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Abstract: Quality of Service (QoS) attributes, such as execution time, availability, or cost, are critical for the usability of Web services. This in particular applies to service compositions, which are commonly used for implementing more complex, higher level, and/or cross-organizational tasks by assembling loosely-coupled individual service components (often provided and controlled by third parties). The QoS attributes of service compositions depend on the QoS attributes of the service components, as well as on environmental factors and the actual data being handled, and are usually regulated by means of Service-Level Agreements (SLAs), which define the permissible boundaries for the values of the related properties. Predicting whether an SLA will be violated for a given executing instance of a service composition is therefore very important. Such a prediction can be used for preventing or mitigating the consequences of SLA violations ahead of time.

We propose a method whereby constraints that model SLA conformance and violation are derived at any given point of the execution of a service composition. These constraints are generated using the structure of the composition and properties of the component services, which can be either known or measured empirically. Violation of these constraints means that the corresponding scenario is unfeasible, while satisfaction gives values for the constrained variables (start / end times for activities, or number of loop iterations) which make the scenario possible. These results can be used to perform optimized service matching or trigger preventive adaptation or healing.

The derivation of the constraints that model SLA conformance and violation is based on two key information sources. The first one (called continuation) describes the processing that remains to be performed until the end of execution of a given orchestration instance. In general, the continuation is either provided by an orchestration engine, or extracted from its internal state and/or external events. The second information source is the set of assumptions on QoS for the service components used in the orchestration, which are normally empirically collected. The component QoS is described with upper and lower bounds (under some level of confidence), while the prediction is based on (crisp) logical reasoning about the possibility of SLA violation and compliance under the given component bounds.

The constraint-based prediction can be performed at each point of execution for
which we have the continuation. Unlike data-mining approaches, it does not depend on a historic state of the environment, and can adapt instantly to a dynamic changes in the orchestration code. The first step in prediction is the formulation of the constraint model for the continuation of the running instance (Figure 1), which is dynamically built from the structure of the continuation and the (expected) component QoS bounds. This model expresses the possible range of the remaining QoS for the executing instance (execution time $T$ in case of Figure 1). As an example, the constraints for the structure marked 1 (an and-split) include bounds for the activities and for the structure itself: the components may in fact run in parallel or, if only one thread and one CPU are available, sequentially. The constraints for nested control structures are combined upwards to give the total QoS, $T$. By constraining $T$ to meet or not the SLA conditions ($T \leq T_{\text{max}}$ and $T > T_{\text{max}}$, resp.), we try to rule out one of the two cases, and thus to predict the other (e.g., SLA compliance ruled out $\Rightarrow$ SLA failure predicted).

Additionally, and since constraints are generated dynamically, we get progressively simpler (and more accurate) systems as the execution proceeds. In addition, we use techniques derived from automatic complexity analysis to derive bounds on the number of loop iterations ($k$ in Figure 1) as functions of the input data, which greatly improves prediction accuracy.
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