

Two applications of the subnormality of the Hessenberg matrix related to general orthogonal polynomials

V. Tomeo^{a,*}, E. Torrano^b

^a *Escuela de Estadística, Univ. Complutense de Madrid, Avda. de la Ciudad Universitaria s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain*

^b *Dept. Mat. Aplicada, Facultad de Informática, Univ. Politécnica de Madrid, Campus de Montegancedo, Boadilla del Monte, 28660 Madrid, Spain*

ARTICLE INFO

AMS classification:

42C05
15A09
47B20

Keywords:

Orthogonal polynomials
Hessenberg matrix
Subnormal operator

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove two consequences of the subnormal character of the Hessenberg matrix D when the hermitian matrix M of an inner product is a moment matrix. If this inner product is defined by a measure supported on an algebraic curve in the complex plane, then D satisfies the equation of the curve in a noncommutative sense. We also prove an extension of the Krein theorem for discrete measures on the complex plane based on properties of subnormal operators.

1. Introduction

Let μ be a positive and finite Borel measure with real support. It is well known that there exists a sequence of orthonormal polynomials (NOPS), $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, satisfying a three term recurrence relation,

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_np_n(x) + a_np_{n-1}(x),$$

with coefficients $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and initial conditions $p_0(x) = 1$ and $p_{-1}(x) = 0$. These coefficients are the non-zero entries of the tridiagonal Jacobi matrix J .

Recently, interest in extending the results of the real case to Borel measures supported in some bounded set of the complex plane has increased; see [14, 15]. The role of the tridiagonal Jacobi matrix is now played by the upper Hessenberg matrix D , which corresponds to the operator of multiplication by

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: tomeo@estad.ucm.es (V. Tomeo), emilio@fi.upm.es (E. Torrano).

z , with respect to the basis given by the NOPS. The connection between the matrix J as an operator and orthogonal polynomials has been extensively studied by Dombrowski; see [8,9]. In another different way Cantero has studied the relation between O.P. and five-diagonal operators; see [3,4].

It is well known that when the support of a measure is real and bounded, then the associated infinite Jacobi matrix J defines a bounded operator in ℓ^2 . This operator is also algebraic in the sense that J is a zero of the equation $z - \bar{z} = 0$ defining the support. Here, we show that this property extends to the case of measures with bounded support on curves given by polynomials in z and \bar{z} . In this case the role of J is played by D . Orthogonal polynomials associated with measures supported on arbitrary curves have been extensively studied; see [17, Chapter XVI]. For closed bounded sets in the complex plane, see [20]. For some particular curves different from the unit circle, see, for instance, [2, 18].

In the theory of spectral measures it is natural to ask under what conditions the support of the measure is a countable set with a finite number of limit points. An answer is provided by Krein's theorem from 1938. A matrix version of this theorem, (see [5, pp. 128–141]), establishes that if M is a real moment matrix with bounded support in the real line and J is the associated Jacobi matrix, then the measure has as the only accumulation points of its support the finite set $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $Q(J)$ is a compact operator, where $Q(x) = \prod_{k=1}^m (x - \sigma_k)$.

More recently, Golinskii has proved the analogue of this theorem for the unit circle, (see [11, p. 68]), and Zhedanov has constructed, using the symmetrized Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials, examples of orthogonal polynomials for a discrete measure on the unit circle having one or two limit points; see [21, pp. 89–90].

In this paper we prove a sort of noncommutative Cayley–Hamilton theorem for the matrix D , when the support is bounded on a curve expressible as a polynomial in z and \bar{z} . Also, we have proved a theorem that generalizes the Krein theorem for measures not necessarily on the real line.

We work with the 2×2 matrix representation of normal extensions of subnormal operators, and we can obtain results for N through this matrix representation. We obtain also weaker results for D by restricting to ℓ^2 the results for N .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries on orthogonal polynomials, the Hessenberg matrices and subnormal operators. In Section 3, we prove a result about orthogonal polynomials on algebraic curves. Finally, Section 4 contains a proof of a general case of the Krein theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Given an infinite Hermitian positive definite (HPD) matrix, $M = (c_{ij})_{i,j=0}^\infty$, whether it comes from a measure or not, we call M' the matrix obtained by removing from M its first column. Let M_n and M'_n be the corresponding sections of order n of M and M' , respectively, i.e., the principal submatrices of order n of M and M' .

Suppose that M is an HPD matrix and let $M_n = T_n T_n^*$ be the Cholesky decomposition of M_n , which is unique if $t_{ii} > 0$. There can be built an infinite upper Hessenberg matrix $D = (d_{ij})_{i,j=1}^\infty$ with sections of order n satisfying

$$D_n = T_n^{-1} M'_n (T_n^*)^{-1} = T_n^* F_n (T_n^*)^{-1},$$

where F_n is the Frobenius matrix associated to $P_n(z)$, and $\{P_n(z)\}$ is the monic OPS associated to M , with

$$P_n(z) = \frac{1}{|M_n|} \begin{vmatrix} c_{00} & c_{10} & c_{20} & \dots & c_{n0} \\ c_{01} & c_{11} & c_{21} & \dots & c_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{0,n-1} & c_{1,n-1} & c_{2,n-1} & \dots & c_{n,n-1} \\ 1 & z & z^2 & \dots & z^n \end{vmatrix}.$$

Throughout $P_n(z)$ will be the monic polynomial and $p_n(z)$ will be the normalized polynomial. The fact that T_n is a lower triangular matrix, implies that

$$D = T^{-1}M'(T^*)^{-1} = T^*S_R(T^*)^{-1},$$

where S_R is the infinite matrix associated to the shift-right operator in ℓ^2 . We must be careful, because T^{-1} , T^* and $(T^*)^{-1}$ are infinite triangular matrices but they do not necessarily define operators in ℓ^2 .

An important result of Atzmon (see [1]) established conditions on an infinite HPD matrix $M = (c_{j,k})_{j,k=0}^\infty$ to be the moment matrix of a measure on the unit disk, i.e., for there to exist $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a probability measure $\mu : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, with $c_{j,k} = \int_\Omega z^j \bar{z}^k d\mu(z)$.

This result was extended in [19] to a bounded set on the complex plane using only the subnormal character of this matrix as an operator $D : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$.

An operator S on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is *subnormal* if there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{H} and a normal operator N on \mathcal{K} such that $N\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $S = N|_{\mathcal{H}}$. In what follows, S will always denote a subnormal operator on \mathcal{H} and N will be its minimal normal extension on $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$. If we write $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^\perp$, then N has the 2×2 matrix representation (see [7, p. 41])

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} S & X \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}.$$

If M is a moment matrix with measure μ supported on a bounded set in the complex plane, the infinite matrix D defines a bounded subnormal operator. In this case $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2$ and $\mathcal{K} = \ell^2 \oplus (\ell^2)^\perp$. We use the same symbol D to denote the infinite matrix and the matrix as an operator in ℓ^2 . It is well known that there is an isometric isomorphism between $L^2(\mu)$ and \mathcal{K} .

As usual $P(\mu)$ denotes the linear space of polynomials with complex coefficients associated to the measure μ . We denote by S_μ the operator of multiplication by z in $P^2(\mu)$, the closure in $L^2(\mu)$ of the space $P(\mu)$, and N_μ will be the operator of multiplication by z in $L^2(\mu)$. It is known that N_μ is the minimal normal extension of S_μ . In this case all the operators are bounded because the support is bounded. It is easy to prove that S_μ is unitarily equivalent to the infinite Hessenberg matrix D as an operator in ℓ^2 , and N_μ is unitarily equivalent to the operator N , which is the minimal normal extension of D .

Lemma 1 ([1, 19]). *Let $M = (c_{ij})_{ij=0}^\infty$ be an infinite HDP matrix and $\|D\| < +\infty$, then M is a moment matrix if and only if D is subnormal.*

It is not difficult to prove that this moment problem is always determined when the support of the measure is bounded as a consequence of the Stone–Weierstrass theorem in the bidimensional case.

3. Polynomials in D and D^*

The following theorem extends the results of [18] about orthogonal polynomials on harmonic algebraic curves.

Theorem 2. *Let μ be a probability measure with bounded support and $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$, where γ is an algebraic curve which can be expressed as a polynomial in z and \bar{z} , that is $\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{j,k} z^j \bar{z}^k = 0$, with $a_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the infinite matrix D associated to $M = (c_{jk})_{jk=0}^\infty$, such that $c_{j,k} = \int_\gamma z^j \bar{z}^k d\mu(z)$, satisfies*

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} (D^*)^k D^j = 0,$$

where we have replaced z by D and \bar{z} by D^* in the equation of γ , and the two products $\bar{z}z$ and $z\bar{z}$ by D^*D .

Proof. Since μ is a probability measure, D is subnormal. We denote by N the minimal normal extension of D , $N = \text{mne}(D)$. As before, we denote by N_μ multiplication by z in L^2_μ . We know that $\sigma(N) = \sigma(N_\mu) = \text{supp}(\mu)$, and there is a spectral measure $E(\lambda)$ on the Borel subsets of $\text{supp}(\mu)$ such that $N = \int_{\sigma(N)} z dE(z)$. Therefore, by means of the spectral theorem for normal operators we have

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} N^j (N^*)^k = \int_{\sigma(N)} \left(\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} z^j \bar{z}^k \right) dE(z).$$

By hypothesis, the points of $\sigma(N)$ satisfy the equation of the curve and $\sigma(N) = \text{supp}(\mu)$. Thus

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} N^j (N^*)^k = 0.$$

From the 2×2 matrix representation of a subnormal operator we have

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} D & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N^* = \begin{pmatrix} D^* & 0 \\ X^* & Y^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$N^j = \begin{pmatrix} D^j & \square \\ 0 & Y^j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (N^*)^k = \begin{pmatrix} (D^*)^k & 0 \\ \square & (Y^*)^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields

$$N^* N = \begin{pmatrix} D^* D & D^* X \\ X^* D & X^* X + Y^* Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad N N^* = \begin{pmatrix} D D^* + X X^* & X Y^* \\ Y X^* & Y Y^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

We already know that $N^* N = N N^*$. At this point, we consider the product $N^* N$ to obtain an equation in D and D^* in the $[1, 1]$ entry of the 2×2 matrix $\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} (N^*)^k N^j$. It is easy to check that

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} (N^*)^k N^j = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} (D^*)^k D^j & \square \\ \square & \square \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and finally we obtain

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^m a_{jk} (D^*)^k D^j = 0.$$

From the proof it can be seen how to replace z by D and \bar{z} by D^* . Consequently, $(\bar{z})^k z^j = z^j (\bar{z})^k$ takes the form $(D^*)^k D^j$, but not $D^j (D^*)^k$.

Corollary 3. Let μ be a probability measure with bounded support. The following five assertions are satisfied for all $z, \bar{z} \in \text{supp}(\mu)$.

- (1) If $z - \bar{z} = 0$, then $D = D^*$.
- (2) If $|z| = 1$, then $D^* D = I$.
- (3) If $z - \beta = |z - \beta| e^{i\theta}$, then $\overline{\alpha}(D - I\beta) = \alpha(D^* - I\bar{\beta})$, with $\alpha = e^{i\theta}$.
- (4) If $|z - \beta| = R$, then $D^* D = \bar{\beta} D + \beta D^* + (R^2 - |\beta|^2)I$.
- (5) If $|z - c| + |z + c| = 2a$, with $a^2 = b^2 + c^2$, then

$$[D^2 + (D^*)^2](a^2 - b^2) + 4a^2 b^2 I = 2D^* D(a^2 + b^2).$$

Note that the condition $DD^* = I$ in (2) is not true if μ satisfies Szego's condition.

Another less obvious application is related to measures whose support is a cross-like set formed by the intervals $[-1, 1]$ and $[-i, i]$. The support is given by $xy = 0$ with $|x + yi| \leq 1$. The expression $xy = 0$ is equivalent to $z^2 = \bar{z}^2$. Therefore $D^2 = (D^*)^2$. Using that D and D^* are upper and lower Hessenberg matrices it is easy to check that D^2 and $(D^*)^2$ are pentadiagonal.

4. Extension of Krein's theorem

In the next theorem, we prove a generalization of the Krein theorem for the hermitian complex case.

We need first to prove two results about pure atomic distributions. Let $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ be a bounded set of complex points, with weights $\{w_1, w_2, \dots\}$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n < +\infty$. For such a distribution we have the moment matrix $M = (c_{ij})_{ij=0}^{\infty}$, where $c_{jk} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^j \bar{z}_n^k w_n$. Let D be the associated Hessenberg matrix. Obviously the support of this measure is $\text{supp}(\mu) = \bar{Z}$.

Proposition 4. *If $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{Z}$ is a connected set and the interior of \bar{Z} is empty, then the infinite Hessenberg matrix D corresponds to a normal operator in ℓ^2 .*

Proof. The set $K = \bar{Z}$ is compact. As usual we denote by $C(K)$ the space of all continuous functions with support K . The set K satisfies the hypothesis of Mergelyan's theorem (see [10, p. 97]), and consequently given $f \in C(K)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists Q(z)$ such that $|f(z) - Q(z)| < \epsilon$. This implies that $\int_{\text{supp}(\mu)} |f(z) - Q(z)|^2 d\mu(z) < \epsilon^2 c_{00}$. Clearly $C(K) = P^2(\mu)$. Since $C(K)$ is dense in $L^2_{\mu}(K)$ (see, for example, [13, p. 61]), we conclude that $P^2(\mu) = L^2_{\mu}(K)$. Therefore we are in a *complete case*. It follows that $S_{\mu} = N_{\mu}$, and also $D = N$. Consequently D is a normal operator.

Proposition 5. *Let Z be as in Proposition 4 and $Z' \cap Z = \emptyset$, where Z' is the set of accumulation points of Z . Then*

$$D = U^*(\delta_{ij}z_i)_{i,j=1}^{\infty}U \quad \text{and} \quad U^*U = UU^* = I,$$

where $U = V(T^*)^{-1}$ and T is the Cholesky factor in the decomposition $M = TT^*$, and V is the Vandermonde matrix of the atoms

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{w_1} & \sqrt{w_1}z_1 & \sqrt{w_1}z_1^2 & \cdots \\ \sqrt{w_2} & \sqrt{w_2}z_2 & \sqrt{w_2}z_2^2 & \cdots \\ \sqrt{w_3} & \sqrt{w_3}z_3 & \sqrt{w_3}z_3^2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $L = (\delta_{ij}z_i)_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$. It is clear that $M' = V^*LV$. Using $D = T^{-1}M'(T^*)^{-1}$, it follows that $D = T^{-1}V^*LV(T^*)^{-1}$. The elements of the i th column of the infinite matrix $(T^*)^{-1}$ are the coefficients of $p_{i-1}(z)$ with respect to the basis $\{z^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Therefore $U = V(T^*)^{-1} = (\sqrt{w_i} p_{j-1}(z_i))_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$. Now we calculate U^*U ,

$$(U^*U)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{p_i(z_k)} p_j(z_k) w_k = \delta_{ij},$$

due to the orthogonality of the NOPS on the set $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$. On the other hand, the product UU^* is

$$UU^* = (\sqrt{w_i}p_{k-1}(z_i))_{i,k=1}^\infty (\sqrt{w_j}p_{k-1}(z_j))_{k,j=1}^\infty = \left(\sqrt{w_i} \sqrt{w_j} \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k(z_i) \overline{p_k(z_j)} \right)_{i,j=1}^\infty.$$

To prove the statement we need also that $(UU^*)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. For that we introduce the bounded functionals $L_i : P^2(\mu) \rightarrow P^2(\mu)$ defined by $L_i(f) = f(z_i)$. Recall that the inner product in $P(\mu)$ is $\langle Q(z), R(z) \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^\infty Q(z_k) \overline{R(z_k)} w_k$. It is extended to $P^2(\mu)$ as usual. Obviously $\|L_i\| \leq 1/\sqrt{w_i}$. It is clear that the n -kernel $K_n(z, z_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{p_k(z)} p_k(z_i)$, with $n > i$, has the reproducing property, that is $\langle Q(z), K_n(z, z_i) \rangle = Q(z_i)$. The function $K(z, z_i) = \lim_n K_n(z, z_i)$ defined on $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ has the same property.

Now we consider the characteristic function $\chi_{z_i}(z)$, defined by $\chi_{z_i}(z) = 1$ if $z = z_i$ and 0 otherwise. Then $\chi_{z_i}(z)/w_i$ is a continuous function because we have $Z' \cap Z = \emptyset$ and it is only defined in isolated points. Hence $\chi_{z_i}(z)/w_i$ is defined for every $f \in C(K)$, agrees with $K(z, z_i)$, and for all $f \in P^2(\mu) = L^2_\mu(K)$ we have

$$\langle f(z), K(z, z_i) \rangle = f(z_i) = \left\langle f(z), \frac{\chi_{z_i}(z)}{w_i} \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f(z_k) \frac{\overline{\chi_{z_i}(z_k)}}{w_i} w_k.$$

Then $\chi_{z_i}(z)/w_i = K(z, z_i)$, a.e. in L^2_μ . In particular $\chi_{z_i}(z)/w_i = K(z, z_i)$ at the points with positive measure, i.e., $K(z_j, z_i) = \chi_{z_i}(z_j)/w_i = \delta_{ij}/w_i$ on Z and therefore $UU^* = I$.

Theorem 6 (Extension of Krein's theorem to the complex case). *Let M be a moment matrix with bounded support and let D be the associated Hessenberg matrix. Then the measure associated to M has $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m \in \mathbb{C}$, as the only accumulation points of its support, if and only if $Q(D)$ is a compact operator, where $Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m (z - \sigma_k)$.*

Proof.

Necessary condition. As the support is a bounded set and it has a finite number of limit points, necessarily the measure is atomic. Assume that $L = \text{diag}(z_1, z_2, \dots)$ is the matrix of the atoms re-ordered such that $d(z_i, \cup_k^m \sigma_k) \geq d(z_{i+1}, \cup_k^m \sigma_k)$. We have shown before that in this case D is an infinite, bounded, and normal Hessenberg matrix, satisfying $D = U^*LU$, with $U = V(T^*)^{-1}$, where $V = (\sqrt{w_j}z_j^{k-1})_{j,k=1}^\infty$. We have proved that U^* and U are unitary operators, and we have $D^n = U^*L^nU$, so $Q(D) = U^*Q(L)U$. L is a diagonal matrix, hence $Q(L) = (Q(z_i)\delta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^\infty$. The zeros of $Q(z)$ are exactly the accumulation points of the diagonal elements of L . Therefore $\lim_n Q(z_n) = 0$ and the diagonal matrix $Q(L)$ defines a compact operator. As U^* and U are bounded operators, we have finally that $Q(D)$ is a compact operator.

Sufficient condition. By Lemma 1, if M is a moment matrix then D defines a subnormal operator, and it is bounded by hypothesis. If $N = \text{mne}(D)$, it is well known that $Q(N)$ is the normal extension of $Q(D)$, see [6, p. 204]. Hence $Q(D)$ is subnormal and bounded. Therefore, $Q(D)$ is hyponormal. By hypothesis $Q(D)$ is a compact operator. We find on [12, p. 206] that an operator compact and hyponormal is necessarily normal, and consequently $Q(D)$ is a compact and normal operator. The eigenvectors of $Q(D)$ are a basis of ℓ^2 , $Q(D)$ is a diagonalizable operator, and the sequence of eigenvalues of $Q(D)$ converges to zero if it is an infinite set. This is the case, because the matrix $Q(D)$ has the same rank as the matrix D , which is not finite. Were this not so, the moment matrix M would have finite rank, which is not possible. We have that

$$\sigma(Q(D)) = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n, \dots\}, \quad \text{with } \mu_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\sigma(Q(D)) = Q(\sigma(D))$. Consequently,

- (i) $\sigma(D)$ is a discrete set, because it is the inverse image via $Q(z)$ of a denumerable set.

- (ii) The limit points of $\sigma(D)$ are the solutions of $Q(z) = 0$. Suppose that $S = \{z | Q(z) = \mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = Q^{-1}(\{\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$, is the set of all solutions of $Q(z) = \mu_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $S = Q^{-1}(Q(\sigma(D))) \supset \sigma(D)$. Hence the limit points of $\sigma(D)$ are necessarily the zeros of the polynomial $Q(z)$.
- (iii) D is a normal matrix. We know that D is hyponormal because it is subnormal and by ii), $\sigma(D)$ has a finite number of limit points. By Corollary 2, [16, p. 1455], if the spectrum of a hyponormal operator has a finite number of limit points then the operator is normal. Hence D is normal, and $\sigma(D) = \text{supp}(\mu)$.

Consequently $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is a discrete set in \mathbb{C} and all the limit points of $\text{supp}(\mu)$ are zeros of $Q(z)$.

References

- [1] A. Atzmon, A moment problem for positive measures on the unit disk, *Pacific J. Math.* 59 (1975) 317–325.
- [2] C. Brezinski, Formal orthogonality on an algebraic curve, *Ann. Numer. Math.* 2 (1995) 21–33.
- [3] M.J. Cantero, L. Moral, L. Velázquez, Five-diagonal matrices and zeros of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 362 (2003) 29–56.
- [4] M.J. Cantero, L. Moral, L. Velázquez, Minimal representations of unitary operators and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 408 (2005) 40–65.
- [5] T.S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [6] J.B. Conway, *Subnormal Operators*, Pitman Publishing Inc., London, 1981.
- [7] J.B. Conway, *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1985.
- [8] J. Dombrowski, Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators, *Pacific J. Math.* 114 (1984) 325–334.
- [9] J. Dombrowski, Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators II, *Pacific J. Math.* 120 (1985) 47–53.
- [10] D. Gaier, *Lectures on Complex Approximation*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1987.
- [11] L. Golinskii, Singular measures on the unit circle and their reflection coefficients, *J. Approx. Theory* 103 (2000) 61–77.
- [12] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Editorial McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [14] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle*, vol. 54, Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2005.
- [15] H. Stahl, V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1992.
- [16] J.G. Stampfli, Hyponormal operators, *Pacific J. Math.* 12 (1962) 1453–1458.
- [17] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, vol. 23, AMS Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1975.
- [18] E. Torrano, R. Guadalupe, Zeros of Orthogonal Polynomials on Harmonic Algebraic Curves, vol. 1329, *Springer Series Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1986, pp. 320–327.
- [19] E. Torrano, R. Guadalupe, On the moment problem in the bounded case, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 48 (1993) 263–269.
- [20] H. Widom, Polynomials associated with measures in the complex plane, *J. Math. Mech.* 16 (9) (1967) 997–1013.
- [21] A. Zhedanov, On some classes of polynomials orthogonal on arcs of the unit circle connected with symmetric orthogonal polynomials on an interval, *J. Approx. Theory* 94 (1998) 73–106.