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Abstract: Location Based Services are a key driver in today’s telecom market, even if the power of Location Based Services is not nearly exhausted in nowadays telecom systems. To build intuitive Location Based Services for mobile handsets one success factor is to cover a broad range of mobile handsets available on the market and to make the services context aware. Within the EUREKA project MyMobileWeb we implement a framework to obtain contextual information from handsets using various capabilities of the mobiles. Contextual Information is every information we can obtain from the handset and that can be used for any kind of service. The most obvious information is location information. Within our framework we built an architecture that can obtain location information from various sources and is not bound to any special handset capability. Furthermore the architecture can be used to obtain various other context information, such as e.g. battery level. This information in addition is then used to offer special services to the customer. For this a correlation of the context information has to be done, which is based on a correlation engine for contextual information. This paper presents a framework that can handle and correlate contextual information in a very flexible way.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the last years, the technology world witnessed a very powerful new trend – more and more people started using their handsets when accessing the Internet. The main driving force behind this trend is the improved versatility, power and usability of mobile handsets, which in turn enabled the market to invest more in building mobile-phone-friendly web services. One new revenue stream for telecom operators in the next years is definitely Location Based Services on Mobile Handsets. Analysts at Gartner expect location to become a mainstream mobile application within two to five years. They see the market growing from 16m users in 2007, to 43.2m in 2008 and 300m by 2011 (Palmer, 2008). To launch successful Location Based Services there is a need for a proven development framework for those services. It has to be reliable, create a community of developers that are focusing on it and, of course, it should try to cover nearly all mobile handsets on the market. The current situation, with big suppliers (Google, Apple, Nokia, RIM, etc.) developing their own frameworks and ecosystems, does not encourage interoperability. Location Information is nothing else then contextual information that can be retrieved from the mobile handset and can be used to build special services for the user. Besides location there is a lot of other contextual information that is interesting to obtain, such as battery level of the handset, weather forecast for a special location, etc. All this information correlated can be used to build new mobile services for the handsets with a very high flexibility. This paper will present a contextual framework how context information from various input channels can be retrieved and correlated. For this a special data structure has to be used. Before we start...
working out the data structure we will give an example that should guide us through the paper. Imagine a mobile service you can access from your web browser to get tourist information for e.g. Barcelona. You are a tourist on a trip visiting Barcelona. On the airport you get an email from your hotel reservation system informing you that they had to cancel your booked hotel room. We assume that you installed the framework from MyMobileWeb on your handset, which has the possibility to retrieve context information about your environment. You browse to your hotel information site, which shows you all hotels in Barcelona, which are 4 and 5 star, as this is predefined in your private context information stored on your handset. You book the hotel. Afterwards the site leads you to another site offering you a plan how to use public transport to get to your hotels. Once you came to your hotel and enjoyed some hours of rest you are in the mood of doing some sightseeing and ask for interested places. The website offering you this information gives you a list of interesting places. As the service is aware that it is one of the rare rainy days in Barcelona, indoor places, such as museums, are ranked better than outdoor. You just click on the sights of interest and another service starts where a navigation system for walkers is coming up and guides you through Barcelona. Services like this exist rarely, and are always bound to a certain handset or to a certain technology your mobile handset has to support. We are proposing a framework that can assist developers to build such services with a very broad range of mobile handsets available on the market. To build such a framework it is crucial to build data sets of context information that is well known by the services on the backend side, as well as on the mobile side.

The rest of the Paper is organized as follows. Section 1 is focusing on the framework that is now built within MyMobileWeb to give the reader the possibility to understand the idea of the framework easily. Section 2 explains the architectural framework that implements the conceptual work from this paper. Section 3 focuses on how contextual data within the framework is structured. This is done with extending the W3C Draft of the Delivery Context Ontology (Lewis and Fonseca, 2008). Section 4 and section 5 deal with the concept of the Correlation Engine and patterns that are used for location and context data correlation. Section 6 covers the evaluation of the framework Section 7 provides the Related Work. Section 8 gives an outlook of our future work and summarizes the paper.

2 ARCHITECTURE OF THE FRAMEWORK

Figure 1 shows the architectural over-view of the framework which is built within the project MyMobileWeb. Input Channels are channels that can retrieve contextual data from the handset. The details about how this data is structured will be further explained in Section 3. An Input Channel can be any sort of hardware capability of the handset that can deliver context data. In Figure 1 we assume as examples the GPS Sensor, QR Codes, Battery Level, etc. This contextual data alone would not allow a mobile service to give any valuable information to the user. Therefore this data needs to be correlated with suitable information to build mobile services described in the introduction. Location Based Services, just as any other context-aware service, are aimed at customizing their contents, appearance and
behavior to optimize their usefulness. Pure location information is central to such services, but it is not enough to make the most with respect to usability. Imagine a recommender system that offers you nearby restaurants on a map, not only will it have to adapt such map to your screen size, but also it will have to consider the resized result and know whether icons on the map are visible or not, seamlessly adapting to small devices, and it may filter vegetarian restaurants as you love meat. So there is some context information, not only the location that can enhance LBS. Furthermore, since application domains are unpredictable, establishing a boundary on what may be useful or not in a given domain will constraint the developers trying to offer innovative LBS. The presence of such, potentially huge, amount of factors and their influence in the usefulness of the offered service makes it necessary to have an automatic correlation process. Correlation in then crucial in LBSs as a mechanism for selecting context-dependent contents based on location and non-location information.

3 CONTEXTUAL DATA

Contextual Data is every data which can be used for mobile services to make them context aware. We believe that a classification of context is needed within mobile services.

In the next subsections we explain how contextual data has to be structured formally within our framework. For this we are extending the W3C “Delivery Context Ontology”, which semantically describes both internal and external context properties. It includes a thorough description of the device capabilities, but it also describes the environment (external dimension) and the user, though her goals or intentions are not yet defined. This paper focuses on location data which is defined within the environment.

3.1 Location Data

Context Aware Services on Mobile Handsets nowadays mainly work with location data. Nokia Maps at example uses GPS data to retrieve a user’s position. Additionally the user can also ask for restaurant and bars close to any location. Services like Nokia Maps usually are bounded to one certain technology to retrieve location data. In the case of Nokia Maps this is the GPS sensor. Besides this the service is proprietary and cannot be extended easily from anybody to reuse. One reason for this is that the contextual data the handset is handing over to the backend is not defined. Adhering to standards is crucial for using location data within any framework. The W3C Draft “Delivery Context Ontology” was our starting point for declaring location data for context aware services on mobile handsets. Within the Delivery Context Ontology (short: DCO) there are various classes defining the delivery context, which includes the characteristics of the device, the software used to access the service and the network providing the connection among others. When working with location data we are mainly focusing on the Delivery Context Environment Entity that has a location class to represent location. In the previous section we described the architecture of our framework that deals with various input channels to broaden the possibilities how a mobile handset can deliver contextual data. Such architecture cannot be fully covered by the current W3C Draft of the Delivery Context Ontology because it lacks from some support for incorporating location data from different sources. Extending the Delivery Context Ontology with following properties is a sufficient way to deal with this drawback. The ontology is formally specified in OWL. Describing OWL is out of the scope of this document, so we will focus on our extensions of OWL. Extensions are defined using an intuitive XML format. Datatype properties have types that are not themselves classes. Examples for this are “xsd:int” or “xsd:string”. Object properties have types that are classes. To clarify if an element within the following class description is a datatype property of an object property we use the namespaces “xsd” for datatype properties and “obj” for object properties.

3.1.1 Point

The current class description of the class Point holds coordinates representing a point on the Earth surface. When dealing with location input channels that are designed to deliver a specific location with absolute coordinates this representation is sufficient. In our framework we are also working with input channels that cannot deliver such information. An example for this is the NFC Tag, or a QR Code Tag, which might deliver only the ID that has to be correlated in the background to deliver an appropriate location information. Imagine a railway station with a NFC Tag. By touching the NFC Tag a mobile service could deliver exact information about the current timetable of the railway station. Such a mobile service can just work with location information that is more precise then absolute coordinates on the Earth surface.
To cover this approach the point class has to be extended with following object property.

```xml
<locationid type="obj:LocationID">
  This object property references the class LocationID with following structure:
  <LocationID>
    <id type="xsd:string"/>
    <namespace type="xsd:string"/>
    <channelName type="obj:Channels"/>
    <timestamp type="xsd:dateTime"/>
    <isdirectinfo type="xsd:Boolean"/>
    <scoreinfo type="obj:NeighbourInfo" minOccurs="0"/>
  </LocationID>
  </Channels>
</LocationID>
</Channels>
<NeighbourInfo>
  <score type="xsd:int"/>
  <id type="xsd:string"/>
</NeighbourInfo>
```

The LocationMethod class has to be extended with the new location method:

ID_Correlation_Method

The datatype property is in the class LocationID is nothing else than a unique identifier that can be used to stamp location data, and even other contextual data, by the correlation engine. To make the locationid unique across multiple systems working with the same technology the class LocationID holds the datatype property namespace. With this approach the locationid is bounded to a special domain. Applying to our timetable example, the namespace takes care that a user on the railway station in Barcelona really gets the timetable he needs and not the one from Sevilla, just because the NFC Tags in Sevilla and Barcelona share the same ID. It is obvious that within one domain a locationid must be unique.

The object property channelName holds the name of the input channel. This has an informative character and has to be seen in conjunction with the object property ScoreInfo. ScoreInfo is a class that for the time being will just appear with the channel CellID or WLAN. When using CellID or WLAN as location input channels it is possible to calculate location data with triangulation of a list of neighbours together with the information how strong the information from the neighbours can be measured. How strong the signal of a neighbour can be measured is referred with score, which is a number ranging from 0 to 99. How the score together with the list of neighbours leads to a location is part of the correlation engine. The datatype property timestamp gives the exact time when the locationid was measured. This is a very important information and very interesting when retrieving information from another handset by calling a function via Bluetooth. Within such a mesh network location data can be retrieved by asking a neighbour about location data he shares. In this case the datatype property isdirectinfo would be set false, as it indicates that information was retrieved by another handset. Within such an environment, the timestamp is needed since location data might be outdated. The question of when the location data is indicated as outdated is not part of this paper. It is also not part of this paper to discuss whether an information from a partner is trusted or not. Another extension or change of the point class is that the coordinates cannot be bound to appear exactly once. Therefore a change of the point class would lead to following revised form:

```xml
<Point>
  <coordinates minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
</Point>
```

Revising the Point class is needed as a location can be the correlation of multiple locationids. To apply this behaviour it would be also possible to change the Environment class and set Place to be unbounded. Extending the point class with these parts helps to deal with input channels that are delivering unique IDs and where content of these IDs has to be generated from various data sources within a correlation step. It is worth pointing out that with this extensions the W3C Draft might get ambiguous. Within the current draft of the DCO there is a location method called Cell Id Method that is used when retrieving the location via a Cell Id, but does not cover the possibility of correlating data with a triangulation algorithm in the backend.

### 3.2 Mobile Handset Capabilites

Contextual Data within a mobile environment is close coupled with the the capabilites of the mobile handset. It is obvious that data about the environment can be just used if the handset has the
capability of measuring such information. For context aware mobile services increasing capabilities of mobile phones increase the feature possibilities of the services. To cover our approach we have to add the following extension to the DCO. We believe that the extensions are self explainable. The architecture we explained in section 1 can be extended with plugins. Whenever a handset with a new capability approaches the market developers can implement a plugin for the framework to capture this new form of data. An example could be a light-intensity sensor in the handset used to set the background lighting of the handset appropriately. If the developer wants to retrieve the information from the light sensor he would have to build a plugin which handles the API of the light sensor and should extend the DCO. Therefore every new class we will add for this framework will have a datatype property
<pluginname>_plugin_installed of type boolean. Another property which is needed for a lot classes is <pluginname>_enabled, which indicates if the mobile handset itself has the channel turned on. This is of course just needed if the channel can be turned on or off by the user, which is true for NFC, ZigBee and WLAN. For the plugin NFC an example would look like:

<Bluetooth_plugin_installed
<Bluetooth_support
<data_sharing_enabled
<Bluetooth_support
We believe it is intuitive to follow this guideline and will therefore focus more on extensions, which might be not as obvious.

4 CORRELATION ENGINE DESCRIPTION

The Correlation Engine created in the MyMobileWeb project is aimed at correlating any kind of semantically described content taking into account all of the contextual information available. Its development has emphasized the domain independence, so it can be used to exploit any ontology-based semantic description of domain elements for arranging, selection or discovery purposes.

The strategy used to get this requirement has been to separate the expert knowledge of the domain from the correlation engine. This way, such knowledge is external to the engine, and can be defined as needed or tuned to each application's needs. This has been achieved by creating a custom high-level rule language that is designed to be used by non-technical users, as the domain experts usually are. This language is composed by correlation rules that modify the score of each element that takes part in it, named candidates, according to its characteristics and the context. Such rules have a set of condition expressions and their