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ABSTRACT

An important limitation of continuous-culture fermenters is their inability of maintaining microbial populations similar to those observed in the rumen, especially protozoa numbers, which usually decrease markedly or even disappear. Two approaches (a polyurethane-sponge (SP) and a filter system (FIL) for additionally retaining protozoa were tested in continuous culture system already designed to retain protozoa (Muetzel et al., 2009), and their effects on microbial populations, fermentation parameters and microbial biomass were assessed. Two 14-day incubation runs were carried out with 6 fermenters, and in each run two fermenters were randomly assigned to each of the experimental treatments (control, SP and FIL). Total protozoa numbers assessed by microscopic counting were 1.7 and 2.1 times greater in SP and FIL fermenters than in control ones on day 14, although differences did not reach the significance level (P = 0.855). Protozoal DNA concentration on day 14 were 1.6 and 1.4 times greater in SP and FIL fermenters, respectively, than in control ones, but differences were not significant (P = 0.524). Results from protozoal DNA concentrations determined in each fermenter on the different sampling days (n = 48) were positively correlated (P < 0.001) with the numbers of total (r = 0.826), entodiniomorphid (r = 0.824) and holotrich (r = 0.675) protozoa determined by microscopic counting, indicating that both methods are valid to assess protozoa populations. The proportion of holotrich in FIL-fermenters was relatively constant over the incubation period (ranging from 10.5 to 13.3% of total protozoa), but decreased with time in control (from 10.9% at day 2 to 6.7% at day 14) and SP (from 10.9 to 6.9%) fermenters. Neither the bacterial DNA concentration nor the relative abundance of fungal and archaeal DNA were influenced by any of the modifications tested, but values changed over the sampling period (days 10–14). Bacterial DNA concentration increased (P < 0.001) from day 10 to 14 in all fermenters, whereas the relative abundance of fungal and archaeal DNA decreased (P < 0.001). The tested modifications did not affect (P > 0.05) fermentation parameters, which reached a steady-state after 6 days of incubation. Values of microbial biomass determined using purine bases as a microbial marker were significantly correlated with the amount of bacterial plus protozoal DNA (r = 0.794; P = 0.002; n = 12) in each fermenter.

Abbreviations: ADFom, acid detergent fiber expressed exclusive of residual ash; aNDFom, neutral detergent fiber with heat-stable amylase and expressed exclusive of residual ash; CON, control treatment (fermenters without modification); CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; FIL, fermenters provided with a filter system; PCA, principal component analysis; PB, purine bases; SP, fermenters provided with a polyurethane-sponge; VFA, volatile fatty acids
1. Introduction

*In vitro* rumen simulation techniques such as fermenters are useful tools for the study of rumen fermentation, as they involve the use of a lower number of fistulated animals than *in vivo* studies, and allow performing experiments under stable and controlled conditions difficult to achieve *in vivo*. Ideally, the composition of the microbial populations in the fermenters should be representative, in terms of diversity and quantity, of that found in the rumen of the host animal (Mateos et al., 2015). However, a drastic decrease of protozoa over the incubation period or even a complete disappearance, in some cases, has been consistently reported in different types of fermenters (Mansfield et al., 1995; Moumen et al., 2009; Hristov et al., 2012). This effect has mainly been attributed to the washing out of protozoa from fermenters and to the exposure of fermenters contents to atmospheric oxygen (Hillman et al., 1991; Mansfield et al., 1995). Holotrich protozoa seem to be especially vulnerable to washing, and they can be cultivated for shorter periods *in vitro* compared to entodiniomorphid protozoa (Williams, 1986). In addition, information on the evolution of other microbial populations in fermenters over the incubation period is still scarce.

Different approaches to maintain protozoa populations in several types of fermenters have been assessed, such as to improve physical conditions for protozoa sequestration using sponge cubes in a modified semi-continuous Rusitec system (Abe and Kurihara, 1984) or filters in a dual-flow continuous fermenter (Karnati et al., 2009), decreasing the amount of diet supplied and/or the stirring speed in a dual-flow continuous fermenter (Broudiscou et al., 1997) or the use of different turnover rates for solids and liquids in a dual-flow continuous fermenter (Hoover et al., 1976; Teather and Sauer, 1988), but to our knowledge no studies have been performed with the system described by Muetzel et al. (2009). Muetzel et al. (2009) modified the fermenters designed by Teather and Sauer (1988) with a different outflow that allowed the formation of a raft mat similar to that found in the rumen, which could help to maintain protozoa populations. Although total protozoa were maintained over the incubation period, holotrich protozoa decreased below the detection limit in all fermenters after 7–11 days, and numbers were lower than those found *in vivo*. Our hypothesis was that the fermenters of Muetzel et al. (2009) could be modified to improve the physical retention of protozoa. The objective of this work was to assess the effects of two modifications (sponge and a filter system) on protozoa numbers, concentrations of bacterial, protozoal, fungal and archaeal DNA, fermentation parameters and daily microbial biomass determined by using purine bases (PB) or bacterial or bacterial plus protozoal DNA. The modifications studied have been proven to be effective in other types of fermenters (Abe and Kurihara, 1984; Karnati et al., 2009), but have not been tested in those used in the present study. Other modifications of these fermenters, such as variations in the stirring frequency, dilution rate and the daily diet amount were recently investigated by Mason et al. (2015).

A second objective of this study was to compare values of microbial biomass determined using PB as a marker with concentrations of bacterial or bacterial plus protozoal DNA in the fermenters to assess if both procedures detected similar differences between experimental treatments. This comparison has been tested *in vivo* (Belanche et al., 2011) and in the semi-continuous Rusitec system.

---

**Fig. 1.** Assembly of the sponge system (SP). A piece of a polyurethane sponge (A) was rolled around the axis of the stirring system (B) and fixed with plastic clamps (C) before placing the stirring system inside the fermenter (D).
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fermenters, treatments, animals and diets

Six continuous culture fermenters with an effective volume of 1000 ml were used in accordance with the system proposed by Teather and Sauer (1988) and as modified by Muetzel et al. (2009). These fermenters have a customized outlet projecting upwards at an angle of 45 °C for the overflow, which according to Muetzel et al. (2009) allows a more constant dry matter (DM) concentration in the fermenter and helps to maintain protozoa populations. Two modifications of the fermenters were tested for its ability to promote rumen protozoa growth: inert polyurethane sponge cubes (SP) and a multistage filter system (FIL). The SP treatment consisted in a piece of a polyurethane sponge (5 x 6.5 cm) which was rolled around the axis of the stirring system and fixed with plastic clamps (Fig. 1). The FIL treatment consisted of one layer of 50-μm filter mounted on a perforated plastic cylinder (1 cm diameter; 5 cm long; 0.5 x 0.2 cm hole size), wrapped in a layer of foam to increase the protozoa retention, and then inserted into a wider perforated plastic cylinder (2 cm diameter; 5 cm long; 0.8 x 0.4 cm hole size). The whole system was cut longitudinally and placed around the axis of the stirring system. A layer of polyester 100-μm filter was used to cover the whole assembly in order to prevent clogging by feed particles before fixing it to the basis of the axis of the stirring system with plastic clamps (Fig. 2).

Four Segureña ewes (55.1 ± 7.62 kg body weight) fitted with permanent rumen cannula were used as donors of rumen contents for the experiment. Animals were fed at energy maintenance level (Aguilera et al., 1991) a diet composed of alfalfa hay and concentrate in a 50:50 ratio. Chemical composition of feed ingredients is given in Table 1. Animals were fed once a day (9:00 h) for 15 days before starting the in vitro trials, and had free access to water and a mineral salt block (Pacsa Sanders, Seville, Spain). Animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Spanish guidelines for experimental animal protection (Royal Decree 53/2013 of February 1st on the protection of animals used for experimentation or other scientific purposes) in line with European legislation (Directive 2010/63/UE).

Fig. 2. Assembly of the filter system (FIL). One layer of 50-μm filter is mounted on a perforated plastic cylinder (A), wrapped in a layer of foam (B), and then inserted into a wider perforated plastic cylinder (C). The whole system was cut longitudinally, placed around the axis of the stirring system and covered with a layer of polyester 100-μm filter before fixing it to the basis of the axis of the stirring system with plastic clamps (D). Finally the stirring system is placed inside the fermenter (E).
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archaea have been described by Sylvester et al. (2004) and Denman et al. (2007), respectively. The qPCR was performed in triplicate used for total bacteria and fungi have been described by Denman and McSweeney (2006), and those for protozoa and methanogenic archaea have been described by Ramos et al. (2009). Pellets were then lyophilized, ground to a fine powder with a mortar and pestle, and analyzed for N and purine bases (PB) to estimate microbial N flow; about 100 g of fermenter contents were frozen and freeze-dried before analysis of dry matter (DM), N and PB content.

The populations of fungi and methanogenic archaea were determined by qPCR in relation to the total bacterial population. Primers used for total bacteria and fungi have been described by Denman and McSweeney (2006), and those for protozoa and methanogenic archaea have been described by Sylvester et al. (2004) and Denman et al. (2007), respectively. The qPCR was performed in triplicate in an ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) as described by Saro et al. (2014) and with a PCR reaction mixture (20 μl final volume) containing 10 μl SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK), 0.9 μl of 20 μM each primer, 6.2 μl of milli Q water and 2 μl of extracted DNA. Fungi and methanogenic archaea DNA concentrations were determined relatively to the absolute quantification of total bacteria as 2

\[ C_{q_{\text{target}}} - C_{q_{\text{total bacteria}}} \]

where \( C_{q} \) represents the threshold cycle after correcting for differences in amplification efficiencies between the target and the reference (total bacteria).

### Table 1

| Chemical composition (g/kg dry matter unless other specified) of feed ingredients of the diet supplied to the animals and to continuous-culture fermenters. |
|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Alfalfa hay     | Concentrate   |
| Dry matter, g/kg fresh matter | 900             | 902            |
| Organic matter   | 930             | 932            |
| Crude protein    | 117             | 180            |
| Ether extract    | 10.8            | 37.4           |
| Neutral detergent fiber | 550             | 334            |
| Acid detergent fiber | 435             | 130            |
| Acid detergent lignin | 126             | 31.9           |

\(^{a}\) Diet contained alfalfa hay and concentrate in 1:1 proportion. Fermenters received daily 30 g of diet (fresh matter basis).

#### 2.2. Experimental procedure and sampling

Two identical 14-day incubation runs were carried out, and in each run two fermenters were randomly assigned to each of the experimental treatments: control (CON), SP and FIL, with the restriction that each fermenter should not receive the same treatment in the two periods. Rumen contents were collected from each animal immediately before the morning feeding, pooled, immediately transported to the laboratory in thermal bottles, and strained through 2 layers of cheesecloth. Each fermenter was inoculated with 700 ml of rumen liquor within 30 min after rumen contents collection. Samples of rumen liquor were taken for protozoa counting as described below and about 30 ml of liquid were taken in sterile containers and kept at −80 °C for microbiological analyses. Each fermenter received 30 g (fresh matter basis; ground to 1 mm) of the same diet than the one delivered to the fistulated animals supplied twice a day at 09:00 and 14:00. The flow through the fermenters was maintained by the continuous infusion of artificial saliva (McDougall, 1948) at a rate of 40 ml/h (4.0% dilution rate), and CO₂ was continuously infused into the fermenters to maintain anaerobic conditions. The effluent from each fermenter was collected into a vessel maintained at 3 °C to prevent microbial growth.

The pH in the fermenter vessel was measured immediately before feeding every day. On incubation days 2, 5, 6, 10 and 14, the total effluent from each fermenter was collected, homogenized and the weight and volume were recorded. Then, 5 ml of effluent were added to 5 ml of deproteinising solution (20 g metaphosphoric acid and 4 g crotonic acid per liter of 0.5 M HCl) for volatile fatty acid (VFA) analysis; 2 ml were added to 2 ml of 0.5 M HCl for NH₃-N analysis, and 5 ml were immediately frozen for lactate analysis. All samples were kept at −20 °C until analyses. In addition, on days 2, 6, 10 and 14 of incubation the following samples were taken from fermenters content by using a syringe without opening the fermenter: 5 ml for protozoa counting, 3 ml for determining enzymatic activity, and 20 g were stored in sterile containers for DNA extraction. Samples for protozoa counting were fixed in 5 ml methyl green-formalin solution (Ogimoto and Imai, 1981) and stored at room temperature in the dark until protozoa counting. Samples for DNA extraction and enzymatic activity analysis were immediately frozen at −80 °C.

The last day of each incubation period (day 14), the content of each fermenter was collected, weighed and homogenized before the following samples: about 500 ml were subjected to a treatment with a saline solution containing methylcellulose (0.1%) and used for bacteria isolation by differential centrifugation as described by Ramos et al. (2009). Pellets were then lyophilized, ground to a fine powder with a mortar and pestle, and analyzed for N and purine bases (PB) to estimate microbial N flow; about 100 g of fermenter contents were frozen and freeze-dried before analysis of dry matter (DM), N and PB content.

#### 2.3. Extraction of DNA and qPCR analysis

The DNA was isolated in triplicate aliquots from freeze-dried fermenter contents (50 mg) and extracted according to the procedure described by Yu and Morrison (2004), with the exception that an additional step for the treatment of samples with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide was included to remove PCR inhibitors (Saro et al., 2012). This procedure involves repeated bead-beating and the use of QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit columns (QIAgen, Valencia, CA, USA) to purify the DNA. The DNA absorbance ratios (A260:A280) were measured in a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Nano-Drop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) to test DNA quality.

Absolute quantification of total bacteria and protozoa in fermenters content samples was performed by qPCR using as standard DNA extracted from bacterial and protozoal pellets, respectively, and previously isolated from the rumen of sheep (Saro et al., 2012).
2.4. Chemical analyses

Dry matter (ID 934.01), ash (ID 942.05), ether extract (EE; method ID 7.045) and crude protein (CP, method ID 984.13) were determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2005). Analyses of aNDfom and ADfom (ID 973.18) were carried out according to Van Soest et al. (1991) using an ANKOM220 Fibre Analyzer unit (ANKOM Technology Corporation, Fairport, NY, USA) and sodium sulphite and heat-stable amylase. Both aNDfom and ADfom were expressed exclusive of residual ash. Total and individual VFA were analysed by gas chromatography using centrifuged samples of effluents, previously deproteinized with metaphosphoric acid, following the method described by Isac et al. (1994). The NH₃-N and lactate concentrations were determined by colorimetric methods as described by García-Martínez et al. (2005). Xylanase and amylase activities were analyzed following the procedures described by Giraldo et al. (2007) at pH 6.5 and 39 °C in order to resemble optimal ruminal conditions and using oat spelt xylan and soluble starch as substrates, respectively. Concentrations of PB were determined according to Balcells et al. (1992).

Protozoa in preserved fluid samples were counted using a Neubauer Improved Bright-Line counting cell (Hauisser scientific, Horsham, PA). Holotrich protozoa were identified and their numbers were separately recorded. Duplicate preparations of each sample were counted and if either value differed from the average by more than 10%, the counts were repeated.

2.5. Calculations and statistical analyses

Daily microbial N flow in fermenters was estimated by multiplying total non-ammonia N production in the effluents by the ratio PB:N in effluents/PB:N in bacterial pellets. The efficiency of microbial N synthesis was calculated by dividing the daily microbial N flow by the amount of degraded carbohydrates. The apparent degradability of total carbohydrates in the fermenters was calculated from the input of carbohydrates, estimated as the input of total organic matter – (CP + EE), and the output of carbohydrates corrected for the amount of hexoses in the VFA produced (Demeyer and van Nevel, 1979).

Time dependent data were analyzed as a mixed model with repeated measures using the PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The statistical model used included treatment, period, time and treatment × time as fixed effects, and fermenter as a random effect. Data for each variable were analyzed using compound symmetry, unstructured, and autoregressive covariance structures, and the one that produced the minimum Akaike’s information criterion was chosen. The effects were declared significant at P < 0.05, and P values < 0.10 were considered a trend. When a significant effect of either treatment or time was detected, a multiple comparison of means was made by using the LSD test. Correlations between protozoal numbers and protozoal DNA concentrations were assessed on 48 pair values (4 fermenters × 3 treatments × 4 sampling times) by Pearson correlation analysis using the PROC CORR of SAS.

Data on microbial biomass were analyzed independently for each method (PB and DNA concentrations) as a mixed model which included treatment, period and treatment × period as fixed effects, and fermenter as a random effect. Correlations between values of microbial biomass determined with PB as a marker or by quantifying bacterial and protozoal DNA were assessed by Pearson’s correlation analysis using the PROC CORR of SAS. Finally, the associations among fermentation variables and microbial populations in the fermenters assessed on days 10 and 14 were investigated by principal component analysis (PCA) using the Statgraphics Centurion XVI statistical software version 16.2.04 (StatPoint Technologies, Inc., Herndon, VA, USA).

Table 2
Protozoal numbers assessed by microscopic counting and protozoal DNA concentrations in continuous-culture fermenters provided with modifications.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>a, b Mean values within a column with unlike superscripts differ (P &lt; 0.05).</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>a, b Mean values within a column with unlike superscripts differ (P &lt; 0.05).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>FIL</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62.9⁶</td>
<td>47.7⁶</td>
<td>58.2⁶</td>
<td>56.4⁶</td>
<td>44.5⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.2³⁶</td>
<td>22.2³⁶</td>
<td>17.3³⁶</td>
<td>11.6³⁶</td>
<td>19.9³⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.3³¹</td>
<td>15.5³¹</td>
<td>19.5³¹</td>
<td>8.4³⁷</td>
<td>14.8³⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.7⁵</td>
<td>7.2¹</td>
<td>6.8⁴</td>
<td>0.8⁰⁰</td>
<td>0.4²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ CON: fermenters with no modification; SP and FIL: fermenters were provided with a sponge or a filter system, respectively.

The efficiencies of microbial N synthesis were calculated by dividing the daily microbial N flow by the amount of degraded carbohydrates. The apparent degradability of total carbohydrates in the fermenters was calculated from the input of carbohydrates, estimated as the input of total organic matter – (CP + EE), and the output of carbohydrates corrected for the amount of hexoses in the VFA produced (Demeyer and van Nevel, 1979).

Time dependent data were analyzed as a mixed model with repeated measures using the PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The statistical model used included treatment, period, time and treatment × time as fixed effects, and fermenter as a random effect. Data for each variable were analyzed using compound symmetry, unstructured, and autoregressive covariance structures, and the one that produced the minimum Akaike’s information criterion was chosen. The effects were declared significant at P < 0.05, and P values < 0.10 were considered a trend. When a significant effect of either treatment or time was detected, a multiple comparison of means was made by using the LSD test. Correlations between protozoal numbers and protozoal DNA concentrations were assessed on 48 pair values (4 fermenters × 3 treatments × 4 sampling times) by Pearson correlation analysis using the PROC CORR of SAS.

Data on microbial biomass were analyzed independently for each method (PB and DNA concentrations) as a mixed model which included treatment, period and treatment × period as fixed effects, and fermenter as a random effect. Correlations between values of microbial biomass determined with PB as a marker or by quantifying bacterial and protozoal DNA were assessed by Pearson’s correlation analysis using the PROC CORR of SAS.

Finally, the associations among fermentation variables and microbial populations in the fermenters assessed on days 10 and 14 were investigated by principal component analysis (PCA) using the Statgraphics Centurion XVI statistical software version 16.2.04 (StatPoint Technologies, Inc., Herndon, VA, USA).

Table 2
Protozoal numbers assessed by microscopic counting and protozoal DNA concentrations in continuous-culture fermenters provided with modifications.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total protozoa (×10⁷/ml)</th>
<th>Entodiniomorphid protozoa (×10⁷/ml)</th>
<th>Holotrich protozoa (×10⁷/ml)</th>
<th>Protozoal DNA (µg/g fresh matter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>FIL</td>
<td>CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62.9⁶</td>
<td>47.7⁶</td>
<td>58.2⁶</td>
<td>56.4⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.2³⁶</td>
<td>22.2³⁶</td>
<td>17.3³⁶</td>
<td>11.6³⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.3³¹</td>
<td>15.5³¹</td>
<td>19.5³¹</td>
<td>8.4³⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.7⁵</td>
<td>7.2¹</td>
<td>6.8⁴</td>
<td>0.8⁰⁰</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Mean values within a column with unlike superscripts differ (P < 0.05).
3. Results

Total, entodiniomorphid and holotrich protozoa numbers and protozoal DNA concentration in the inoculum were 938, 843 and 95.0 × 10³/ml and 26.8 μg/g fresh matter, respectively. The modifications tested in this study did not affect either total protozoa (P = 0.855), entodiniomorphid (P = 0.847) and holotrich (P = 0.213) protozoa numbers or the abundance of protozoal DNA (P = 0.536) in the fermenters over the incubation period (Table 2). Both total protozoa numbers and protozoal DNA concentrations decreased with time (P < 0.001) for all experimental treatments, but there were no differences (P > 0.05) among the values determined on sampling days 6, 10 and 14. Results from protozoal DNA concentration determined in each fermenter on the different sampling days were positively correlated (P < 0.001; n = 48) with the numbers of total (r = 0.826), entodiniomorphid (r = 0.824) and holotrich (r = 0.675) protozoa determined by microscopic counting.

Similarly to that observed for protozoa numbers, total bacterial DNA concentration and the relative abundance of fungal DNA in fermenters content determined on days 10 and 14 were not affected by any modification (P = 0.938 and 0.806, respectively; Table 3). In contrast, the relative abundance of methanogenic archaeal DNA tended (P = 0.060) to be affected by the experimental treatments, and it was greater (P = 0.049) in FIL than in SP fermenters. Bacterial DNA increased (P < 0.001), whereas the relative abundance of fungi and methanogenic archaea decreased (P < 0.001) from day 10 to day 14 of incubation. There were no treatment x sampling day interactions (P = 0.109 to 0.999) for any microbial population analyzed.

As shown in Table 4, the modifications of fermenters had no effect (P = 0.412 to 0.946) on either total VFA production or molar proportions of individual VFA, but some of these parameters were affected by sampling time. Total VFA production and propionate proportions increased (P < 0.001) and acetate proportions decreased (P < 0.001) with incubation time, but values remained unchanged from day 10 to day 14 (P > 0.05). Molar proportions of butyrate and minor VFA (calculated as the sum of isobutyrate, isovalerate and valerate) remained unchanged over the whole incubation period (P = 0.223 and 0.127, respectively). In agreement with that observed for VFA production, the pH values, concentrations of NH₃-N and lactate, and amylase and xylanase activities were not affected (P = 0.481 to 0.961) by the modifications made in the fermenters (Table 5). However, pH values and NH₃-N concentrations were affected by sampling day (P < 0.001). The pH values decreased from day 2 to day 6, and then remained stable. The NH₃-N concentration decreased from day 2 to day 10, but there were no differences (P > 0.05) between the values determined on days 10 and 14.

Microbial biomass, quantified either by using PB as a microbial marker or bacterial DNA and bacterial plus protozoal DNA was not affected (P = 0.908–0.914) by the fermenters modifications (Table 6). Similarly, the efficiency of microbial biomass produced was not affected by any treatment (P = 0.624). There were significant relationships between the values of microbial biomass obtained with PB and both the amount of bacterial DNA (r = 0.657; P = 0.020; n = 12) and that of bacterial plus protozoal DNA (r = 0.794; P = 0.002; n = 12) in each fermenter.

The PCA in Fig. 3 shows how samples from different fermenters grouped according to fermentation parameters and microbial populations abundance determined on days 10 and 14 of incubation. The direction of each vector indicates an increasing value of the corresponding variable, the angle between the vectors indicates the degree to which they are correlated and their length shows the importance of that variable to classify the samples. The PCA segregated samples by component 2 into two groups corresponding to fermenters content determined on days 10 and 14.

### Table 3
Concentrations of total bacterial DNA and relative abundance of fungal and methanogenic archaeal DNA in the contents of continuous-culture fermenters provided with modifications after 10 and 14 days of incubation.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>FIL</th>
<th>Relative abundance of²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacterial DNA/g fresh matter</td>
<td>Fungal DNA</td>
<td>Methanogenic archaeal DNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>FIL</td>
<td>CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment x Time</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ CON: fermenters with no modification; SP and FIL: fermenters were provided with a sponge or a filter system, respectively.

² Fungal and archaeal DNA measured relative to total bacterial DNA. Values expressed as 10³ × 2−ΔCt.
4. Discussion

The two modifications used in fermenters in this study were selected because they have been effective in increasing protozoa numbers in other types of fermenters (Abe and Kurihara, 1984; Karnati et al., 2009). Different types of fermenters have functional differences, such as dilution rate, solids retention time, amount of feed provided daily, frequency of feeding, etc. that affect fermentation characteristics and microbial populations (Carro et al., 2009). Therefore, previous results cannot be directly extrapolated to the fermenters used in the present study. The SP treatment was chosen because Abe and Kurihara (1984) observed that the introduction of synthetic sponge cubes to semi-continuous fermenters produced an enlargement of the area for protozoal sequestration and a suitable micro-habitat for the maintenance of Entodinium, large protozoa, and holotrich protozoa. The design of the FIL treatment followed that of Karnati et al. (2009), but its location inside the fermenter was different. Karnati et al. (2009) placed the filter system on the filtrate pumps of dual-flow continuous-culture fermenters (Hoover et al., 1976), and therefore the FIL acted as a...
barrier retaining protozoa inside the fermenters. Before conducting the trials reported here, we tried to place the FIL at the overflow port, but the filters were blocked and prevented the outflow of effluent. This was probably caused by the layout of the overflow port in these fermenters, as the effluent should be lifted upwards before flowing out. Therefore, FIL was mounted on the axis of the stirring system as before described (Fig. 2), and our hypothesis was that protozoa might enter the assembly by the opening at the top and be retained inside.

Contrary to our expectations, none of the modifications was successful in retaining high numbers of protozoa inside the fermenters. However, it should be noted that protozoa numbers after 14 days of incubation were 1.7 and 2.1 times greater in SP and FIL fermenters than in CON ones, respectively, although the differences did not reach the significance level due to the high individual variability among fermenters. Protozoa decreased markedly over the 6 first days of incubation and total protozoa numbers at day 6 were about 46 times in average lower than those in the inoculum (42.3, 48.9 and 46.0 times for CON, SP and FIL, respectively). These values are lower than the decreases reported by others (≥100–fold) in different types of fermenters during the first days of incubation (Carro et al., 1995; Moumen et al., 2009; Karnati et al., 2009; Martínez et al., 2010), which was attributed to protozoa sequestration in the raft matt formed inside the fermenters (Muetzel et al., 2009). The qPCR analyses detected lower decreases of protozoa over the first 6 incubation days than the microscopic counting, with concentrations of protozoal DNA being 16.4, 13.1 and 14.0 times lower in CON, SP and FIL fermenters compared with the ruminal inoculum. The fact that lysed protozoa are not counted by microscopy, but free DNA is quantified in the qPCR analysis can help to explain the observed differences between both methods of quantifying protozoa.

Although the maintenance of holotrich protozoa in ruminal fermenters is especially difficult due to their long generation time, in our study holotrich protozoa were detected in all fermenters after 14 days of incubation. The proportion of holotrich in FIL-fermenters was relatively constant over the incubation period (10.5, 13.3, 13.2 and 11.1% of total protozoa at 2, 6, 10 and 14 days of incubation, respectively), but decreased with time in both CON (from 10.9% at day 2–6.69% at day 14) and SP (from 10.9 at day 2 to 6.89% at day 14) fermenters. These results indicate that FIL was effective in maintaining holotrich protozoa proportions similar to those found in the inoculum (10.1%) and in the rumen of sheep fed similar diets (Saro et al., 2014). In contrast, holotrich protozoa have been reported to disappear by the end of the incubation period in many studies conducted with different types of fermenters (Carro et al., 1995; Moumen et al., 2009; Hristov et al., 2012). Muetzel et al. (2009) also observed that holotrich protozoa decreased below the detection limit after about 7–11 days in the same fermenters used in the present study which were fed a high-forage diet.

Total protozoa numbers in the CON fermenters were similar to those reported by Muetzel et al. (2009) after 16 days of incubation using the same type of fermenters, although in the same study protozoa populations almost disappeared when fermenters were inoculated with rumen fluid from a different cow. Mason et al. (2015) reported also similar protozoa numbers ($1.3 \times 10^5$/ml after 10 days of incubation) in the same type of fermenters with intermittent stirring, but numbers dropped to about $0.8 \times 10^5$/ml with continuously stirring. In contrast, total protozoa numbers in SP and FIL fermenters in our study were greater than those reported in the previously cited studies. However, direct comparison of protozoa numbers in different studies is complicate, as they are affected...
Hristov et al. (2012) performed a meta-analysis involving 180 studies to compare ruminal fermentation in experiments with con­

...increases in propionate proportions observed over the first 6 days of incubation are in line with the results of previous studies.

...most fermentation parameters changed over the incubation period. The reductions in acetate

...consequence of the increased bacterial DNA concentrations and may indicate unchanged absolute concentrations of fungi and ar-

...measured in our study. Because bacterial DNA concentrations were greater on day 14

...interesting variables. These results would suggest an increase of amylolytic bacteria by the end of the incubation period, which is consistent with the adequate pH values (6.21–6.23) for the growth of this type of bacteria observed in all fermenters (Therion et al., 1982).

...the lack of effects of the fermenters modifications on microbial populations, no differences were observed either in fermentation parameters, but most fermentation parameters changed over the incubation period. The reductions in acetate and increases in propionate proportions observed over the first 6 days of incubation are in line with the results of previous studies. Hristov et al. (2012) performed a meta-analysis involving 180 studies to compare ruminal fermentation in experiments with con-

...fermenters than in vivo. The shifts in the proportions of acetate and propionate are consistent to the decrease in pH observed during incubation, as cellulolytic activity is reduced below pH 6.3 (Therion et al., 1982). In contrast, the proportions of butyrate and minor VFA, lactate concentrations and xylanase activity remained unchanged over the incubation period. Most fermentative parameters were unchanged from day 6 to the end of the incubation period, which would indicate that a 6–day adaptation period was adequate to reach relatively steady-state conditions in this type of fermenters.

...numbers in continuous-culture fermenters, and the FIL allowed increasing numerically the protozoa numbers in continuous-culture fermenters, and the FIL allowed maintaining a proportion of holotrich protozoa similar to that in the ruminal fluid used to inoculate the fermenters, but differences did not reach statistical significance. These results encourage further studies combining the FIL treatment with an appropriate choice of mechanical agitation, feeding frequency and dilution rate to maintain protozoa populations over long incubation periods. The tested modifications did not affect the fermentation parameters, which reached a steady-state after 6 days of incubation. However, microbial populations abundance did not reach a steady state over the period comprised between day 10 and 14 of incubation. Both microscopic counting of protozoa and protozoal DNA quantification by qPCR are valid methods for assessing protozoa population in continuous-culture fermenters. Determining the amount of bacterial and protozoal plus protozoal DNA can be an appropriate method to estimate microbial biomass in continuous-culture fermenters, as the values were significantly correlated with those obtained using purine bases as a microbial marker.
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