced terms are neglected in a first instance, but then they are accounted for by setting the measured band positions and effective masses in the eigenvalues of the four dimensional Hamiltonian matrix [16]. In this way, the eigenfunctions are of the form

\[ |\Sigma(r)\rangle = |X\rangle \psi_X(\mathbf{r}) + |Y\rangle \psi_Y(\mathbf{r}) + |Z\rangle \psi_Z(\mathbf{r}) + |S\rangle \psi_S(\mathbf{r}), \]

where |X\rangle, |Y\rangle, |Z\rangle and |S\rangle are the exact Hamiltonian solutions for the GaAs at the \( \Gamma \) point, |S\rangle corresponding to the CB and the others to the VB. Each solution may have positive or negative spin as the spin has not been considered in this solution. \( \psi_X(\mathbf{r}), \psi_Y(\mathbf{r}), \psi_Z(\mathbf{r}), \psi_S(\mathbf{r}) \) are the so called envolvent functions and may be considered as the projection of |\Sigma(\mathbf{r})\rangle on |X\rangle, |Y\rangle, |Z\rangle or |S\rangle.

In this model, the dipole matrix elements for the transitions associated with photons are expressed as

\[ \langle \Sigma | u \cdot \mathbf{r} | \Sigma' \rangle = \langle \psi_{\Sigma'}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \psi_{\Sigma} \rangle + \langle \psi_{\Sigma'}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \psi_{\Sigma'}' \rangle + \langle \psi_{\Sigma'}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \psi_{\Sigma}\rangle \]

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the polarization vector and \( \mathbf{r} \) the position vector. The primes discriminate between the initial and final states.

This paper is organized as follows: after the introduction, a brief description is presented of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the InAs in GaAs QDs classifying them and introducing their labeling. The results of the method of separation of variables to obtain the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions are presented with a discussion of the limit of validity of this method and the modifications to be made. The calculation of the interband absorption coefficients are presented. Finally some conclusions are drawn. They also include a vision of the challenges ahead to obtain a practical QD IB solar cell.

2. States and energy levels in quantum dot structured semiconductors

The introduction of the InAs QDs into the GaAs matrix produces bound (OE) states as represented in Fig. 1. Some of these are
derived from the CB (and they are generically called cb states) and some of them form the three bands that constitute the VB: heavy holes (hh) band, light holes (lh) band and split off (so) band. Some of these states are situated within the semiconductor bandgap and some are inside the CB and the VB. The latter are called virtual bound states. In addition, there are extended states (3E) [19], with energy fully inside the CB or the VB as well as states that are extended in one (1E) or two (2E) dimensions and bound in the others. These have their energy also inside the CB or the VB. Examples of wavefunctions representing bound states and partially extended states are represented in Fig. 2.

To further clarify the nomenclature, blue levels in Fig. 1 are labeled cb(111), cb(121), etc. for reasons explained later. The lower energy state cb(111) is the intermediate band (IB). If the semiconductor is n-doped, the electrons delivered will fall into this level partially filling it. Some photofilling is also possible as result of a detailed balance calculation [20,21] which is beyond the scope of this paper. The remaining (blue) bound states within the forbidden band are called ladder states (LS) [3] because they allow a more or less strong thermal contact between the IB and the CB.

From now on, the term CB shall only refer to states with energy above the GaAs CB bottom. Although the IB and LS are formed from the CB of the heterostructure, they are detached from the host CB and hence we use the lowercase nomenclature cb(121), etc. to describe them. On the contrary, the VB will include not only the states with energy below the GaAs VB top but also the magenta and green states even if they are within the GaAs bandgap. The reason for this is that they are expected to have the same quasi-Fermi level than all the states within the GaAs VB. It comprises all the hh, lh and so states.

3. The separation of variables model

In the EKPH model, the calculation of the energy levels is easy. The effective mass time-independent Schrödinger equation (TISE)

$$\hat{H}\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \nabla^2 \psi + V(r)\psi = E\psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

is to be solved for each band; $m^*$ is the effective mass and $V(r)$ is the band offset due to the inhomogeneity of the material. In the VBs, the effective masses are negative. Thus potential pedestals in the VBs behave as wells. These equations will give the set of energies. In our calculations, we have considered a very simple $V(r)$ function that is a "squared potential well/pedestal" in all the QD volume.

In the solution of the TISE, a separation of variables approximation has been applied so that $\psi(x) = \phi(x)\psi(y)\psi(z)$. Each one of these solutions is the solution of a one dimensional (1D) TISE, e.g.

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + E_x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| < a \\ U & \text{if } |x| \geq a \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $U$ being the band offset and $2a$ the length of the QD in the $x$ direction. The same applies to $\psi(y)$ and $\psi(z)$.

Finding the solutions for $\phi(x)$ (or for $\psi(y)$, $\psi(z)$) constitutes a simple exercise of differential equations. In this context, a
The validity of the separation of variables approximation has been examined in [19]. They are not exact eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian and the one admitting as solutions those with separation of variables (see its expression in [19]).

The energy of an absorbed photon is the difference between the final and initial electronic state energies. We call it \( E_{\text{ine}} \). In this case it is obtained by subtracting the VB extended state energy, calculated to the 1st order approximation, from the CB bound state energy within the bandgap, calculated in the separation of variables approximation (further approximation makes no significant change). That is,

\[
E_{\text{ine}} = E_G + E_{\text{CB}} - U_{\text{vb}} - \frac{\hbar^2 (k_{\text{in}}^2 + k_{\text{en}}^2 + k_{\text{eb}}^2)}{2m^*} + \langle \phi | V | \phi \rangle
\]

where \( E_{\text{CB}} \) is the cb bound state, which is negative for the cases in study (as well as \( \langle \phi | V | \phi \rangle \)).

According to Eq. (6), in the case of extended states, these equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\text{ex,ph}} &= E_G + E_{\text{ph}} + \frac{\hbar^2 (k_{\text{ph}}^2 + k_{\text{en}}^2 + k_{\text{eb}}^2)}{2m^*} + \langle \phi | V | \phi \rangle \\
E_{\text{ex,ph}} &= E_G + E_{\text{ph}} + U_{\text{ph}} + \frac{\hbar^2 (k_{\text{ph}}^2 + k_{\text{en}}^2 + k_{\text{eb}}^2)}{2m^*} + \langle \phi | V | \phi \rangle + \frac{\hbar^2 (k_{\text{ph}}^2 + k_{\text{en}}^2 + k_{\text{eb}}^2)}{2m^*}
\end{align*}
\]

for 1E, 2E and 3E states respectively. The subindices clarify which variables are extended and which are bound. QNs always refer to bound variables. The formulas for other extended variables are obtained by permuting the variables. In all the cases the continuum spectrum appears above a certain threshold that depends on the energy of the confined part of the eigenfunction. This is clearly visible in Fig. 1(b) where the lines in the regions 1E and 2E represent these thresholds. Above one of them there is a continuum of states with one or two \( k_e \) variables that can be freely chosen. However what is reported in this figure corresponds to the CB, while in this paper we are interested in the extended states in the VB.

Notice that every extended dimension produced adds \( U_{\text{ph}} \) to the threshold energy which is partly compensated by the negative value of \( \langle \phi | V | \phi \rangle \) so that the largest threshold energies approach to the top of the VB (the smallest threshold energies approach to the bottom of the CB in Fig. 1(b)). In the 3E case, \( \langle \phi | V | \phi \rangle = -2U_{\text{ph}} \) so that the two terms balance out. This means that the threshold for 3E functions in the VB is the top of it.

In this paper we are using a first order approximation approach. The use of exact values is not convenient because the wavefunctions are then linear combinations of separation-of-variables wavefunctions (which is a basis), in most cases with one dominant basis function. This makes the labeling of the states cumbersome. Also, the absorption lines would be a mixture of two or more of the absorption coefficients used here, although with

### Table 1

Parameters used in the calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( a (\text{nm}) )</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>( m_{\text{ph}} )</th>
<th>0.333</th>
<th>( U_{\text{ph}} ) (eV)</th>
<th>0.212</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( c (\text{nm}) )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>( m_{\text{ph}} )</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>( U_{\text{ph}} ) (eV)</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{ph}} )</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>( E_{\text{ph}} ) (eV)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>( E_{\text{ph}} ) (eV)</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{\text{ph}} )</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>( U_{\text{ph}} ) (eV)</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
one dominating. Thus the use of the exact wavefunctions makes the calculations inextricable. The use of the first order approximation renders the problem manageable.

4. Calculation of the absorption coefficients

The absorption coefficient for transitions between levels under vertical (z) illumination is given by [16]

\[
\alpha_{\text{line}}(E) = \frac{2 \pi^2 \hbar^2 E}{n \hbar v_0} \left[ \langle E|\mathcal{E}|E'\rangle^2 + \langle E|\mathcal{E}|E'\rangle^2 \right] / 4a^2 \times N_b(E-E_{\text{line}})
\]

where \( n \) is the index of refraction, \( F \) is the fraction of surface covered by QDs, and \( N_b \) is the number of QD layers per centimeter. \( E_{\text{line}} \) is the energy difference between the initial and final states. The rest are universal constants with their usual symbols.

We present in Fig. 3 (in red) the sub-bandgap absorption for transitions between bound states (including the virtual bound states) under vertical illumination. Under the hypothesis of full collection of the generated electron–hole pairs, this absorption has permitted calculation of the internal quantum efficiency. It has agreed rather well with the measured value [16,17]. This absorption has been calculated by calculating all the corresponding integrals. The use of the first order approximation renders the problem manageable. The use of the exact wavefunctions makes the calculations inextricable. The use of the first order approximation renders the problem manageable.

We study now the absorption coefficients for the transitions from 1E, 2E and 3E VB states to the 1B and ladder states. We take as examples the transitions hh(31e)→cb(121), lh(e2o)→cb(111) and hh(eoe)→cb(221). The labels e and o refer to even or odd one ID extended states. The expression for the 1E, 2E and 3E cases are respectively

\[
\alpha_{\text{hh}(31e)\text{cb}(121)} = \frac{L^3}{2\pi^2} a_{\text{hh}(31e)\text{cb}(121)}(E,E_{\text{line}},E_{\text{line}}) dE
\]

\[
\alpha_{\text{lh}(e2o)\text{cb}(111)} = \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2} a_{\text{lh}(e2o)\text{cb}(111)}(E,E_{\text{line}},E_{\text{line}}) dE
\]

\[
\alpha_{\text{hh}(eoe)\text{cb}(221)} = \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2} a_{\text{hh}(eoe)\text{cb}(221)}(E,E_{\text{line}},E_{\text{line}}) dE
\]

where we have made the following transformations of variables, respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\text{line}} &= (2\hbar^2 / m^*)^{1/3} (E-E_{\text{line}}) \\
E_{\text{line}} &= (2\hbar^2 / m^*)^{2/3} \cos \omega \\
E_{\text{line}} &= (2\hbar^2 / m^*)^{1/3} \sin \omega \\
E_{\text{line}} &= (2\hbar^2 / m^*)^{2/3} \cos \phi \sin \theta \\
E_{\text{line}} &= (2\hbar^2 / m^*)^{1/3} \sin \phi \sin \theta
\end{align*}
\]

The integrals are obtained in the same way as for the transitions between bound states within the CB. Black: total sub-bandgap absorption due to the former transitions and bound states (for interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{\text{hh}(31e)\text{cb}(121)} &= \frac{L^3}{2\pi^2} a_{\text{hh}(31e)\text{cb}(121)}(E,E_{\text{line}},E_{\text{line}}) dE \\
\alpha_{\text{lh}(e2o)\text{cb}(111)} &= \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2} a_{\text{lh}(e2o)\text{cb}(111)}(E,E_{\text{line}},E_{\text{line}}) dE \\
\alpha_{\text{hh}(eoe)\text{cb}(221)} &= \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2} a_{\text{hh}(eoe)\text{cb}(221)}(E,E_{\text{line}},E_{\text{line}}) dE
\end{align*}
\]
Notice that below the threshold energy no absorption is produced. For the 1E states this absorption coefficient has a singularity (infinite) in $E_{31,31}$, similar to that in the quantum wires. As done with the Dirac deltas for the bound states, here this singularity is smoothed with a soft step function.

To obtain all the absorptions from the IE functions to the cb (121) bound state we must add all the combinations of $(nx,ny,nz)$ and then $(nx,ny,0)$ with $nx,ny$ running form 1–7. The symmetries may reduce number of calculations (approximately divided by 8) because $x$ and $y$ are symmetric and the parity either $nx$ or $ny$ has to differ once in the initial and final states [17]. To this we must sum the absorptions for the 1Ey states. The number of calculations (approximately divided by 8) is located in all cases near the VB top. In the 3E case this is strictly at the VB top.

The absorption from VB extended states and CB virtual bound states is not calculated in this paper because it leads to transitions beyond the bandgap range of energies.

5. Conclusions

The most straightforward conclusion of this work is represented in Fig. 4. It can be concluded that the extended states absorb less light than the bound states. This is intuitive because the occurrence of a transition requires an overlap of the initial and final states. When one of these is extended, then the overlap is reduced; this is partly compensated by the existence of many extended states, but not sufficiently to give strong absorption, as demonstrated in this article. 1E extended states lead to higher absorption than 2E and much more than 3E but still smaller than 0E states. Spherical QDs do not have virtual bound states (see e.g. [24] chap. 11). Therefore, transitions from the cb bound states within the bandgap and the CB may be too weak for spherical QDs.

The curves in Fig. 4 provide data for an exact detailed balance calculation of the intermediate band solar cell, like the one in [21].

In this paper, only absorptions within the bandgap range of energies are calculated. The reason for not considering photons beyond the bandgap is that the light reaching the IB material is filtered by the emitter so that no photon with energy above the bandgap reaches the IB material. Even if some reach it, they will be absorbed by the strong absorption between extended states of the VB and the CB.

The sub-bandgap absorption by transitions between VB and CB bound states is represented in Fig. 3 (red curve). When the absorption between VB extended states and the IB and ladder states are added, the black curve is obtained. It is apparent that the extended states affect the absorption curve very slightly and that our assumption in [16,17], that only the transitions between bound states is important, is correct. The agreement between the calculated and the measured QE, that was a justification of the model, is confirmed by the results of this paper.

The transitions from the bound states of the VB to the virtual bound states within the CB is represented by the blue curve in Fig. 3. Actually, this constitutes a shrinking of the bandgap due to the QDs and in a wider sense reflects transitions between the VB and the CB. This is so because the lh states are in thermal contact with the whole VB due to the small energy interval between them. The same can be said about the lh states, which are immersed in the hh range of energies. On the other hand, the cb virtual bound states are within the CB and therefore in thermal equilibrium with it. This shrinkage is visible in Fig. 1 where the lh states, colored in magenta, represent the extent to which the VB enters into the GaAs bandgap.

This bandgap shrinkage does not necessarily imply a substantial reduction of the voltage of the solar cells currently manufactured with this material, as shown in [25,26]. In reality, the density of (hh and lh) states introduced within the band by the QDs is rather faint (as confirmed by the weak absorption of light). The situation may change if a much bigger density of QDs is introduced.

![Fig. 4. Absorption coefficient for the transitions from the VBs and the bound states of the CB. Red: from the 0E bound states. Blue: from the 1E states. Green: from the 2E states. Purple: from the 3E states. Black: sum of all the preceding. (a) to cb (111), (b) to cb (211) and (c) to cb (221) (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
Observe also in Fig. 3 that the influence of the extended states is really important beyond 1.38 eV. This is the region in which a rather strong absorption is produced, attributed to the wetting layer associated to the manufacturing of the QDs by growth of epilayer layers of InAs on GaAs in the Stranski Krastanov model. This layer actually forms a quantum well whose absorption is much stronger. No attempt to model the QE in this region has been made in [16,17].

In this paper, the transitions between the VB bound states and the extended states of the CB have not been studied. We are mainly interested in how the CB bound states situated within the band are filled with VB electrons by absorption of photons. The transitions between VB bound states and CB extended states do not affect the latter mechanism and their calculation is only useful to predict the sub-bandgap QE. In addition, by analogy with the transitions between VB extended states and CB sub-bandgap bound states, it has to be presumed that their role is small as compared to the transitions between bound states represented by the blue curve in Fig. 3.

It is also of interest to bring to this paper a conclusion from another paper [27] related to the transitions between the IB and the ladder states and the CB. In this case, only the transitions between bound states and virtual bound states are permitted together with the transitions between bound states and 1E states in the CB. However, the latter is weaker that the former.

If we extend this section to report not only to what we have found in this paper, but also of what we have learned in several years of research on the challenges still remaining to manufacture a practical IB solar cell based on QDs, the first of all is the weak sub-bandgap light absorption. This is mainly due to the small density of QDs existing in the solar cells, presently in the range of $5 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$. Increases 20 fold present values [16] are at the reach of the today's technology if surfaces with higher crystallographic indices are used as growth substrate to promote a denser nucleation [28,29] and the QD layer separation is reduced from 80 nm, used by us to prevent tunneling, to the 20 nm commonly used. Tunneling may be prevented in this case using field damping layers, or by employing a wide pitch for the QDs situated in the space charge region and a narrower one for those in the neutral zones. With these values of the IQE of 0.1 for the lowest IB absorption are achievable. This value may be higher if we consider that smaller QDs, like those produced in [28] will increase the light absorption about 2-3 times [30]. Furthermore, the number of QD layers may be increased from the present 40 in our modeled cell to up to 100 achieved by several authors. Finally, photon management techniques may also be added to the solar cell.

In the early stages, much discussion was placed on the photon selectivity that requires each photon is only absorbed by the transition that allows the highest efficiency [31,32]. This is not an issue at the present time, where the absorption of sub-bandgap photons is weak and few are detracted by the photon flow. Even in a scenario of strong absorption, part of the problem is solved insofar that the photons allowing VB–CB transitions may be absorbed by the emitter that is an ordinary semiconductor.

Another challenge is associated with the preservation of the voltage, one of the important claims for the ideal IB solar cell. Present IB solar cells, as most of the solar cells of any type, have their open circuit voltage limited by non-radiative SRH recombination associated to deep recombination centers caused by impurities and imperfections. Their recombination current is controlled by the minority carriers and these depend on the bandgap, a higher bandgap producing a lower recombination current. However the IB solar cells operating at room temperature present an effective bandgap for this recombination that is the energy difference between the IB-level and the VB [3,33], which is smaller than the bandgap of the cell without the QDs. Therefore the SRH recombination is stronger and the voltage is smaller. The reason for this reduced bandgap is that the IB is in thermal contact to the actual CB through the ladder states described in this paper. When the temperature is decreased this thermal contact (through thermal photons and phonons) is reduced and the IB solar cell may recover [34] very high open circuit voltage. Good quality IB solar cells may counteract this shortcoming, as has been proven in practice [35].

The IB–CB thermal contact is reduced in larger bandgap solar cell as those with barrier material of GaAlAs [36]. Furthermore, smaller QDs may remove the ladder states and therefore reduce the thermal contact, besides increasing the illumination photon absorption [27]. A longer review is presented in [3].
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