Taxonomic differences between *Pinus sylvestris* and *P. uncinata* revealed in the stomata and cuticle characters for use in the study of fossil material
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ABSTRACT

Taxonomic differences in the needle epidermis characteristics of *Pinus sylvestris* L. and *Pinus uncinata* Ramond ex DC. from two Iberian populations were sought; such information could help identify these species when pollen analysis and the inspection of wood anatomy fail. The features of the cuticle are commonly well preserved in the fossil record. Although the epidermal patterns of the examined taxa were similar, qualitative differences were seen in the subsidiary and guard cells. *P. sylvestris* showed small subsidiary cells homogenously arranged around the opening of the epistomatal chamber, while *P. uncinata* showed small, lateral subsidiary cells and non-differentiated subsidiary cells in the polar position. The aperture of the epistomatic chamber of *P. uncinata* was also larger in diameter (15.1 ± 1.8 μm *P. sylvestris*; 21.1 ± 2.8 μm *P. uncinata*). Principal components analysis and discriminant analysis was performed on the features of the guard cells characterising the size and shape of the cuticular thickenings — all the variables analysed can be measured in disperse stomata in microscope preparations for pollen analysis. Significant differences were found in the upper woody lamellae width and the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (discriminant analysis weighting 0.739 and 0.826 respectively). Other significant parameters included the coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of the guard cells with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders, and the length of the upper woody lamella. Different light regimens appeared not to significantly affect the variability of the studied features.

1. Introduction

The cuticle preserves epidermal features of the leaf that are potentially important from a taxonomic point of view (Florin, 1939; Stace, 1965; Theobald et al., 1979; Kerp, 1990). The analysis of the shape and arrangement of the epidermal cells and other foliar structures such as trichomes and papillae has provided new evidence for phylogenetic and taxonomic discussion (Alvin et al., 1980; Barrón and Buades, 2002). Cuticle analysis has been used to revise the phylogeny of the genus *Pinus* L. and to classify it into sections, subsections and subgenera (Yoshie and Sakai, 1985; Kim et al., 1999; Whang et al., 2001, 2004).

Owing to the cuticle's strong resistance to degradation, its structures are frequently well conserved in samples from palaeobotanical sites (Florin, 1939; Stace, 1965; Boulter, 1971; Theobald et al., 1979; Kerp, 1990). This, has led to substantial interest in its study. The analysis of cuticles is of particular value in the case of *Pinus*, as pollen commonly does not allow identification at the species level in this genus (Huntley and Birks, 1983). In addition, wood anatomy often cannot be used to distinguish between *Pinus sylvestris* L. and *Pinus uncinata* Ramond ex DC. (Schweingruber, 1990). The importance of the genus *Pinus* in the forest dynamics of Iberia's vegetation history is relatively well known, but our knowledge of the actual species involved remains poor. Taxonomic precision with respect to fossil *Pinus* material, however, is of considerable palaeophytoecographic interest (Bennett and Parducci, 2006). The identification of species in the fossil record via the analysis of needle fragment cuticles and isolated stomata may help reveal forest history and the dynamics of species distribution more in detail.

Peat sediments used in palynological investigations commonly harbour the remains of cuticles belonging to trees of the genus *Pinus* (Del Río Merino, 2000; Rubiales et al., 2007). Analysis of these remains allows the identification of subsidiary cells of the stomatal complex, and reveals the structure and dimensions of the aperture of the epistomatic chamber (pore). Moreover, isolated stomata are frequently preserved in palynological preparations (Aubert et al., 2004; Franco Múgica et al., 2001). Fossil remains of both types have been found at palaeobotanical sites in the mountains of southwestern Europe (Del Río Merino, 2000; Aubert et al., 2004). In this area, *P. sylvestris* and/or *P. uncinata* were abundant throughout the Quaternary. Being able to identify these species from their stomat...
and/or cuticle characteristics would improve the interpretation of palynological and palaeobotanical datasets.

Any study on the morphological characteristics of widespread species, such as *P. sylvestris* (Gausseen et al., 1964; Farjon, 1984), will necessarily run into difficulties when it tries to be complete and rigorous. However, if the information provided by local or regional studies is integrated with that of studies from other areas of Europe that used the same methodology (e.g., those of Struzková, 2002; Sweeney, 2003), conclusions can be reached that are applicable to extensive territories. The selection of *P. sylvestris* and *P. uncinata* for the present study is the consequence of their being the only two *Pinus* species compatible with the environmental conditions prevailing in the high mountain areas of the Iberian Peninsula after the Wurmian glacial maximum (Costa et al., 1997). Palaeoecological remains that can be analysed are found in these areas (Rubiales et al., 2007; Turner and Hannon, 1988).

The aim of the present investigation was to examine the diagnostic value of the epidermal features of the needles of contemporary *P. sylvestris* and *P. uncinata*, such as the stomatal complex; the subsidiary cells, the epistomatic chamber and the cuticular thickening of the guard cells, and to assess the possibility of using these to distinguish the species represented in fossil materials.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Material and pilot study

The studied material came from two natural Iberian populations (see Fig. 1 and Table 1). A pilot study was undertaken on 30 pine needles collected from one tree of each population to determine the number of needles required from each tree in the full study. In this pilot study the stability of variance was determined using the artificial sampling techniques of Efron (1982). The optimum sample size for the set of variables was set to a maximum error of 5%; significance was set at P<0.01 (Hansen et al., 1953). The pilot study also verified the possible influence of light conditions on the examined variables. However, if the information provided by local or regional studies is integrated with that of studies from other areas of Europe that used the same methodology (e.g., those of Struzková, 2002; Sweeney, 2003), conclusions can be reached that are applicable to extensive territories. The selection of *P. sylvestris* and *P. uncinata* for the present study is the consequence of their being the only two *Pinus* species compatible with the environmental conditions prevailing in the high mountain areas of the Iberian Peninsula after the Wurmian glacial maximum (Costa et al., 1997). Palaeoecological remains that can be analysed are found in these areas (Rubiales et al., 2007; Turner and Hannon, 1988).

The aim of the present investigation was to examine the diagnostic value of the epidermal features of the needles of contemporary *P. sylvestris* and *P. uncinata*, such as the stomatal complex; the subsidiary cells, the epistomatic chamber and the cuticular thickening of the guard cells, and to assess the possibility of using these to distinguish the species represented in fossil materials.

| Table 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Region of origin</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Geographic coordinates (°)</th>
<th>DBH (cm)</th>
<th>C. Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus sylvestris</em></td>
<td>Guadarrama Range</td>
<td>Navacerrada</td>
<td>40°47'19&quot;N 4°30'30&quot;W</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus uncinata</em></td>
<td>Central Pyrenees</td>
<td>Llata (Navarra)</td>
<td>42°56'0&quot;N 0°48'0&quot;W</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Six additional trees of the same characteristics as in the pilot study were eventually sampled in each population (Table 1).

2.2. Cuticle preparation

For the preparation of cuticles, a 5 mm-long fragment was cut from the middle third of each needle. These fragments were boiled in water for one hour to eliminate the epicuticular waxes; they were then macerated in Schultz's solution (Kerp, 1990). After manually removing the remains of the mesophyll and part of the hypodermis, the fragments' cuticles were mounted on microscopic slides for examination by transmitted light microscopy. To examine the influence of acetolysis (a common palynological technique) on the variables measured, this was performed on three needles from each population following the method of Faegri and Iversen (1989). Cuticular and stomatal analyses were performed by examining photographs at a magnification of x600. Image Pro-Plus (IPP4) software was used to compare the variables examined.

2.3. Analysis of the cuticle

The cuticles were subjected to qualitative and quantitative analyses. The former involved the observation of the epidermal cells and the stomatal apparatus as a whole. The shape and arrangement of the subsidiary cells within each stomatal complex were examined, as was the shape of the pore. Quantitative analysis centred on measuring the diameter of this aperture (Fig. 2). The recorded values were subjected to ANOVA to determine the influence of the factor 'species'.

2.4. Analysis of stomata

This involved characterisation of the size and shape of the cuticular thickening of the guard cell walls. Based on the work of other authors (Hansen, 1995; Sweeney, 2003) and on preliminary observations of the collected samples, 11 variables were identified for measurement (the terminology employed is that of Florin, 1931; Trattmum (1953) and Hansen (1995); see Appendix A for further information). Five coefficients were also calculated owing to their theoretical independence of stomatal size and environmental conditions (Ticha, 1982; Jones, 1992; García-Amorena et al., 2006). Table 2 shows all 16 stomatal variables measured.

The data obtained for each cuticle preparation in the final sample were subjected to principal components analysis (PCA) to determine the informative weight of each variable. Discriminant analysis was then performed to obtain a function capable of identifying needle fragments as either belonging to *P. sylvestris* or *P. uncinata*. All calculations were performed using SPSS v14.0.1 or STATGRAPHICS (Centurion XV) software.

3. Results

The analysis of the stability of variance performed in the pilot study showed that five needles per tree were sufficient to cover the variability of the data with a confidence level of 90%. For each pine

![Fig. 1. Map of the Iberian Peninsula showing the populations sampled.](image-url)
needle the variance of the variables measured stabilised with less than ten measurements. Thus, to obtain reliable values for each variable, ten measurements were made on each of five needles from each of the six remaining trees per population.

3.1. Influence of sun/shade

Comparison of the needles from the sunny and shaded sides of the tree showed that the quantitative characteristics of their cuticles and stomata were very similar. ANOVA involving the factor sun/shade showed there to be no difference between the diameter of the pores, nor among 14 of the stomatal variables examined (level of confidence 99%). Significant differences were only seen between the sunny and shady needles in terms of the stomatal width (At) and the stem width (At) both in *P. sylvestris* and *P. uncinata* (*P*<0.05).

3.2. Cuticle characteristics

The adaxial and abaxial surfaces were distinguishable due to the smaller width of the latter. The qualitative study of the cuticles of both species showed them to be morphologically very similar on both surfaces. The epidermis was composed of very elongated cells and the stomata arranged longitudinally in stomatal rows (Fig. 3). All stomata were sunken below the epidermal surface. The guard cells maintained contact with the exterior via the epistomatic chamber (Fig. 2C). Subsidiary cells totally or partially covered this chamber.

The stomatal complex provided the most taxonomic information. The subsidiary cells, the shape of the pore and the surrounding cuticular structures were different in the two species. The remaining epidermal cells were similar. *Pinus sylvestris* showed circular apertures for its epistomatic chambers, completely surrounded by a ring-like structure. Under the optical microscope this ring appeared to be formed by two small, elongated polar cells and between two and six even smaller, isometric lateral cells (Fig. 2A). *Pinus uncinata* showed subpolygonal apertures for its epistomatic chambers, the borders of which were marked by two to six isometric subsidiary cells, and, at the poles, two epidermal cells not differentiated from the remaining cells of the stomatal row (Fig. 2B).

ANOVA showed the size of the pores of the two species to be significantly different. Those of *P. uncinata* were larger (with a mean [standard deviation] of 21.05 [2.75] \(\mu m\)) than those of *P. sylvestris* (15.06 [1.80] \(\mu m\)) (Fig. 4). The 95% confidence levels for the two species showed overlap between 15.54-18.65 \(\mu m\). Thus, with a probability of 95%, values of <15.54 \(\mu m\) can be attributed to *P. sylvestris* while those of >18.65 \(\mu m\) can be attributed to *P. uncinata*. The uniformly most powerful test (UMP) (Rios, 1977) curve did not go below 91%; the best decision threshold was 17.42 \(\mu m\) (Table 3).

3.3. Stomatal characteristics

The qualitative study of the stomata of both species showed them to have similar qualitative features (Fig. 5). The lower woody lamella covered the entire lower periclinal wall of each guard cell. The upper woody lamella was thinner but less extensive than its lower counterpart. The stems were seen at the poles of the stomata. The thickening of the medial lamellae borders ran along the guard cells from stem to stem. A narrow, very little thickened outer wall was seen in the central part of the guard cells.

Statistical analysis of the 16 examined stomatal variables revealed significant differences between the species (see below).

3.4. Principal components analysis

PC1 showed stomatal differences that influenced taxonomic identification (Fig. 6). Fig. 7 shows the weight of each variable in

---

**Fig. 2.** A. Stomatal complex of *P. sylvestris*. p: aperture of the epistomatic chamber (pore). a: lateral subsidiary cells. b: polar subsidiary cells. B. Stomatal complex of *P. uncinata*. p: aperture of the epistomatic chamber (pore). a: lateral subsidiary cells. b: subsidiary cells not differentiated from those of the stomatal row. C. Epistomatic chamber of *P. uncinata*. a: guard cell. b: epistomatic chamber. c: epidermal cells. p: aperture of the epistomatic chamber (pore).
Table 2

Measured characters describing variation of size and shape of stomatal cuticular thickenings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mentioned in previous studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stomatal width</td>
<td>( A_a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomatal length</td>
<td>( L_a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper woody lamellae width</td>
<td>( A_b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper woody lamella length</td>
<td>( L_b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at their centre</td>
<td>( l_c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at the point where both meet to form the stem (see Appendix A for the use of this term)</td>
<td>( l_d )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem length</td>
<td>( l_t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem width</td>
<td>( A_t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of attachment of upper woody lamella</td>
<td>( \alpha ) ( (\alpha) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle between the stem and medial lamella border</td>
<td>( \beta ) ( (\beta) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of stomatal slimness ( ^a )</td>
<td>( \text{coef}_a = A_a/A_t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of slimness of the upper woody lamellae ( ^a )</td>
<td>( \text{coef}_b = A_b/L_t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders ( ^a )</td>
<td>( \text{coef}_c = l_c/l_d )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of a guard cell with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at their centre ( ^a )</td>
<td>( \text{coef}_e = l_c/l_d )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of slimness of the stem ( ^a )</td>
<td>( \text{coef}_T = A_t/l_t )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Recalculated variables.

Fig. 3. Cuticles of *P. uncinata* (left) and *P. sylvestris* (right), a: non-differentiated epidermal cells, b: apertures of epistomatic chambers, c: needle tooth.

Fig. 4. Normal distributions of the populations obtained from data (means and SD) for the variable \( p \) for both *P. sylvestris* and *P. uncinata*.

The features of the stoma and cuticle structure observed were those generally used in descriptions of *Pinus subgenus Pinus* (Trautmann, 1953; Mirov, 1967; Esau, 1982; Yoshie and Sakai, 1985; Yu, 1997).

3.5. Discriminant analysis

Discriminant analysis of the stomatal variables provided the following function:

\[
\text{Discriminant function} = 0.739 \cdot A_b + 0.277 \cdot L_b + 0.826 \cdot \text{coef}_c - 0.540 \cdot \text{coef}_e
\]

(Wilks \( \Lambda = 0.228; P = 0.01; \) relative error 2.54%).

4. Discussion

The features of the stoma and cuticle structure observed were those generally used in descriptions of *Pinus subgenus Pinus* (Trautmann, 1953; Mirov, 1967; Esau, 1982; Yoshie and Sakai, 1985; Yu, 1997).
Table 3
Measurement of the variables $p$ (diameter of the aperture of the epistomatic chamber), $Ab$ (upper woody lamellae width) and $coef_c$ (coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae border) in the Iberian populations of $P. sylvestris$ and $P. uncinata$. $x$ (μm): mean, $\sigma$ (μm): standard deviation, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval, P95: values within the 95% probability range of belonging to the population. UMP: the uniformly most powerful test shows the threshold of the uncertainty zone (u) plus the maximum associated error (e).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$x$ (μm)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (μm)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P95</th>
<th>UMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$P. sylvestris$</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.46-18.65 Mm</td>
<td>$p&lt;15.54 Mm$</td>
<td>17.62 Mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. uncinata$</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>15.54-26.56 μm</td>
<td>$p&gt;18.65 Mm$</td>
<td>23.16 Mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ab$</td>
<td>$P. sylvestris$</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>28.33-38.01 μm</td>
<td>$Ab&gt;34.37 Mm$</td>
<td>35.88 Mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. uncinata$</td>
<td>39.08</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>34.38-45.10 μm</td>
<td>$Ab&gt;38.01 Mm$</td>
<td>40.59 Mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$coef_c$</td>
<td>$P. sylvestris$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.82-1.16</td>
<td>$coef_c&lt;0.94$</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P. uncinata$</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.94-1.38</td>
<td>$coef_c&gt;1.16$</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hansen, 1995; Farjon and Styles, 1997; Sweeney, 2003). The present study, however, identified significant differences among some of these variables that allow $P. sylvestris$ and $P. uncinata$ to be distinguished.

The populational stability of the epidermal and stomatal features investigated strengthens their taxonomic diagnostic value. In addition, none of the studied characteristics, except for stem width ($At$) and stomatal width ($Aa$), were affected by the needles growing in the sun or in the shade.

4.1. Characteristics of the cuticle

In the studied populations, the epidermal cells outside of the stomatal complex were of no value in distinguishing between the two species; this is also been reported by Boratynska and Bobowicz (2001). However, the shape and arrangement of the subsidiary cells of the stomatal complex and the shape of the pores and surrounding

Fig. 5. Stomatal variables. $Aa$: stomatal width; $La$: stomatal length; $Ab$: upper woody lamellae width; $Lb$: upper woody lamella length; $ld$: distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at the centre; $le$: distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at the point where both meet to form the stem; $e$: medial lamellae border width; $l_t$: stem length; $alfa$: angle of attachment of the upper woody lamella; $beta$: angle between the stem and medial lamella border; $coef_a = Aa/La$ (coefficient of stomatal slimness); $coef_b = Ab/Lb$ (coefficient of slimness of the upper woody lamellae); $coef_c = Ic/e$ (coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae border); $coef_e = Ic/e$ (coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of a guard cell with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders); $coef_T = At/Lt$ coefficient of slimness of the stem. Terminology based on that of Florin (1931), Trautmann (1953) and Hansen (1995) (Appendix A).
curricular thickenings offered a reliable means of distinguishing between them.

The circular structure around the epistomatic chamber openings could be seen perfectly in all the _P. sylvestris_ material studied, as reported for other European populations of this species (Struzkova, 2002). This finding suggests this structure to be taxonomically stable within this taxon. In contrast, no such ring was seen in _P. uncinata_. The descriptions of the stomatal complexes of other taxa close to _P. uncinata_, e.g., _P. mugo_ Turra, do not mention this feature either (Struzkova, 2002), strengthening the idea that this element can be used to distinguish between _P. sylvestris_ and _P. uncinata_.

In _P. sylvestris_ the ring in question corresponds to the cuticular thickenings described by Florin (1931) for many species of _Pinus_ (Florin ring). Later studies have emphasized the taxonomic importance of this ring. Six different types have been identified: four of them occur in the subgenus _Pinus_, of which one is seen in _P. sylvestris_ (Yoshie and Sakai, 1985; Farjon and Styles, 1997; Kim et al., 1999; Whang et al., 2001, 2004).

The diameter of the aperture of the epistomatic chamber was also found to be useful for distinguishing between needles of _P. sylvestris_ and _P. uncinata_ (Fig. 4, Table 3). The values obtained for the _P. sylvestris_ population are around the mean for the European populations studied to date (Table 4) (Struzkova, 2002), illustrating the intraspecific stability of this feature. No European references are available for _P. uncinata_. The values of the closest relative, _P. mugo_, are similar to those recorded for _P. uncinata_ in the present study. Although the mean value for the European populations (Struzkova, 2002) differs somewhat from those recorded in the present work for _P. uncinata_, it still falls within the normal range (95% CI).

The similarity in the appearance of the stomatal complex of _P. uncinata_ and that described for _P. mugo_ (Struzkova, 2002) indicates the stability of this structure within the _P. uncinata_- _P. mugo_ group. The similarity of the cuticular characteristics of _P. uncinata_ and _P. mugo_ reflects their close phylogeny and may support the grouping of these two taxa into a single species, _Pinus montana_ Mill. (Mirov, 1987).

### 4.2. Stomatal characteristics

The general features of all the stomata observed in the present work were similar to those described by Traumann (1953), Esau (1982), Hansen (1995) and Sweeney (2003) for the genus _Pinus_. The stomata of _P. sylvestris_ and _P. uncinata_ showed no qualitative differences. However, significant differences were detected between the stomatal variables defined.

Five variables were selected by PCA for their taxonomic value: the stomatal width (_Ad_), the upper woody lamellae width (_Ab_), the coefficient of stomatal slimmness (coef_c), the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c), and the

![Fig. 7. Influence of every parameter in the first principal component. Component 1 = 0.923 * _Ab_ + 0.921 * _At_ + 0.676 * coef_c + 0.654 * _K_ + 0.550 * _c_ + 0.509 * coef_L + 0.478 * coef_L + 0.417 * _L_ - 0.272 * _d_ - 0.265 * _d_ - 0.230 * _L_ - 0.167 * _L_ + 0.112 * _A_ - 0.0996 * coef_c + 0.0256 * coef_L.](image)

![Fig. 8. Plot of upper woody lamellae width (Ab) values against coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c) values for the studied needles.](image)

![Fig. 9. Normal distributions of the populations obtained from the data (means and SD) for the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) for both _P. sylvestris_ and _P. uncinata_.](image)

![Fig. 10. Normal distributions of the populations obtained from the data (means and SD) for the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae border (coef_c) for both _P. sylvestris_ and _P. uncinata_.](image)
distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at their centre (l_c). Several redundant variables can be omitted because of their strong linear relationship. With respect to the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) and the stomatal width (Aa), the former is best maintained since it has the greatest weight in PCI and is strongly correlated to stomatal width (Aa) and the coefficient of stomatal slimmness (coef_a). Further, since this variable involves the upper woody lamellae, unlike Aa and coef_a which involve the lower woody lamellae, it is easier to measure in specimens degraded by acetolysis (Hansen, 1995). With respect to the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c) and the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders measured at their centre (l_c), the former is a better identifying variable since it has a greater weight in PCI and because l_c is more dependent on stomatal size.

Data provided by individual ANOVA and the normal distribution of the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) and the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c), allow boundaries to be established between these taxa. Upper woody lamellae width (Ab) values of < 34.37 μm identify P. sylvestris with a degree of confidence of 95%, while those of > 38.01 μm identify P. uncinata with the same degree of confidence. The UMP test showed the best decision threshold to be 36.18 μm, with a minimum power of 85% (Table 3). A value for the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c) of < 0.94 identifies P. sylvestris while > 1.16 identifies P. uncinata (both at the 95% confidence level). Intermediate coef_c values do not distinguish between the species (P > 0.05), although the power of the 1.06 threshold returned by the UMP test was always greater than 81% (Table 3).

Discriminant analysis suggested the best variables for classifying an unknown stoma to be the upper woody lamellae width (Ab), the upper woody lamellae length (Lb), the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c), and the coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of a guard cell with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders (coef_e). A final value for the discriminant function of < 0 identifies P. sylvestris (discriminant F < 0), while a value of > 0 identifies P. uncinata (error 2.54%). The four measured variables Ab, Lb, coef_c and coef_e are measured with respect to the greatest thickenings of the guard cells. They were also easy to measure in material subjected to acetolysis. Thus they can be determined in both untreated fossils and palynologically-treated material.

If these four variables are not measurable, discriminant function analysis cannot be used. However, identifications could be made using just the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) and the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c; Figs. 8–10, Table 3), although the degree of confidence associated with any identification is not as high. In some cases the error can be as much as 19%.

Other European populations of P. sylvestris (Sweeney, 2003) show smaller values for the same stomatal variables studied as in this work, especially the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) and the upper woody lamellae length (Lb), indicating the intraspecific variability of these features. This is probably related to the different climatic conditions and biotopes in which they find themselves (Jones, 1992). Nevertheless, the values for the coefficient of slimmness of the upper woody lamellae (coef_b) — the only ratio that can be calculated from the data supplied by Sweeney (2003) — are quite close to those of the Iberian population studied (Table 4). This indicates that relationships between variables that are independent of the guard cell size — such as the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c) and the coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of a guard cell with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders (coef_e) — are more stable at the species level and are therefore more taxonomically useful (García Anorena et al., 2006). No information is available on the European populations of P. uncinata.

The upper woody lamellae width (Ab), the upper woody lamellae length (Lb), the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c) and the coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of a guard cell with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders (coef_e) were therefore the variables that best distinguished between the Iberian populations of P. sylvestris and P. uncinata. The values of the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) and the upper woody lamellae length (Lb) for P. uncinata were always larger than for P. sylvestris. The available values for these variables in European populations of P. sylvestris (Sweeney, 2003) are smaller than those of the Iberian P. uncinata population (Table 4).

Other authors assign much more importance to the angle in taxonomic identification (Table 4), and it is commonly used to support the differentiation of certain genera (Florin, 1931; Trautmann, 1953; Hansen, 1995). Its limited ability to distinguish between the populations of the present study (both in PCA and discriminant analysis) shows its generic stability.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ab (μm) x</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>39.06</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>53.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac (μm) x</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e (μm) x</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lb (μm) x</td>
<td>53.27</td>
<td>57.04</td>
<td>35.45</td>
<td>48.50</td>
<td>57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>la (μm) x</td>
<td>68.74</td>
<td>69.74</td>
<td>44.55</td>
<td>48.50</td>
<td>57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angle α</td>
<td>22.34°</td>
<td>18.37°</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>48.50°</td>
<td>46.66°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>48.50°</td>
<td>46.66°</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>48.50</td>
<td>57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coef_b x</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p (μm) m</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>16.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>19.36</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This study analyses the taxonomic stability and specificity of different cuticular and stomatal variables of two Iberian populations of the genus Pinus.

The sun/shade factor appeared to have very little influence on the variables studied, increasing the potential diagnostic value of cuticular variables in future taxonomic studies. Pinus sylvestris and P. uncinata can be safely distinguished by the structure of their stomatal complexes, their subsidiary cells showing quite different shapes and arrangements. These differences are also visible in fossil material. Further, the diameter of the aperture of the epistomatal chamber of P. uncinata is significantly larger than that of P. sylvestris. However, a possible error of up to 9% exists within their overlap range (< 5% outside this range).

Pinus sylvestris and P. uncinata can be differentiated by certain stoma characteristics, including the upper woody lamellae width (Ab) and the coefficient associated with the shape of the medial lamellae borders (coef_c), the coefficient associated with the relative size of the medial lamellae border width of the guard cells with respect to the distance between the external limits of the medial lamellae borders (coef_e), and the length of the upper woody lamella (Lb). All these
variables can be measured in disperse stomata that appear in microscope preparations for pollen analysis. Among these variables, Ab stands out with its weight of 0.93 in the discriminant function obtained, as does confE which showed a weighing of 0.58.
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Appendix A. Glossary of morphological terms (Trautmann, 1953; Stace, 1965; Hansen, 1995; Sweeney, 2003)

Epistomatal chamber: cavity located over the guard cells (Fig. 2C).

In many conifers the guard cells are very deeply sunken and completely overarched by the subsidiary cells. Thus, in surface view, their position is marked by a ring of subsidiary cells around a nearly circular pore (the aperture of the epistomatal chamber).

Medial lamella border: portion of the lamella bordering the stoma or opening, often thickened: close to a line drawn through the stens.

Pore: epistomatal chamber opening.

Stem: the portion of the lamelle bordering at their junction and extending towards the poles away from the stoma.

Subsidiary cells: modiﬁed epidermal cells bordering the guard cells and comprising part of the stomatal complex.

Woody lamelle: ligniﬁed portion of the upper and lower wall of the stoma guard cells (Trautmann, 1953): upper and lower lamelle are present in Pinus stoma; the upper lamella is often thicker than the lower; lower woody lamelle are not often preserved in fossil pollen samples (Fig. 4).
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